

Disclaimer

All content within this booklet is commentary or opinion and is protected under Free Speech laws in all the civilized world. The information herein is provided for educational and entertainment purposes only. It is not intended as a substitute for professional advice of any kind.

In no event shall Professor Scott-Mumby be liable for any consequential damages arising out of any use of, or reliance on any content or materials contained herein, neither shall Professor Scott-Mumby be liable for any content of any external internet sites listed and services listed.

Always consult your own licensed medical practitioner if you are in any way concerned about your health. You must satisfy yourself of the validity of the professional qualifications of any health care provider you contact as a result of this newsletter.

I found that the whole vaccine business was indeed a gigantic hoax. Most doctors are convinced that they are useful, but if you look at the proper statistics and study the instances of these diseases you will realize that this is not so.

— Dr Archie Kalokerinos MD June 1995, who saved the lives of uncountable Aboriginal children in Australia, by administering vitamin C at around the time of vaccination.

My thanks to John Scudamore of www.whale.to, for the initial in John is a brilliant journalist and an avid collector of information which makes the medical profession cringe and wriggle with er	of the type

Introduction

Vaccination is virtually a sacrament; a religious cult! If you still believe in vaccination and are not involved in the vaccine industry then perhaps you need to look at your beliefs. We tend to cling to beliefs as if our life depends on them

It is hard for many to give up their beliefs regarding vaccination, as allopathic medicine has been cleverly set up as a cryptoreligion, using the authority ploy with God as Modern Medicine, and vaccination as the Holy Sacrament.

For a paediatrician to attack what has become the "bread and butter" of paediatric practice is equivalent to a priest denying the infallibility of the pope.

- Dr Robert Mendelsohn, M.D.

You are encouraged to believe that if you doubt one medicine, vaccination, you are doubting everything, but in fact, you can give up vaccination and survive meltdown. I still use an allopath, I just avoid taking most allopathic medicines if possible.

Vaccines have become sacraments of our faith in biotechnology in the sense that:

- 1. their efficacy and safety are widely seen as self-evident and needing no further proof
- 2. they are given automatically to everyone, by force if necessary, but always in the name of the public good and
- 3. they ritually initiate our loyal participation in the medical enterprise as a whole.

They celebrate our right and power as a civilization to manipulate biological processes ad libitum and for profit, without undue concern for or even any explicit concept of the total health of the populations about to be subjected to them.

Richard Moskowitz M.D.

Physicians have taken the place of priests;

Vaccination plays the same initiatory role as baptism, and is accompanied by the same threats and fears;

The search for health has replaced the quest for salvation;

The fight against disease has replaced the fight against sin;

Eradication of viruses has taken the place of exorcising demons;

The hope of physical immortality (cloning, genetic engineering) has been substituted for the hope of eternal life;

Pills have replaced the sacrament of bread and wine; donations to cancer research take precedence over donations to the church; a hypothetical universal vaccine could save humanity from all its illnesses, as the Saviour has saved the world from all its sins; the medical power has become the government's ally, as was the Catholic Church in the past;

"charlatans" are persecuted today as "heretics" were yesterday; dogmatism rules out promising alternative medical theories; the same absence of individual responsibility is now found in medicine, as previously in the Christian religion;

Patients are alienated from their bodies, as sinners used to be from their souls."

Olivier Clerc

It is a false idol.

The Truth shall set you free.

Double The Vaccines, Double The Risk

Let's lay it out here, right at the head of the book! Vaccines kill. It's pure math (and some whimsy), whether or not you save more lives than you eliminate. In broad terms, it's never been proven.

(Hard Science, Nasty Statistics)

There's "science" and there's REAL science and then there is deliberate spin. How members of the public can keep up is beyond me. I have a hard time myself, sifting through the convenient lies and spin to get at real science.

Here are some figures it's difficult to get round!

In the USA, kids are required to get 26 injections (the most in the world) yet more than 6 U.S. infants die per every 1000 live births. In contrast, Sweden and Japan administer 12 vaccines to infants, the least amount, and report less than 3 deaths per 1000 live births.

You don't have to be a mathematician to see what this says: twice the vaccinations = twice the infant deaths. Infant deaths, by the way, directly relate to how civilized

or primitive the country is. The USA is 34th in the international table and healthier countries include Slovenia, Greece and Cuba!

This data comes from a new study, published in Human and Experimental Toxicology, a prestigious journal indexed by the US National Library of Medicine [Miller and Goldman, "Infant Mortality Rates Regressed Against Number of Vaccine Doses Routinely Given: Is There a Biochemical or Synergistic Toxicity?"]

Researchers found that developed nations with higher (worse) infant mortality rates tend to give their infants more vaccine doses. In scientificese: they found "a high statistically significant correlation between increasing number of vaccine doses and increasing infant mortality rates."

In a nutshell—vaccinations are not really saving kids lives so much as claimed. We are killing a few to save a few. Bad math...

[Hum Exp Toxicol published online 4 May 2011. DOI: 10.1177/0960327111407644]

You can download the entire study here:

http://het.sagepub.com/content/early/2011/05/04/0960327111407644

2 Not Needed

Infectious diseases were on the decline for over a century before modern mass vaccination was introduced. It cannot be the reason these diseases have declined.

See charts in the appendix for a vivid graphic representation of just how useless vaccination really was. Yet the mythology continues, uncontrolled, that vaccines have saved everybody.

Some vaccines are effective, it is true. But that does not excuse the lies about those which don't work, or the disgusting and mindless toxins used,

or the obscene profits, or the lies or the bullying and threats against parents or the conspiracy to cover up adverse reactions.



a. Disease decline

Believe it or not, there isn't any convincing evidence through the statistics that vaccination reduced the death rate for any disease such as measles. So, where is the benefit? Measles deaths had declined by 99.4% before vaccination!

Up to 90% of the total decline in the death rate of children between 1860-1965 because of whooping cough, scarlet fever, diptheria, and measles occured before the introduction of immunisations and antibiotics.

— Dr Archie Kalokerinos, M.D.

There is no convincing scientific evidence that mass inoculations can be credited with eliminating any childhood disease.

Dr Robert Mendelsohn MD

b. or eliminated any disease such as smallpox or polio:

It is pathetic and ludicrous to say we ever vanquished smallpox with vaccines, when only 10% of the population was ever vaccinated.

- Dr Glen Dettman

That the polio virus is the sole cause of polio is accepted by most people as gospel, and that the Salk and Sabin vaccines eradicated polio in the western world is etched into our collective consciousness as the major medical miracle of our time. But the history of polio and its vaccines is shrouded in a murky mist of politico/scientific manipulation, altered statistics, redefinition and reclassification of the disease, increased cases of vaccine induced paralytic polio, and monkey viruses transmitted by contaminated vaccines to millions of people worldwide.

Edda West

Within a few years of the polio vaccine we started seeing some strange phenomena like the year before the first 300,000 doses were given in the United States childhood leukaemia had never struck in children under the age of two. One year after the first onslaught they had the first cases of children under the age of two that died of leukaemia... Dr Herbert Radnor observed that in a small area of this little town, in an area where no cases of leukaemia had been expected or at the most one in 4 years according to previous statistics, they suddenly had a rash like an epidemic within a few blocks

- Dr Snead

c. While there is plenty of evidence smallpox vaccination increased the incidence, and spread smallpox along with syphilis, leprosy and TB/consumption around the world

Smallpox was on the way out, indeed epidemics disappeared decades before the WHO decided to conduct the final "eradication" campaign. It is also well-documented that the largest epidemics occurred in the most highly vaccinated populations, while whose who were unvaccinated, did not have the same epidemics.

Dr. Viera Scheibner

We also hear of the noble work of Father Damien among the lepers of Hawaii, but we are not told that there was not one leper in the whole of the Hawaiian Islands before the noble work of Jenner reached them. By the nineties, 10 per cent of the natives were lepers.

- Lionel Dole

...while ignoring for 200 years the real causes of smallpox - sanitation and poverty:

Sanitation did for Prussia what 35 years of compulsory vaccination was unable to accomplish. At the present time in Prussia small-pox is almost extinct. (Cheers.) It is not that people 'are being vaccinated more; they are vaccinated less.

— Dr Hadwen MD (The Case Against Vaccination - nan address at Gloucester on Saturday, January 25th, 1896, during the Gloucester Smallpox Epidemic)

Perhaps the greatest evil of immunization lies in its diversion of public attention from true methods of disease prevention. It encourages public authorities to permit all kinds of sanitary defects and social problems to remain undressed, particularly in schools. It ignores the part played by food and sunlight and many other factors in the maintenance of health. It exaggerates the risk of diphtheria and works upon the fear of parents. The more it is supported by public authorities, the more will its dangers and disadvantages be concealed or denied.

— M. Beddow Bayly, M.R.C.S., 1944

d. If vaccination suppresses one disease another one increases or replaces it

The use of Hib vaccines has displaced haemophillus as a cause of disease and death, but other organisms like the far more serious, and more untreatable pneumococcus or other bacterial meningitis types have risen to take the place of Hib as causes of meningitis.

—Hilary Butler

Now 1 in 100 children have autism, 1 in 5 asthma, 1 in 10 dyslexia, 1 in 20 Attention-deficit disorder, Epilepsy 5-8 per 1000, Cerebral Palsy (1 in 400 to 1 in 166), an epidemic of Type 2 diabetes. Nearly one third of high school girls and 16% of high school boys show symptoms of an eating disorder... and the number one suspect is vaccination. The Government/Vaccine Industry is going to carry on vaccinating, covering up, and claiming vaccines are safe, until every other child has a vaccine induced disease, so only parent awareness is going to stop that.

Instead of epidemics of measles and polio, we have epidemics of chronic autoimmune and neurological disease: In the last 20 years rates of asthma and attention-deficit disorder have doubled, diabetes and learning disabilities have tripled, chronic arthritis now affects nearly one in five Americans and autism has increased by 300 percent or more in many states.

— Barbara Loe Fisher

We are in the midst of an international epidemic. Those responsible for investigating and dealing with this epidemic have failed. Among the reasons for this failure is the fact that they are faced with the prospect that they themselves may be responsible for the epidemic. Therefore, in their efforts to exonerate themselves they are an impediment to progress. I believe that public health officials know there is a problem; they are, however, willing to deny the problem and accept the loss of an unknown number of children on the basis that the success of public health policy - mandatory vaccination - by necessity involves sacrifice. Neither I, nor my colleagues subscribe to the belief that any child is expendable. History has encountered and dealt with such beliefs. You, the parent's and children, are the source of the inspiration and strength for our endeavours; our guest for truth through science - a science that is compassionate, uncompromising and uncompromised. I do not mean to stir you to mutiny, but be assured that armed with this science it is in your power to force this issue, in your pediatricians office, in Congress, in the Law Courts. Keep faith with your instincts - they have served you well.

— Andy Wakefield (April 22, 2002 "POWER of ONE - IDEA" Rally, Washington DC)

While everyone just gets sicker and sicker:

Nearly half of Americans suffer at least one chronic disease, everything from allergies to heart disease - 20 million more than doctors had anticipated this year, researchers say.

— Media

덗

The Disease Dangers Have Been Grossly Exaggerated

It's about creating fear and propagating it, to sell the vaccination and medical paradigm.

You can see this easily in the case of chickenpox which wasn't considered a killer in 1894:

Varicella always runs a favourable course. It has no sequelae... PROGNOSIS — This is always favourable.

- Dictionary of Medicine (1894)



or 1953

MILD--Mumps, Chickenpox and rubella... are mild. In other words there's not much point in trying to avoid them. They don't do much to young children and they do build up their general immunity and resistance.

- T Anderson MD, British Medical Journal booklet (c 1953)

Now it kills due to the use of steroids and chemotherapy which depress the immune system, so we have the use of other drugs leading to deaths being used to sell another drug: the chickenpox vaccine. Kind of neat marketing, although they usually forget to tell you the deaths are down to allopathic medicine.

If you think you have been informed on the real disease dangers then what does it say about the "terrible" smallpox when they considered it less dangerous than measles:

In a recent number of the Leicester Free Press (UK), it is said:

So far as we are concerned in Leicester, a town containing 120,000 inhabitants, with many thousands of unvaccinated children, smallpox seems to be about the least dangerous of all diseases, and is not to be named by the side of scarlet fever, measles, whooping cough, diarrhea, or even consumption. If a case of small-pox is discovered, instant isolation is adopted, and during the last five years we have hardly had five deaths. That being the state of the case, one need not wonder that the fear of the disease should disappear, or that resistance to vaccination should increase.

- William Tebb 1881

Fear is a traditional state to keep people in so you can lead them in the direction you want and stop them thinking. You can't think in an emotional state. They know that. (You can't get sheep to go in the direction you want with a timid sheepdog that wont bite to make them fearful, incidentally).

For the public ever to break command science it must first understand the basis of its enormous powers... Traditionally, the power of medical sciences has been based on the fear of disease, particularly infectious disease.

— Peter Deusberg (Inventing The AIDS Virus). http://www.duesberg.com/ There is no doubt, however, that the risk has been, for some years, vastly exaggerated, seemingly to prepare the public mind to accept the new (diptheria) vaccine.

— M. Beddow Bayly, M.R.C.S.

Since people cannot be vaccinated against their will, the biggest job of a health department is always to persuade the unprotected people to get vaccinated. This we attempted to do in three ways, education, fear, and pressure. We dislike very much to mention fear and pressure, yet they accomplish more than education because they work faster than education, which is normally a slow process. During the months of March and April, we tried education and vaccinated only 62,000. During May we made use of fear and pressure and vaccinated 223,000 people.

- Dr. John Keller

I have lectured all over the world... I have always had a special interest in newspapers. All of them have one thing in common, there is always some reference made to some epidemic in some part of the world. For instance, two years ago, one paper referred to a polio epidemic in Holland. For the past three years, our newspapers have commented on the diphtheria epidemic in Russia. By these means, the population is constantly threatened with epidemics, they have been made to fear them, and the reports always conclude: "Go and get vaccinated"

Dr Buchwald MD

Disease Risk Ploy

Contrary to a very popular government lies, the vaccines aren't safe unless you stretch the definition of "safe" to include death, numerous diseases, and serious brain damage. They have paid out for 900 children (UK) with serious brain damage from DPT vaccines, but now deny it ("science has moved on" is the phrase used), saying on their leaflets DPT doesn't cause brain damage! How come vaccines can cause serious brain damage, & death but somehow not autism?

Natural immunisation downplayed. Some vaccines are less dangerous than others. Safe is breastfeeding, which incidentally, is natural immunisation, also ignored and downplayed:

I accused doctors of still failing to give women detailed information about the immunological benefits to babies of breast milk, at which point the only woman paediatrician got very upset at me, and said that was a mother's choice, just like abortion. I looked her square in the face and asked if she had children, and her answer was "Yes" I then raised my eyebrows and "Who you bottle fed, right?" She instantly got up and left. I think the unspoken implications got through loud and clear.

— Hilary Butler

Formula manufacturers "donate \$1 million annually to the American Academy of Pediatrics in the form of a renewable grant that has already netted the AAP \$8 million. The formula industry also contributed at least \$3 million toward the building costs of the AAP headquarters." (Mothering magazine, July-August, p.60; refers to a book Milk, Money and Madness by Naomi Baumslag and Dia L. Michels (Westport, Conn.: Bergin and Garvey, 1995, p. 172))

尽

Governments Are Run By The Corporations (For The Corporations)

So don't expect much light from that direction. The two main UK government spokesman for MMR receive funding from the makers.

Professor David Elliman, whose study said fears of a link between the MMR vaccine and autism were unfounded, admitted that he and Dr Helen Bedford had been given money by drugs giants SmithKline Beecham and Pasteur Merieux Merck Sharp & Dohme. Their



report, MMR Vaccine - Worries Are Not Justified, is published in the current issue of the medical journal Archives of Disease in Childhood, and was used by the government to reiterate its view that the vaccine is safe.

Media

It is also easily seen in the government organisations & vaccine advisory committees, one even chaired by a Merck man:

I have minutes from a CDC Study Group Meeting on the Hepatitis B vaccine held in March, 1997.It should be noted that the afternoon session of this meeting was chaired by Dr. Robert Sharrar of Merck.

Betty D. Fluck

Asking the CDC to look into vaccine safety is like asking the fox to guard the chicken coop.

— Dr Bernard Rimland http://autism.com/ari/editorials/explosion.html

Still persisting in my inquiries, the issue came up that vaccine manufacturing was an essential component of industry, this country's (U.S.) protection against potential biological warfare. A number of companies had given up making vaccines. It's an economically risky business. If one criticizes, in this case, Lederle, too much and they stop production, then all the production will go to Switzerland. The Swiss would then be bought out by the Russians, and then there will be biological warfare...That stays as a memory of the way the government works... I have approached individuals to try and understand why that system would operate like that. The major explanation was that the regulatory authorities are controlled by and depend on the industry, and so, industry growth, if you want, calls the shots

Dr Martin

a. The CDC's ACIP committee:

The Committee's investigation has determined that conflict of interest rules employed by the FDA and the CDC have been weak, enforcement has been lax, and committee members with substantial ties to pharmaceutical companies have been given waivers to participate in committee proceedings.

Committee staff report

In the case of vaccines, they have this particular panel called the ACIP [Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices]. I've sat through their meetings and know pretty much what goes on there. Basically, they rubber-stamp whatever the drug companies put in front of them. But this committee comes up with language saying, such and such a person should get this vaccine at such and such a date. Then the drug company lobbyists take that recommendation from the ACIP and they go around to all the state legislatures and state health departments saying, "Did you see what the CDC says to do?" And the American Academy of Pediatrics, of course, jumps in. There are huge donations flowing back and forth between all these people. It's a huge conflict of interest.

— Michael Belkin

The CDC no longer permits members of its vaccine advisory committee to vote on issues involving any company with whom they have a financial relationship. But they can participate in discussions--which allows them to continue influencing policy. Minutes of a June 1995 CDC advisory committee meeting, at which members voted to delay recommending use of a safer polio vaccine, show that five of the nine members present had financial ties to vaccine manufacturers.

— Money Magazine

b. Also the makers and the government shielded themselves from liability!

Once a vaccine is mandated for children, the manufacturer and the physician administering the vaccine are substantially relieved of liability for adverse effects.

Jane Orient MD

c. If you look at the government supplied vaccine leaflets you will be amazed.

If I had not been computer literate, and had not been internet-literate, I would have still believed that (1) my daughters sudden turn to poor health was in no way related to the Hepatitis B vaccine (2) that "SHE WAS THE ONLY CHILD"

who had ever been sick after receiving this vaccine and (3) I am crazy for drawing a correlation between the vaccine and her health problems immediately following the Hepatitis B vaccine.

— Parent (eMail forum)

You can read the vaccine package inserts which only doctors are meant to see, describing the manifold dangers, and then read those government vaccine information leaflets! You'll see just how full of lies and phoney reassurances they are.

In nothing are official leaflets so evil as the way they play down dangers or pretend they don't exist. In who's interest? The public? Governments are supposed to work for us, the people, not big cartels.

Vaccinations, Run By The Drug Corporations/Industry

So it's self-policing by the makers, and its hard not to conclude that parent groups like the NVIC are the only independent watchdogs on vaccine safety, and because of them that any vaccine injury payments exist at all (no compensation in any third world country, and only very recently in Canada!).

Today we have a system in which vaccine production by the pharmaceutical companies is largely self-regulated. Of course these companies are interested in profits from their products which, in itself, is not wrong. However, when arbitrary decisions in the mandating of vaccines are made by the government bureaucracies, which are highly partisan to the pharmaceuticals, with no recourse open to parents, we have all the potential ingredients for a tragedy of historical proportions.

Harold Buttram MD

a. Secrecy is the name of the game, and you have to ask—what are they trying to hide?

Did you know that the CDC committee which sets national vaccine policy, the Advisory Committee on Immunizatrion Practices (ACIP), (until 1997) operated for more than 20 years without making verbatim transcripts of its meetings available to the public?

— Ingri Cassel

You can see why they keep them secret when their leading smallpox expert said at an 2002 ACIP meeting (was on web but withdrawn) that smallpox would have died out without vaccination!:

If people are worried about endemic smallpox, it disappeared from this country not because of our mass herd immunity. It disappeared because of our economic development. And that's why it disappeared from Europe and many other countries, and it will not be sustained here, even if there were several importations, I'm sure. It's not from universal vaccination.

- Dr. Mack

b. Even the medical doctors are not in the inner "knowledge loop"!!

In speaking with an AMA lobbyist, I understood they "would not want the public to know that their doctors were not in the knowledge loop"......The world and, in particular, its children appear to be at risk for stealth adapted viruses. The contribution of vaccines to the formation and dissemination of these viruses should be an open topic for scientific discussion. This is not occurring with those presently in charge of overseeing the safety of the Nation's immunization program.

— Dr John Martin

And essentially work for the Drug Industry, so don't expect any light from that direction, as Dr Mortimer points out:

CASE No. 82-1232, Cossette Krause, vs F.K. Abbousy, MD et al (State of Ohio), transcipt of videotape deposition of Dr Edward Mortimer September 6, 1984.. On page 11 reads the following:

"Several years ago, because of the increasing amount of litigations over DTP, members of the so-called Red Book Committee of the American Academy of Pediatrics agreed in a sense that we would sort of divide up the cases to try to help the manufacturers in these lawsuits, and therefore I and a number of my colleagues agreed to serve as expert witnesses."

For a paediatrician to attack what has become the "bread and butter" of paediatric practice is equivalent to a priest denying the infallibility of the pope.

- Dr Robert Mendelsohn, M.D.

In the last century the practice of medicine has become no more than an adjunct to the pharmaceutical industry and the other aspects of the huge, powerful and immensely profitable health care industry. Medicine is no longer an independent profession. Doctors have become nothing more than a link connecting the pharmaceutical industry to the consumer.

- Dr Vernon Coleman

Indeed the modern physician now only represents an extension of these (medical) industries into the public.

— Carl Reich, M.D.

Did you know that the research information contained in the Physicians' Desk Reference the pharmaceutical bible used by M.D.s is supplied by the drug manufacturers themselves? Did you know that the FDA approves drugs not by actually doing the testing, but simply by reviewing studies submitted by the drug manufacturers? Did you know that a drug manufacturer needs to submit only two studies showing satisfactory results to get a drug approved by the FDA even if there are even more studies showing the drug causes adverse reactions in an unacceptably high number of cases?

Did you know that most of the articles discussing the efficacy of drugs that are published in medical journals are studies paid for by the drug manufacturer? And that often, as the New York Times reported last summer, the academic scientists listed as lead authors are often just "window dressing, to lend credibility to papers that are really the work of drug companies. The academic scientists' main role in such studies

is to recruit patients and administer experimental treatments. The scientists or their universities are paid for this work.

And did you know that a study conducted by USA Today found that more than half of the experts hired to advise the government on the safety and effectiveness of medicine had a direct financial interest in the drug or topic were asked to evaluate?

— Burton Goldberg http://www.alternativemedicine.com/

Toxic Vaccine ingredients



There is no way even one of these highly toxic substances is going to be injected into my children. One estimate put mercury as 5,000 times the toxicity of lead, and neomycin (found in MMR) is one of the most toxic antibiotics every made. Autism started just after mass mercury containing DPT vaccination, and no, I don't believe for a nanosecond it has appeared due to better diagnosis. Mercury poisoning symptoms almost mimic autism symptoms. Now we have 500,000 people with autistic spectrum disorders in the UK.

Vaccination programs were instituted in the late 1930s, and the first handful of autistic babies were noted in the early 1940s. When vaccination programs were expanded after the war, the number of autistic children increased greatly.

— Harris Coulter Ph.D.

A single vaccine given to a six-pound newborn is the equivalent of giving a 180-pound adult 30 vaccinations on the same day. Include in this the toxic effects of high levels of aluminum and formaldehyde contained in some vaccines, and the synergist toxicity could be increased to unknown levels. Further, it is very well known that infants do not produce significant levels of bile or have adult renal capacity for several months after birth. Bilary transport is the major biochemical route by which mercury is removed from the body, and infants cannot do this very well. They also do not possess the renal (kidney) capacity to remove aluminum. Additionally, mercury is a well-known inhibitor of kidney function.

— Boyd Haley Ph.D.

Filthy Vaccine Contaminants

No way is an African monkey kidney soup going to be injected into my children either. No real effort is being made to screen vaccines for all contaminants and its left to the makers!

FDA virologist Peter Reeve....... acknowledged that the FDA suspended its own independent tests of vaccine purity 15 years ago, leaving it entirely up to the manufacturers to ensure the vaccine is contaminant free.

— "The Virus and the Vaccine": Atlantic Monthly

Numerous organisms (Acanthamoeba, Mycoplasma, Simian cytomegalovirus, stealth virus) can be in the vaccines and not much effort if going on to find them, for obvious reasons. Ever wondered where new diseases like AIDS come from? Now you know. SV40 cancer causing virus is now being found in tumours, and is still in polio vaccines:

Stanley Kops....has produced proof positive that the oral polio vaccine has always been contaminated with SV-40, a monkey virus which has been linked by the FDA and other organisations with cancers such as mesothelioma and meduloblastoma. Since 1963, we have been assured that polio vaccines have not contained this deadly contaminant. Stanley Kops shows that not only is this not the case, but that the vaccine regulators who are charged with keeping our families safe, have known all along that SV-40 was never removed from vaccines.

— Meryl W. Dorey

Had my mother and father known that the poliovirus vaccines of the 1950s were heavily contaminated with more than 26 monkey viruses, including the cancer virus SV40, I can say with certainty that they would not have allowed their children and themselves to take those vaccines. Both of my parents might not have developed cancers suspected of being vaccinerelated, and might even be alive today.

Dr Urnovitz

Knowledge of medical politics

Vaccines are the medicine of one group of medical doctors--the allopaths (drug using medical doctors). Many medical doctors (usually ones knowledgeable in non-drug/alternative medicine) advise against using vaccines. The allopaths have a medical monopoly-----this explains many, many "mysteries" such as why the cause of numerous diseases such as Alzheimer's, SIDS, & autism are "unknown", and why most diseases are "uncurable" (with drugs, apart from bacterial infections), eg alzheimer's, AIDS, cancer, arthritis, heart disease etc, and why you can only get drug medicine "free" on the NHS.

Society today is paying a heavy price in disease and death for the monopoly granted the medical profession in the 1920's. In fact, the situation peculiarly resembles that of the 1830s when physicians relied on bloodletting, mercurial medicines, and quinine, even though knowing them to be intrinsically harmful. And precisely the same arguments were made in defense of these medicines as are employed today, namely, that the benefits outweigh the risks. In truth, the benefits accrue to the physician, while the patient runs the risks.

Harris Coulter

We know the cause of SIDS. We can and have prevented them. It's all done with a compound called ascorbate. Not to use it means deaths will continue. There is no other answer. There never will be. For our findings are based on scientific facts. Not medical opinion.

Dr Kalokerinos MD.

The main reason they wont ever admit vaccine reactions like autism is partly revealed by this ex-vaccine researcher:

Vaccines are the last defense of modern medicine. Vaccines are the ultimate justification for the overall "brilliance" of modern medicine.

— Jon Rappoport interview

The whole of allopathy/pharma medicine rests on the vaccination hoax. If that goes then the rest of the pharmaceutical drug hoax will follow. Only around 2% of pharmaceutical drugs have any therapeutic value. Salvador Allende found that out in Chile which was one reason why he was ousted.

Vaccinated Patients Often Get The Disease Anyway

Even if you run the risk of vaccinating your child can still get the disease, and many measles deaths, for example, are in kids under the age of vaccination.

What they don't tell you is that a child who receives the MMR vaccine can still get measles. In an outbreak of measles in Texas in 1987, 96 percent of the cases were considered non-preventable. In other words, all the measles cases were either fully vaccinated, had a religious or medical exemption or were born before 1957... According to the Panhandle Health District, 85 percent of the documented cases of pertussis had 4 out of 4 of their DPT shots and 15 percent had 3 out of 4 of their DPT shots... The CDC statistics for this outbreak in all five northern counties states that out of 253 reported cases, 81.5 percent were fully vaccinated or had 4 out of 4 of their DPT shots.

— Ingri Cassel

Tetanus is a very rare disease in developed countries: there are only about 12 cases of tetanus per year in Australia, and half of those who got it were vaccinated.

Viera Scheibner

so much for the oft repeated mantra "vaccine preventable disease".

The Real Disease Issues Are Ignored

Perhaps the greatest evil of immunization lies in its diversion of public attention from true methods of disease prevention. It encourages public authorities to permit all kinds of sanitary defects and social problems to remain undressed, particularly in schools. It ignores the part played by food and sunlight and many other factors in the maintenance of health. It exaggerates the risk of diphtheria and works upon the fear of parents. The more it is supported by public authorities, the more will its dangers and disadvantages be concealed or denied.

— M. Meadow Bayly, M.R.C.S., 1944

That means the naturopathic disease model makes more sense than the allopathic one, and if you study the results of natural healing (naturopathy, homeopathy, metabolic, nutritional) over allopathy you can see the results are far more successful, and more capable of dealing with disease. Possibly the best cancer therapy is the metabolic Gerson therapy (he was curing 50% of terminal cancer cases 50 years ago), or the similar Kelley therapy. And possibly the worst is chemotherapy or radiation therapy. Like vaccination, it's not science, it's politics.

We are not dealing with a scientific problem. We are dealing with a political issue.

— Samuel Epstein, M.D.

And look at allopathic (vaccinators) iatrogenic disease, now officially the third cause of death, costing about £4 Billion in compensation every year (UK).

Why would I want to risk my child's life for one type of unproven experimental medicine, vaccination of allopathy, when there are other safe & better systems available?

No Long-Term Research Into The Dangers Ever Done

No research is being conducted into the long term effects of vaccines (for obvious reasons) so vaccination is still an experiment and contracting some childhood diseases looks to be a beneficial immune process:

After contracting measles and other childhood illnesses (e.g.. chickenpox, scarlet fever, whooping cough, rubella, mumps and may be others), it has been widely accepted by many health practitioners, including experienced orthodox paediatricians that this is often beneficial for the general health of many children. Specifically it has been shown that children contracting measles naturally were less likely to suffer from allergic conditions such as asthma, eczema and hayfever, (Lancer June 29 1996).

- Trevor Gunn BSc

Trouble is, no research has ever been done using completely non-vaccinated children (if you can find enough to study).

One of the flaws in studies of vaccines is that there are no true placebo groups. The vaccine is tested in one group of immunized children and is compared to another group of immunized children.

Peter Baratosy

Another point which I document in my presentation... is that there is little or no objective research into the possible adverse effects of vaccines. There has never been a study comparing vaccinated to unvaccinated children. The only explanation for this is bias and political pressure.

— Philip Incao MD

They are too afraid to do so for they know what they would find.

Parents are not listened to

The conclusion of the research report was: Children who received all of the AAP recommended vaccinations were 14 times more likely to become learning disabled and 8 times more likely to become autistic compared with children who were never vaccinated. Donald Meserlian, P.E., VOSI Chairman & ASTM Member March 2002

What is vaccination doing to the immune system? They don't know that much about the immune system of babies. Maybe in 50 years time; by then it will be too late.

瑶

Unvaccinated children are healthier than vaccinated children

I observed that my unvaccinated children were healthier, hardier and more robust than their vaccinated peers. Allergies, asthma and pallor and behavioral and attentional disturbances were clearly more common in my young patients who were vaccinated. My unvaccinated patients, on the other hand, did not suffer from infectious diseases with any greater frequency or severity than their vaccinated peers: their immune systems generally handled these challenges very well.

— Incao's Hepatitis B Vaccination Testimony

and the whistle is being blown by medical doctors:

the process of recovering from the natural disease also 'primes" the organism nonspecifically to respond promptly and efficiently to other micro-organisms in the future. A crucial step in the maturation of a healthy immune system, the ability to mount a vigorous, acute response to infection unquestionably represents a major ingredient of optimum health and well-being in general... It has taken us many centuries of adaptation and "herd immunity" to convert it into an ordinary childhood disease, such that, when I first encountered it at the age of 6, nonspecific mechanisms were already in place to help me deal with it effectively. In that historical sense, the permanent immunity acquired by recovery from the natural disease represents an absolute net gain for the total health of the race as well. However the vaccines act inside the human body, true natural immunity or any other qualitative benefit cannot be ascribed to them: their effectiveness is a mere statistic, and the resulting "immunity" a narrowly defined technicality.

— Richard Moskowitz, M.D.(Vaccination: A Sacrament of Modern Medicine

The Staggering Wasteful Costs of Unnecessary Vaccinations



Vaccine income world wide costs taxpayers around many \$billions.

That doesn't take into account the cost of treating vaccine induced disease. This could be 10 times as much, all paid for by the hapless taxpayer. Much of this money comes back down the money chain to the allopaths, who, in reality, market the products of the drug industry, eq vaccines.

At least half of all U.S children have had otitis media by their first birthday. By age 6 90% have had them. This condition accounts for 26 million visits to physicians every year. In addition, about 1 million children have tubes inserted in their ears every year, at a cost of \$1000/operation. Thus \$1 billion is spent each year on this operation. Just imagine what it means if this is all, or mostly all, caused by the pertussis vaccine. This particular "glue ear" type of otitis was not known in American medical practice before the late 1940's or early 1950's -- in other words, the time when the pertussis vaccine was being introduced.

— Harris Coulter Ph.D.

Misplaced Trust

It is comforting to trust the medical industry as this media writer points out:

I don't know why my son is autistic. I suspect it has something to do with his mysterious genes. For now, I find the idea of a mystery much more comforting than the possibility of a conspiracy. With a little trust, we might be able to put this sorry tale behind us, and instead of pouring energy and money into finding a culprit we can instead direct resources toward finding a cure. Autism is a mystery, not a medical conspiracy

Gerald DeGroot

This trust is misplaced. It is unwise to place trust in a self-policing monopoly business that has a history of covering up the truth to protect itself. Don't let your child suffer the consequences of misplaced trust.

The Medical Cartel is a covert-hostile tyrant that has been identified as psychopathic, while selling itself as a benevolent mother Teresa figure which the above writer is still seduced by.

Just look at a few facts that show the folly of this position:

a. Glaring conflicts of interest:

You can't serve two masters, Truth and a Business/Career.

And they have the brass to accuse Wakefield of being guided by money in doing research into MMR and bowel disease!

b. That has lied and continues to lie on a daily basis:

When you have power you don't have to tell the truth. That's a rule that's been working in this world for generations. And there are a great many people who don't tell the truth when they are in power in administrative positions.

— Dean Burk former head of National Cancer Institute Research (interview on the Owen Spahn Talk Show, San Francisco, june 1972).

Read the lies they came out with on laetrile: See How They Lie by Dean Burk.

They (Merck) told her that she was the only person who had ever phoned... she said that when her doctor phoned, he too was told he was the only person in the world that had ever called, and when each of the doctors of the other nurses called in, each was told the same thing. I also called Merck...and they said.." Oh Dr Hyde, you are the only doctor in all of Canada that has ever contacted us with such a complaint."

Dr Hyde

c. That has suppressed or ignored numerous life saving

therapies for decades ignoring or suppressing non-drug medicine and disease prevention, while spinning the yarn "if it works (and can be proven to work) orthodox physicians will use it and call it conventional medicine." The cures for cancer, AIDS, cot-death, alzheimer's, heart disease etc. Aren't they the nice guys?

d. That has a huge blind eye over iatrogenic disease for obvious reasons. eg meningitis

In the towns with meningitis clusters, there were almost 50% more prescriptions for antibiotics and nine times more case of the killer disease than in the low-incidence" towns. One antibiotic drug, erythromycin, which is marketed under

a variety of brand name and is used as a routine treatment for sore throats appeard with mysterious frequency or the prescriptions. It was being used up to four times more often in the towns with the serious meningitis problem than in the other towns."

- Media 1996

Could the increase in all forms of meningitis and other infectious disease complications and deaths be because for the last 40+ years, the first thing parents do at the slightest sign of temperature is push paracetamol? I believe so.

— Hilary Butler (VRAN Newsletter Jan-March 2003)

When notifications of meningitis (from MMR vaccine) from physicians were included; when the vaccine records of hospital cases of meningitis were included; when cross linkage of vaccine records from laboratory reports (4 laboratories) was performed and included the figure was increased to 1 in 11,000. It should be noted that in the case of one particular laboratory, this was 1 in 4,000.

Paul Shattock and Dawn Savery, Autism Research Unit, University of Sunderland, Sunderland, UK.

- http://www.whale.to/vaccines/meningitis2.htm

Every time you hear of the tragic death of an infant, carried off in the first weeks of life by 'viral meningitis', you have the right to suspect that BCG is at work, even if the autopsy confirms a viral diagnosis. My wife lived through this tragedy in a major Swiss hospital where she worked. The autopsy of the child revealed the tubercular nature of the 'viral' meningitis following a BCG inoculation, but all the assistants and nurses had received very clear instructions to say nothing or risk terrible consequences. As with all secret societies, the law of silence is absolute among doctors!

Dr Jean Elmiger (Rediscovering Real Medicine ISBN 1862041997)

My first lesson in vaccine propaganda is when I learned, back in the forties, that the "epidemics" of meningitis amongst miltitary recruits were not epidemics but clusters, and the second thing I learned was that only the freshly vaccinated recruits "caught" meningitis. The mess sargeant didn't, the drill sargeant didn't, only the recruits did. Not even the girls who worked at the base exchanges and service clubs, with whom the recruits played kissy face "caught" meningitis - only the freshly vaccinated recruits "caught" it.

— Daniel H Duffy Sr. DC

When I heard about the 14 year old boy who died of group C meningitis I remember wondering how soon beforehand he had his BCG vaccination (another vaccine with a 'live' organism).

— Dr Jayne L M Donegan

The Meningitis Factor

At no time are parents advised that drugs and vaccines are causes of meningitis, so they can avoid these as much as possible and reduce FEAR, instead the fear of meningitis is used to sell vaccines such as the Meningitis C vaccine which is "linked" to 11 deaths:

or that bottlefeeding is a factor:

Hanson LA. Human milk and host defence: immediate and long-term effects. Acta Paediatr Suppl. 1999 Aug;88(430):42-6. Review. PMID: 10569222 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

Convincing studies demonstrate significant protection during breastfeeding against diarrhoea, respiratory tract infections, otitis media, bacteraemia, bacterial meningitis, botulism, urinary tract infections and necrotizing enterocolitis. There is also good evidence for enhanced protection for years after the termination of breastfeeding against Haemophilus influenzae type b infections, otitis media, diarrhoea, respiratory tract infections and wheezing bronchitis. In some reports breastfeeding has also improved vaccine responses.

So what are the odds all or most meningitis being down to vaccines, antibiotics, other drugs, low vitamin c levels, junk food like sugar and aspartame, or pesticides, or a combination of them? One thing is for sure you are never going to find out unless you go and look for the answers yourself.

The matron was convinced that the diagnosis was meningitis so she prepared a lumbar puncture. I had however, seen this problem before. Lumbar punctures performed by me had been negative and the infants died... the trauma of inserting a needle..might result in a haemorrage that might cause spinal cord paralysis. So I decided to give an injection of vitamin C..I probably gave as many as 6 injections, each 100mg. After half an hour Mary was normal. It was hard to believe, but I had performed a miracle!... I found that any viral infection,

including measles and hepatitis, could be dramatically 'cured' by administering Vitamin C intravenously in big doses - provided that treatment was commenced early.

- Dr Kalokerinos MD (Medical Pioneer of the 20th century p175)

When all the drugs have been used up?

An Unethical Experiment On (Your) Children

Can you live with that, in years to come, especially if there is post-vaccination damage?

To determine the effect of different amounts of the vaccines, researchers at the hospital inoculated newborns from mostly lower-income black families with doses ranging up to more than 100 times the dose recommended for adults.

Media

Using kids as guinea pigs in potentially harmful vaccine experiments is every parents' worst nightmare. This actually happened in 1989-1991 when Kaiser Permanente of Southern California and the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) jointly conducted a measles vaccine experiment. Without proper parental disclosure, the Yugoslavian-made "high titre" Edmonston-Zagreb measles vaccine was tested on 1,500 poor, primarily black and Latino, inner city children in Los Angeles. Highly recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), the high-potency experimental vaccine was previously injected into infants in Mexico, Haiti, and Africa. It was discontinued in these countries when it was discovered that the children were dying in large numbers.

Dr Alan Cantwell MD

No concern for child safety when it comes to profits:

Up to 300 cases relate to this brand of vaccine - Pluserix - which was banned by the Department of Health in 1992 after being linked with meningitis. This was two years after an identical vaccine was banned in Canada.

— Media

THE Department of Health has told doctors they must use stocks of a mercury-based vaccine for infants even though it is being phased out for safety reasons.

— Media Aug 2001

MMR Urabe - Withdrawn 2-3 years after proven unsafe:

I believe the documents clearly reveal how the central government hid undesirable data and delayed discontinuation of the (MMR) vaccine," lawyer Tatsuro Shigemura said.

- Media 2002

In 1990, scientists from the Queen's Medical Centre Nottingham found some children developed a form of meningitis after receiving it. But despite this the Government went on using it for more than two years. The Canadian Government, also using the vaccine, immediately switched to a safer version. It was not until September 1992 that the UK Department of Health issued pharmacists with emergency supplies of a safer brand with instructions to withdraw existing batches.

Sunday Express

Still in use 7 years later, 1997, in Brazil:

Outbreak of aseptic meningitis associated with mass vaccination with a urabe containing mmr vaccine

Still in use 12 yrs later, 2002:

Officers are also investigating how a consignment of potentially dangerous mumps vaccine was sent to one of Direct Health 2000's suppliers. The vaccine sent by a German distributor turned out to be of the Urabe strain, banned in Britain since 1992 as it can cause viral meningitis in babies.

- Media 2002

You can see in this story that they have no interest in the truth but are covering it up PRIVATE EYE 17 October - 30 October 2003 Kids come second when a huge trillion \$\$ industry is at stake. History shows that time and time again.

And it took them 30 years to give up smallpox vaccine after it had died out:

For thirty years kids died from smallpox vaccinations even though no longer threatened by the disease.

- Dr Robert Mendelsohn MD

And they admitted the smallpox vaccine was then killing 20 children a year just in Western countries. And they admitted it killed 826 children from 1924-1963

Genocide?

The planet is being controlled, to an alarming extent, by elites, or, as I call them, cartels. There are many cartels, but 7 are the most powerful. They evolve, they learn from one another, they both compete and cooperate. Unfortunately, the trend is more towards cooperation. These 7 cartels represent the following areas: GOVERNMENT, MILITARY, INTELLIGENCE, ENERGY, MONEY, MEDIA, AND MEDICAL... I came to this map of cartels through my own research on the medical monopoly. That's where it started, in 1986... Once you understand these cartel elites, you can begin to separate out information into loose layers of importance, as in, which layer of the control game are we talking about? Because it's all about layers. And at most layers, the players are forwarding agendas which they do not realize fit into higher and more destructive agendas.

— Jon Rappoport

Now you know why the media cartel makes us think conspiracies don't exist, and why they like us to think "conspiracy theorists" are paranoid, mad etc. That is just effective propaganda.

Covert genocide. When you follow the neck to the body you come across a highly secret and nasty government that carries out covert-genocide on Africans using vaccines:

My final conclusion after forty years or more in this business [medicine] is that the unofficial policy of the World Health Organization and the unofficial policy of the 'Save the Children's Fund' and... [other vaccine promoting] organizations is one of murder and genocide... I cannot see any other possible explanation.... You cannot immunize sick children, malnourished children, and expect to get away with it. You'll kill far more children than would have died from natural infection.

— Dr Kalokerinos (International Vaccine Newsletter June 1995)

Deliberate attempts have been made to allow (Aboriginal) infants under my care to die. The real authorities don't want these infants to live. The real intention on the part of the authorities is genocide.

— Was the AIDS Virus tested on Expendable People By Harry V. Martin

The overwhelming evidence for the existence of the sinister shadow government is here and their [Overview] covert war against humanity

Hardly a fact to inspire confidence in government (cartel) and medical (cartel) propaganda and motives to put it mildly. They couldn't give a rat's ass about my kids.

They Will Blame YOU if there is a problem

Shaken baby syndrome (otherwise known as post-vaccine damage) is one of the greatest scandals and medical injustices of all time.

Vaccination causes brain inflammation, with characteristic swelling, bleeding on the brain surface and bleeding behind the eyes. There may also be waterfilled cysts.

Phoney, stupid, incompetent pathologists have long considered this to be evidence of brutality to the child. They have NEVER investigated whether or not vaccination damage is similar (because vaccinations can't possibly cause any brain damage, right?).

Countless parents have been sent to jail on the flimsy pathological evidence and no other proof of parental violence; found guilty of shaking their baby to death. Many others have gone through the stress of being tried in court and had to add the stress of that to the pain of losing a baby.

Parents denials and protests are never taken into account and this cruel juggernaut goes on, blaming parents for what is without question a common side effect of the heavy vaccination programs currently in use.

In 1998 Alan Yurko was found guilty of "murdering" his child and sentenced to 10 years in jail, without parole. He was finally released in 2004, when it emerged the evidence against him was fake and had been concocted simply to protect the vaccine industry.

Pro-vaccination cranks are quick to point out that Alan Yurko was a convicted burglar (therefore he must have done it, right?)

Well, I wouldn't like to be in your shoes if your baby has the misfortune to suffer this all-too-common side effect.

Treats Victims With Contempt

The industry treats vaccine victims with such contempt while covering up vaccine injuries often by blaming the parents.

Vaccine victims (remember that these are the children who have given up their health or lives for the greater good according to the propaganda) and their families are treated with absolute contempt, and few, if any, third world countries compensate victims and even Canada didn't until very recently and then only in one Province:

[Media Canada, Nov 2002] Only Quebec pays out for vaccine injuries

They will deny any connection, and may:

a. Lose your medical records

The main reason given was lack of medical evidence, in many case the medical records had been "lost" or "destroyed". We had the experience of this ourselves, when we appealed we received the evidence from the Unit only to discover that the first four years of Paul's records were missing from his file... How many were refused payment because of "lost" medical files is anyone's guess.

- Wm. H Wain BEM

The Department of Health has admitted that at least 400 records sent to its Committee on the Safety of Medicines in the Eighties no longer exist. As a result, dozens of families with sick children have lost out on payments from the Government of up to £100,000 under the Vaccine Damage Payment Scheme. They have been forced to abandon legal action for compensation because essential information was missing which may have proved their child did not have the condition before the vaccine.

b. rewrite the laws to avoid paying out and then pass the buck to the taxpayer:

Vaccine manufacturers and others are sheltered from product liability lawsuits by a special 1986 act of Congress. (See the US Code 42 USC 300aa for details.) This act set up a fund to compensate those who can prove serious injury from vaccines.

- Roger Schlafly

It is hard to imagine a more stupid or more dangerous way of making decisions than by putting those decisions in the hands of people who pay no price for being wrong.

- Thomas Sowell

Next, consider that vaccine manufacturers themselves have almost no legal accountability for their products, which is unprecedented protection for any drug. Any other drug that has had to face as many lawsuits would have gone out of business already. Federal laws stipulate that vaccine manufacturers and administrators cannot be sued in court for vaccine liabilities, until after the government approves or denies compensation through the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (VICP). Interestingly, our own Department of Justice lawyers intentionally delay and antagonize the processing of VICP claims, sometimes for as long as 10 years before an answer is given. This means those families cannot take vaccine manufacturers to court for as long as ten years or more after the initial injury or death. This tedious process makes it extremely difficult to litigate; the victims would have to retain the few lawyers in the country who are able and willing to invest this kind of time. As unpleasant as litigation is, it is one of the few checks and balances consumers have to trust products they use, let alone inject into their children.

Sally Tuker

and write barbaric laws (that maybe even George Orwell would consider too unbelievable for fiction) to further avoid paying out:

Some were deemed to be under 80% disabled as required by the Act, this was always considered by the patients unjust, if the child was 79% it did not qualify but it if was 80% it did.

— Wm. H Wain BEM

I know parents who have been turned down because they say the child is 79.5 per cent disabled (not 80%).

— Ann Coote

I wonder which heartless bastard dreamt that one up?

But then we are in fact living in a Fascist state according to an expert on Fascism:

Fascism should more properly be called corporatism since it is the merger of state and corporate power.

Benito Mussolini

Corporations run medicine and governments.

c. or change a medical definition to avoid liability:

Encephalopathy was redefined so that the diagnosis requires as a sine qua non in excess of 24 hours of a diminished level of consciousness, a criterion which is far more restrictive than that of the leading epidemiological study of pertussis vaccine injury, the British National Childhood Encephalopathy Study (NCES). Moreover, seizures have been removed from the Table, although that the pertussis vaccine can cause seizures is uncontested (and warned in the manufacturer's package insert).

Marcel Kingsbourne

or even decide to deny DPT vaccines cause serious brain damage by arranging to win a court hearing, even after paying out for 900 children in the UK alone over many years:

The case commenced in October 1987 in front of Lord Justice Stuart-Smith at the High Court. I was alarmed to discover that it was the Judge who decided which case he wanted to hear and which witnesses he would allow to be heard and that there would be a moratorium on all other Legal Aid Certificates. Lord Justice Stuart-Smith... found that the Plaintiff had failed to show on the balance of probabilities that the vaccine could have caused permanent brain damage in young children... His Lordship's decision did not surprise me and others because he had been deprived of hearing vital evidence from the parents of vaccine damaged children, their medical experts, independent medical experts from the Vaccine Damaged Tribunals and reports from the Yellow Card system. Before the case commenced I wrote to the Lord Chancellor and the Master of the Rolls saving that the case had been chosen to fail, they replied saying they could intervene. I also wrote to the Learned Clerk to the Lord Stuart-Smith about the witnesses to be called, he replied saying that this was up to the Counsel in the case.

There is little doubt the Loveday v Renton case left a lot to be desired in the interests of natural justice. When was the Loveday case selected when Miss Loveday had been refused Payment under the Act and had previously been to the court of appeal on two occasions which had refused her applications. There were hundreds of cases which could have been selected which had been awarded the Payment and where evidence could have been called by medical experts.

— Wm. H Wain BEM

d. While hiding vaccine deaths under Cot-Death/SIDS/Crib-Death or Shaken Baby Syndrome

Scott Warren Walters, 28, was acquitted of the manslaughter of three-month-old Rikki-Lee after a Supreme Court Judge found that her death could have been caused by factors other than "shaking baby syndrome".... Dr. Archivides Kalokerinos said a possible cause of Rikki-Lee's death was "scurvy haemorrhages precipitated by pertussis [whooping cough) vaccine". Another doctor Mark Donohoe said Rikki-Lee may have been suffering from a bleeding disorder which combined with other factors could have caused the haemorrhaging.

Media

e. And fight you all the way in court where few get compensated:

Despite changes in the law, only one out of 171 cases succeeded in 2000 and the following year just two out of 176 were successful. Claims jumped to 406 in 2002 but only eight families received payments, while of the 183 families....Kay Stait is still fighting for compensation for her son Ben, who developed epilepsy after a three-in-one jab in 1994 and has fits every day,' she said. 'He needs 24-hour care and has been in and out of hospital for years. Yet he was a perfect baby, just beautiful. I feel bitter and terribly cheated but we won't stop trying.

— Media 2004

NVIC charges that the Departments of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and Justice have violated the "spirit and intent of the law" and turned what Congress promised parents would be a "non-adversarial, expeditious and informal process" into a "highly adversarial, lengthy, traumatic and unfair imitation of a lawsuit." Pointing out that three out of four children are turned away for federal compensation for permanent immune system and brain damage following receipt of mandated vaccines.

- NVIC Press release sept 1999

[Media Feb 2004] Payout scandal of children left disabled by jabs

[MMR litigation] A life sentence with no appeal; It's the final insult.

[Media 27 February, 2004] Parents refused aid to fight MMR

f. Buy up the vaccine experts so they are even less likely to lose in court:

And then the industry started buying up the experts," he contends, citing the example of James Cherry, a widely recognized pertussis expert who has served on both the ACIP and the AAP's vaccine advisory committee.

— Congressional Quarterly Researcher

CASE No. 82-1232, Cossette Krause, vs F.K. Abbousy, MD et al (State of Ohio), transcipt of videotape deposition of Dr Edward Mortimer September 6, 1984.. On page 11 reads the following:

"Several years ago, because of the increasing amount of litigations over DTP, members of the so-called Red Book Committee of the American Academy of Pediatrics agreed in a sense that we would sort of divide up the cases to try to help the manufacturers in these lawsuits, and therefore I and a number of my colleagues agreed to serve as expert witnesses."

g. Use junk/fraudulent science to further avoid paying out:

The Taylor study is seriously flawed in many ways, as had been noted in a number of letters to the editor of The Lancet and in a number of additional letters on the subject which have been posted on the internet. It was subject to strong attack at a recent meeting of the British Statistical Society. I have been a full-time researcher my entire professional life, for almost 50 years, and I respectfully asked Dr. Taylor for a copy of the data so that I could reanalyze them. He refused this ordinary professional courtesy, and I have subsequently written to the editor of The Lancet requesting that an impartial committee

be asked to reexamine Dr. Taylor's statistical methods. If he refuses again, I urged The Lancet to retract his paper.

— Dr Rimland

Junk science quotes

Read what a parent of a MMR injured child has to go through on a daily basis, with no help from government, for 20 years in this instance: We need help for our son, Eric who has autism from MMR - Raymond Gallup

damage caused by vaccinations means the complete destruction of a child, of an individual. Those kids can't speak. They're complete idiots, imbeciles. Often, they are spastically paralyzed, and frequently, they also suffer from muscular cramps... Sometimes whole families are destroyed.

Dr Buchwald MD

Just think for a moment about the mind set of people who can treat vaccine victims with such contempt, and then ponder the fact that they are the very same people who claim MMR doesn't cause autism and that vaccines are safe.

Many Skilled Medical Doctors Advise Against Vaccines

Many doctors who have been reared on vaccines now advise against them so why should I as a parent vaccinate?

The greatest threat of childhood diseases lies in the dangerous and ineffectual efforts made to prevent them through mass immunization.....There is no convincing scientific evidence that mass inoculations can be credited with eliminating any childhood disease.

- Dr Robert Mendelsohn, M.D.

forced me to look into the question of vaccination further, and the further I looked the more shocked I became. I found that the whole vaccine business was indeed a gigantic hoax. Most doctors are convinced that they are useful, but if you look at the proper statistics and study the instances of these diseases you will realize that this is not so.

— Dr Kalokerinos MD (International Vaccine Newsletter June 1995)

I Appendix

An interview of a former vaccine researcher by columnist Jon Rappaport (taken from www.whale.to, this document is now in the public domain).

Q: You were once certain that vaccines were the hallmark of good medicine.

A: Yes I was. I helped develop a few vaccines. I won't say which ones.

Q: Why not?

A: I want to preserve my privacy.

Q: So you think you could have problems if you came out into the open?

A: I believe I could lose my pension.

Q: On what grounds?

A: The grounds don't matter. These people have ways of causing you problems, when you were once part of the Club. I know one or two people who were put under surveillance, who were harassed.

Q: Harassed by whom?

A: The FBI.

Q: Really?

A: Sure. The FBI used other pretexts. And the IRS can come calling too.

Q: So much for free speech.

A: I was "part of the inner circle." If now I began to name names and make specific accusations against researchers, I could be in a world of trouble.

Q: What is at the bottom of these efforts at harassment?

A: Vaccines are the last defense of modern medicine. Vaccines are the ultimate justification for the overall "brilliance" of modern medicine.

Q: Do you believe that people should be allowed to choose whether they should get vaccines?

A: On a political level, yes. On a scientific level, people need information, so that they can choose well. It's one thing to say choice is good. But if the atmosphere is full of lies, how can you choose? Also, if the FDA were run by

honorable people, these vaccines would not be granted licenses. They would be investigated to within an inch of their lives.

Q: There are medical historians who state that the overall decline of illnesses was not due to vaccines.

A: I know. For a long time, I ignored their work.

Q: Why?

A: Because I was afraid of what I would find out. I was in the business of developing vaccines. My livelihood depended on continuing that work.

Q: And then?

A: I did my own investigation.

Q: What conclusions did you come to?

A: The decline of disease is due to improved living conditions.

Q: What conditions?

A: Cleaner water. Advanced sewage systems. Nutrition. Fresher food. A decrease in poverty. Germs may be everywhere, but when you are healthy, you don't contract the diseases as easily.

Q: What did you feel when you completed your own investigation?

A: Despair. I realized I was working a sector based on a collection of lies.

Q: Are some vaccines more dangerous than others?

A: Yes. The DPT shot, for example. The MMR. But some lots of a vaccine are more dangerous than other lots of the same vaccine. As far as I'm concerned, all vaccines are dangerous.

Q: Why?

A: Several reasons. They involve the human immune system in a process that tends to compromise immunity. They can actually cause the disease they are supposed to prevent. They can cause other diseases than the ones they are supposed to prevent.

Q: Why are we quoted statistics which seem to prove that vaccines have been tremendously successful at wiping out diseases?

A: Why? To give the illusion that these vaccines are useful. If a vaccine suppresses visible symptoms of a disease like measles, everyone assumes that the vaccine is a success. But, under the surface, the vaccine can harm the immune system itself. And if it causes other diseases -- say, meningitis -- that fact is masked, because no one believes that the vaccine can do that. The connection is overlooked.

Q: It is said that the smallpox vaccine wiped out smallpox in England.

A: Yes. But when you study the available statistics, you get another picture.

Q: Which is?

A: There were cities in England where people who were not vaccinated did not get smallpox. There were places where people who were vaccinated experienced smallpox epidemics. And smallpox was already on the decline before the vaccine was introduced.

Q: So you're saying that we have been treated to a false history.

A: Yes. That's exactly what I'm saying. This is a history that has been cooked up to convince people that vaccines are invariably safe and effective.

Q: Now, you worked in labs. Where purity was an issue.

A: The public believes that these labs, these manufacturing facilities are the cleanest places in the world. That is not true. Contamination occurs all the time. You get all sorts of debris introduced into vaccines.

Q: For example, the SV40 monkey virus slips into the polio vaccine.

A: Well yes, that happened. But that's not what I mean. The SV40 got into the polio vaccine because the vaccine was made by using monkey kidneys. But I'm talking about something else. The actual lab conditions. The mistakes. The careless errors. SV40, which was later found in cancer tumors -- that was what I would call a structural problem. It was an accepted part of the manufacturing process. If you use monkey kidneys, you open the door to germs which you don't know are in those kidneys.

Q: Okay, but let's ignore that distinction between different types of contaminants for a moment. What contaminants did you find in your many years of work with vaccines?

A: All right. I'll give you some of what I came across, and I'll also give you what colleagues of mine found. Here's a partial list. In the Rimavex measles vaccine, we found various chicken viruses. In polio vaccine, we found acanthamoeba, which is a so-called "brain-eating" amoeba.

Simian cytomegalovirus in polio vaccine. Simian foamy virus in the rotavirus vaccine. Bird-cancer viruses in the MMR vaccine. Various micro-organisms in the anthrax vaccine. I've found potentially dangerous enzyme inhibitors in several vaccines. Duck, dog, and rabbit viruses in the rubella vaccine. Avian leucosis virus in the flu vaccine. Pestivirus in the MMR vaccine.

Q: Let me get this straight. These are all contaminants which don't belong in the vaccines.

A: That's right. And if you try to calculate what damage these contaminants can cause, well, we don't really know, because no testing has been done, or

very little testing. It's a game of roulette. You take your chances. Also, most people don't know that some polio vaccines, adenovirus vaccines, rubella and hep A and measles vaccines have been made with aborted human fetal tissue. I have found what I believed were bacterial fragments and poliovirus in these vaccines from time to time -- which may have come from that fetal tissue.

When you look for contaminants in vaccines, you can come up with material that IS puzzling. You know it shouldn't be there, but you don't know exactly what you've got. I have found what I believed was a very small "fragment" of human hair and also human mucus. I have found what can only be called "foreign protein," which could mean almost anything. It could mean protein from viruses.

Q: Alarm bells are ringing all over the place.

A: How do you think I felt? Remember, this material is going into the bloodstream without passing through some of the ordinary immune defenses.

Q: How were your findings received?

A: Basically, it was, don't worry, this can't be helped. In making vaccines, you use various animals' tissue, and that's where this kind of contamination enters in. Of course, I'm not even mentioning the standard chemicals like formaldehyde, mercury, and aluminum which are purposely put into vaccines.

Q: This information is pretty staggering.

A: Yes. And I'm just mentioning some of the biological contaminants. Who knows how many others there are? Others we don't find because we don't think to look for them. If tissue from, say, a bird is used to make a vaccine, how many possible germs can be in that tissue? We have no idea. We have no idea what they might be, or what effects they could have on humans.

Q: And beyond the purity issue?

A: You are dealing with the basic faulty premise about vaccines. That they intricately stimulate the immune system to create the conditions for immunity from disease. That is the bad premise. It doesn't work that way. A vaccine is supposed to "create" antibodies which, indirectly, offer protection against disease. However, the immune system is much larger and more involved than antibodies and their related "killer cells."

Q: The immune system is?

A: The entire body, really. Plus the mind. It's all immune system, you might say. That is why you can have, in the middle of an epidemic, those individuals who remain healthy.



Q: So the level of general health is important.

A: More than important. Vital.

Q: How are vaccine statistics falsely presented?

A: There are many ways. For example, suppose that 25 people who have received the hepatitis B vaccine come down with hepatitis. Well, hep B is a liver disease. But you can call liver disease many things. You can change the diagnosis. Then, you've concealed the root cause of the problem.

Q: And that happens?

A: All the time. It HAS to happen, if the doctors automatically assume that people who get vaccines DO NOT come down with the diseases they are now supposed to be protected from. And that is exactly what doctors assume. You see, it's circular reasoning. It's a closed system. It admits no fault. No possible fault. If a person who gets a vaccine against hepatitis gets hepatitis, or gets some other disease, the automatic assumption is, this had nothing to do with the disease.

Q: In your years working in the vaccine establishment, how many doctors did you encounter who admitted that vaccines were a problem?

A: None. There were a few who privately questioned what they were doing. But they would never go public, even within their companies.

Q: What was the turning point for you?

A: I had a friend whose baby died after a DPT shot.

Q: Did you investigate?

A: Yes, informally. I found that this baby was completely healthy before the vaccination. There was no reason for his death, except the vaccine. That started my doubts. Of course, I wanted to believe that the baby had gotten a bad shot from a bad lot. But as I looked into this further, I found that was not the case in this instance. I was being drawn into a spiral of doubt that increased over time. I continued to investigate. I found that, contrary to what I thought, vaccines are not tested in a scientific way.

Q: What do you mean?

A: For example, no long-term studies are done on any vaccines. Long-term follow-up is not done in any careful way. Why? Because, again, the assumption is made that vaccines do not cause problems. So why should anyone check? On top of that, a vaccine reaction is defined so that all bad reactions are said to occur very soon after the shot is given. But that does not make sense.

Q: Why doesn't it make sense?

A: Because the vaccine obviously acts in the body for a long period of time after it is given. A reaction can be gradual. Deterioration can be gradual. Neurological problems can develop over time. They do in various conditions, even according to a conventional analysis. So why couldn't that be the case with vaccines? If chemical poisoning can occur gradually, why couldn't that be the case with a vaccine which contains mercury?

Q: And that is what you found?

A: Yes. You are dealing with correlations, most of the time. Correlations are not perfect. But if you get 500 parents whose children have suffered neurological damage during a one-year period after having a vaccine, this should be sufficient to spark off an intense investigation.

Q: Has it been enough?

A: No. Never. This tells you something right away.

Q: Which is?

A: The people doing the investigation are not really interested in looking at the facts. They assume that the vaccines are safe. So, when they do investigate, they invariably come up with exonerations of the vaccines. They say, "This vaccine is safe." But what do they base those judgments on? They base them on definitions and ideas which automatically rule out a condemnation of the vaccine.

Q: There are numerous cases where a vaccine campaign has failed. Where people have come down with the disease against which they were vaccinated.

A: Yes, there are many such instances. And there the evidence is simply ignored. It's discounted. The experts say, if they say anything at all, that this is just an isolated situation, but overall the vaccine has been shown to be safe. But if you add up all the vaccine campaigns where damage and disease have occurred, you realize that these are NOT isolated situations.

Q: Did you ever discuss what we are talking about here with colleagues, when you were still working in the vaccine establishment?

A: Yes I did.

Q: What happened?

A: Several times I was told to keep quiet. It was made clear that I should go back to work and forget my misgivings. On a few occasions, I encountered fear. Colleagues tried to avoid me. They felt they could be labeled with "guilt by association." All in all, though, I behaved myself. I made sure I didn't

create problems for myself.

Q: If vaccines actually do harm, why are they given?

A: First of all, there is no "if." They do harm. It becomes a more difficult question to decide whether they do harm in those people who seem to show no harm. Then you are dealing with the kind of research which should be done, but isn't. Researchers should be probing to discover a kind of map, or flow chart, which shows exactly what vaccines do in the body from the moment they enter. This research has not been done. As to why they are given, we could sit here for two days and discuss all the reasons. As you've said many times, at different layers of the system people have their motives. Money, fear of losing a job, the desire to win brownie points, prestige, awards, promotion, misguided idealism, unthinking habit, and so on. But, at the highest levels of the medical cartel, vaccines are a top priority because they cause a weakening of the immune system. I know that may be hard to accept, but it's true. The medical cartel, at the highest level, is not out to help people, it is out to harm them, to weaken them. To kill them. At one point in my career, I had a long conversation with a man who occupied a high government position in an African nation. He told me that he was well aware of this. He told me that WHO is a front for these depopulation interests. There is an underground, shall we say, in Africa, made up of various officials who are earnestly trying to change the lot of the poor. This network of people knows what is going on. They know that vaccines have been used, and are being used, to destroy their countries, to make them ripe for takeover by globalist powers. I have had the opportunity to speak with several of these people from this network.

Q: Is Thabo Mbeki, the president of South Africa, aware of the situation?

A: I would say he is partially aware. Perhaps he is not utterly convinced, but he is on the way to realizing the whole truth. He already knows that HIV is a hoax. He knows that the AIDS drugs are poisons which destroy the immune system. He also knows that if he speaks out, in any way, about the vaccine issue, he will be branded a lunatic. He has enough trouble after his stand on the AIDS issue.

Q: This network you speak of.

A: It has accumulated a huge amount of information about vaccines. The question is, how is a successful strategy going to be mounted? For these people, that is a difficult issue.

Q: And in the industrialized nations?

A: The medical cartel has a stranglehold, but it is diminishing. Mainly because people have the freedom to question medicines. However, if the

choice issue [the right to take or reject any medicine] does not gather steam, these coming mandates about vaccines against biowarefare germs are going to win out. This is an important time.

Q: The furor over the hepatits B vaccine seems one good avenue.

A: I think so, yes. To say that babies must have the vaccine-and then in the next breath, admitting that a person gets hep B from sexual contacts and shared needles -- is a ridiculous juxtaposition. Medical authorities try to cover themselves by saying that 20,000 or so children in the US get hep B every year from "unknown causes," and that's why every baby must have the vaccine. I dispute that 20,00 figure and the so-called studies that back it up.

Q: Andrew Wakefield, the British MD who uncovered the link between the MMR vaccine and autism, has just been fired from his job in a London hospital.

A: Yes. Wakefield performed a great service. His correlations between the vaccine and autism are stunning. Perhaps you know that Tony Blair's wife is involved with alternative health. There is the possibility that their child has not been given the MMR. Blair recently side-stepped the question in press interviews, and made it seem that he was simply objecting to invasive questioning of his "personal and family life." In any event, I believe his wife has been muzzled. I think, if given the chance, she would at least say she is sympathetic to all the families who have come forward and stated that their children were severely damaged by the MMR.

Q: British reporters should try to get through to her.

A: They have been trying. But I think she has made a deal with her husband to keep quiet, no matter what. She could do a great deal of good if she breaks her promise. I have been told she is under pressure, and not just from her husband. At the level she occupies, MI6 and British health authorities get into the act. It is thought of as a matter of national security.

Q: Well, it is national security, once you understand the medical cartel.

A: It is global security. The cartel operates in every nation. It zealously guards the sanctity of vaccines. Questioning these vaccines is on the same level as a Vatican bishop questioning the sanctity of the sacrament of the Eucharist in the Catholic Church.

Q: I know that a Hollywood celebrity stating publicly that he will not take a vaccine is committing career suicide.

A: Hollywood is linked very powerfully to the medical cartel. There are several reasons, but one of them is simply that an actor who is famous can draw a huge amount of publicity if he says ANYTHING. In 1992, I was

present at your demonstration against the FDA in downtown Los Angeles. One or two actors spoke against the FDA. Since that time, you would be hard pressed to find an actor who has spoken out in any way against the medical cartel.

Q: Within the National Institutes of Health, what is the mood, what is the basic frame of mind?

A: People are competing for research monies. The last thing they think about is challenging the status quo. They are already in an intramural war for that money. They don't need more trouble. This is a very insulated system. It depends on the idea that, by and large, modern medicine is very successful on every frontier. To admit systemic problems in any area is to cast doubt on the whole enterprise. You might therefore think that NIH is the last place one should think about holding demonstrations. But just the reverse is true. If five thousand people showed up there demanding an accounting of the actual benefits of that research system, demanding to know what real health benefits have been conferred on the public from the billions of wasted dollars funneled to that facility, something might start. A spark might go off. You might get, with further demonstrations, all sorts of fall-out. Researchers -- a few -- might start leaking information.

Q: A good idea.

A: People in suits standing as close to the buildings as the police will allow. People in business suits, in jogging suits, mothers and babies. Well-off people. Poor people. All sorts of people.

Q: What about the combined destructive power of a number of vaccines given to babies these days?

A: It is a travesty and a crime. There are no real studies of any depth which have been done on that. Again, the assumption is made that vaccines are safe, and therefore any number of vaccines given together are safe as well. But the truth is, vaccines are not safe. Therefore the potential damage increases when you give many of them in a short time period.

O: Then we have the fall flu season.

A: Yes. As if only in the autumn do these germs float in to the US from Asia. The public swallows that premise. If it happens in April, it is a bad cold. If it happens in October, it is the flu.

Q: Do you regret having worked all those years in the vaccine field? A: Yes. But after this interview, I'll regret it a little less. And I work in other ways. I give out information to certain people, when I think they will use it well.

Q: What is one thing you want the public to understand?

A: That the burden of proof in establishing the safety and efficacy of vaccines is on the people who manufacture and license them for public use. Just that. The burden of proof is not on you or me. And for proof you need well-designed long-term studies. You need extensive follow-up. You need to interview mothers and pay attention to what mothers say about their babies and what happens to them after vaccination. You need all these things. The things that are not there.

Q: The things that are not there.

A: Yes.

Q: To avoid any confusion, I'd like you to review, once more, the disease problems that vaccines can cause. Which diseases, how that happens.

A: We are basically talking about two potential harmful outcomes. One, the person gets the disease from the vaccine. He gets the disease which the vaccine is supposed to protect him from. Because, some version of the disease is in the vaccine to begin with. Or two, he doesn't get THAT disease, but at some later time, maybe right away, maybe not, he develops another condition which is caused by the vaccine. That condition could be autism, what's called autism, or it could be some other disease like meningitis. He could become mentally disabled.

Q: Is there any way to compare the relative frequency of these different outcomes?

A: No. Because the follow-up is poor. We can only guess. If you ask, out of a population of a hundred thousand children who get a measles vaccine, how many get the measles, and how many develop other problems from the vaccine, there is a no reliable answer. That is what I'm saying. Vaccines are superstitions. And with superstitions, you don't get facts you can use. You only get stories, most of which are designed to enforce the superstition. But, from many vaccine campaigns, we can piece together a narrative that does reveal some very disturbing things. People have been harmed. The harm is real, and it can be deep and it can mean death. The harm is NOT limited to a few cases, as we have been led to believe. In the US, there are groups of mothers who are testifying about autism and childhood vaccines. They are coming forward and standing up at meetings. They are essentially trying to fill in the gap that has been created by the researchers and doctors who turn their backs on the whole thing.

Q: Let me ask you this. If you took a child in, say, Boston and you raised that child with good nutritious food and he exercised every day and he was loved by his parents, and he didn't get the measles vaccine, what would be his health status compared with the average child in Boston who eats poorly and watches five hours of TV a day and gets the measles vaccine?

A: Of course there are many factors involved, but I would bet on the better health status for the first child. If he gets measles, if he gets it when he is nine, the chances are it will be much lighter than the measles the second child might get. I would bet on the first child every time.

Q: How long did you work with vaccines?

A: A long time. Longer than ten years.

Q: Looking back now, can you recall any good reason to say that vaccines are successful?

A: No, I can't. If I had a child now, the last thing I would allow is vaccination. I would move out of the state if I had to. I would change the family name. I would disappear. With my family. I'm not saying it would come to that. There are ways to sidestep the system with grace, if you know how to act. There are exemptions you can declare, in every state, based on religious and/or philosophic views. But if push came to shove, I would go on the move.

Q: And yet there are children everywhere who do get vaccines and appear to be healthy.

A: The operative word is "appear." What about all the children who can't focus on their studies? What about the children who have tantrums from time to time? What about the children who are not quite in possession of all their mental faculties? I know there are many causes for these things, but vaccines are one cause. I would not take the chance. I see no reason to take the chance. And frankly, I see no reason to allow the government to have the last word. Government medicine is, from my experience, often a contradiction in terms. You get one or the other, but not both.

Q: So we come to the level playing field.

A: Yes. Allow those who want the vaccines to take them. Allow the dissidents to decline to take them. But, as I said earlier, there is no level playing field if the field is strewn with lies. And when babies are involved, you have parents making all the decisions. Those parents need a heavy dose of truth. What about the child I spoke of who died from the DPT shot? What information did his parents act on? I can tell you it was heavily weighted. It was not real information.

Q: Medical PR people, in concert with the press, scare the hell out of parents with dire scenarios about what will happen if their kids don't get shots.

A: They make it seem a crime to refuse the vaccine. They equate it with bad parenting. You fight that with better information. It is always a challenge to buck the authorities. And only you can decide whether to do it. It is every person's responsibility to make up his mind. The medical cartel likes that bet. It is betting that the fear will win.