

\$12.95

The Great AIDS Hoax



- Why AIDS is a monstrous hoax.
- Why most AIDS sufferers die even though it is not a deadly disease.
- How and why the AIDS conspiracy began.
- Why AIDS is widespread among male homosexuals and virtually nonexistent among female homosexuals.
- Pinpoint the real causes of what is called AIDS.
- How AIDS can be overcome in three to five weeks in almost all cases.
- Revealing why government and commercial interests conspired to impose the AIDS hoax upon America.
- Documents that AIDS is a concocted disease and a lumping together of old immunodeficiency diseases including syphilis.
- Shows IDS was in the Merck Manual long before the "A" for Acquired was added by the Centers for Disease Control.

T.C. Fry

NEW, UPDATED
3rd EDITION

The Great AIDS Hoax

by T.C. Fry

AUTHOR'S NOTE:

This update is not a revision
of the body of the book.

**Health Excellence Systems
1108 Regal Row
Manchaca, Texas 78652**

The Great AIDS Hoax

Copyright © February 1989 by T. C. Fry

All rights reserved

Health Excellence Systems

1108 Regal Row

Manchaca, Texas 78652

Manufactured in the United States of America

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number: 89-84123

International Standard Book Number: 1-55830-005-8

PREFACE

ABOUT THE COVER STATEMENTS

Detailed presentations are in the body of this book.

Why AIDS is a monstrous hoax. Basically, AIDS is a renaming of many old immunodeficiency diseases, specifically syphilis. The first stage of syphilis is now called herpes genitalis. The second stage of syphilis is called ARC or AIDS Related Complex. The third stage of syphilis is called AIDS and the fourth stage, formerly called neurosyphilis, is now called AIDS dementia. There are about 25 other immunodeficiency diseases lumped together under the AIDS umbrella. The IDS part of the AIDS acronym had been in the medical literature for more than 25 years preceding the introduction of this atrociously conceived scam. The so-called HIV is another cog in this vicious hoax. As proved herein, HIV has nothing to do with AIDS. What is called AIDS are many dysfunctions thrown together as a syndrome.

Why most AIDS sufferers die even though it is not a deadly disease. The deficiency of the body's defensive white blood cells, especially the T-4 lymphocyte cells, is occasioned by the mass suicide these cells commit in phagocytizing deadly drugs which are entering the blood. If the drugs are stopped and healthful ways instituted, renewed health is the usual result. Instead, the establishment's proclamation of the deadliness of the disease is self-fulfilling. Those who become enmeshed in the medical net are given deadly AZT and chemotherapy, drugs that are even more toxic than the recreational and prescribed drugs that caused the condition in the first place.

How and why the AIDS conspiracy began. The CDC was in danger of budget cuts. Research interests were, in 1981, holding their hands out for projects. And the rapacious appetite of the drug (pharmaceutical) cartel for ever more drug users made them naturals as conspirators with the CDC and Research interests. AIDS is the most successful sham they've ever introduced. Powerful interests of the time had introduced GRID (Gay-Related-Immunodeficiency Disease) in an effort to derail the gay rights movement. CDC appropriated this effort outright and redesignated it AIDS so it could be hung on the whole population.

Why AIDS is widespread among male homosexuals and virtually nonexistent among female homosexuals. Male homosexuals are into a drug culture that destroys their immune systems. Lesbians use drugs less than the population-at-large. Hence female homosexuals suffer AIDS less than the population-at-large.

Pinpointing the real causes of what is called AIDS. The causes of AIDS are drugs, prescribed, nonprescribed and recreational as well as an unwholesome lifestyle. Even in hemophiliacs, immunosuppressant drugs that prevent the rejection of alien proteins (as in transfused blood) cause their demise.

How AIDS can be overcome in three to five weeks in almost all cases. Those who suffer ANY illness can, in almost all cases, overcome the problem rather rapidly by:

1. Discontinuing the causes of the problems, in this case drugs for the most part. Do not submit to medically prescribed drugs! These drugs are deadly too!

2. Establish healthful practices! This means a mostly raw diet, ample sunshine, adequate sleep and a vigorous exercise or activity program. Under these conditions the body can catch up on its cleansing homework and rebuild its white blood cell complement.

Revealing why government and commercial interests conspired to foist the AIDS hoax upon America. The answer is given above and throughout this book.

Documenting that AIDS is a concocted disease and a lumping together of old immunodeficiency diseases including syphilis. Likewise, the answer appears above and the documentation is from the medical literature presented throughout this book, especially the Merck Manual.

Documenting that IDS was in the Merck Manual long before the "A" for Acquired was added by the Centers for Disease Control. Go to any Merck Manual all the way back to 1952 and look up immunodeficiency diseases. IDS is there. As well, "acquired" and "congenital" are under the same subject. Acquired means a disease developed after birth and congenital means a disease present at birth.

Dedication

This book is dedicated to the hundreds of people in service to truth who, after reading a flyer by the same title as this book, sent in contributions, suggestions and encouragement that I write a book and tell the whole story about one of history's most gigantic hoaxes.

About the Author

T. C. Fry was born in Bennington, Oklahoma, in 1926. He was a principal of Musical Heritage Society, a mail-order classical record club, from 1961 to 1976. Mr. Fry has been active in the health movement since his discovery of Natural Hygiene in 1970. His successes in the health field include his development of the Life Science course in the Nutritional and Health Sciences. The course has been widely accepted and has over 2,500 students and graduates.

The course was translated into French and adopted as part of the curriculum of the Department of Medicine, University of Paris. There the course is taught as Naturotherapy to 1,200 of the department's 1,500 medical students. The course is currently being translated into German, Spanish, and Italian.

Mr. Fry is best known in the United States as a mentor of Harvey and Marilyn Diamond, the authors of the best-selling book, *Fit For Life*. T. C. Fry is the publisher of the magazine *Healthful Living*, the newsletters *The Healthway Advisor* and *The Health Scene Newsletter*, and various publications. He leads the Life Science effort to bring the message of sickness-free health to America.

Contents

Introduction	i
SECTION I	
Engineering and Manufacturing Panics and Hysteria	
1 An Indictment of the AIDS Hoax—A Bill of Particulars	3
2 AIDS Calamity Faces American Citizens	9
3 How to Create Disease Panics Amongst Our Populace	11
4 Why Such a Frenzy Over AIDS?	13
Only One Panic at a Time, Please	15
5 AIDS Described by Dr. Herbert M. Shelton in 1931!	16
6 Why AIDS Happened	18
7 AIDS is a Paper Tiger	20
8 What Really Causes AIDS?	22
9 Why AIDS Is a Monstrous Hoax	28
SECTION II	
Focusing on the Health System and Thought	
Methodology of This Book	
1 Do You Make Up Your Mind on Facts or Feelings?	31
2 Why I Wrote This Book on AIDS	35
3 What Are Your Credentials, Mr. Fry?	40
4 The Health System That Underlies This Book	42
5 Salient Principles, Concepts and Facts About Natural Hygiene	45
SECTION III	
Elements of the AIDS Fraud—Old Diseases Now Called AIDS	
1 What Is a Virus?	51
2 The Great Virus Hoax	53
3 Do Viruses Cause AIDS?	58
4 Are Viruses Smarter Than Humans?	62
5 The Great AIDS Hoax	64
6 A Look Into the Causes of AIDS Per the Medical Literature	71
7 Did You Know that Spirochetes Are Normally Found in the Human Mouth?	72
8 Alcoholics Develop AIDS!	74
9 Do Bacteria, Fungus and Viruses Make Us Sick?	75
10 Is AIDS Really Syphilis in New Garb?	77

11 How Do You Tell AIDS From Syphilis?	79
12 AIDS, The AIDS Virus, Dr. Gallo, Dr. Duesberg, and Koch's Postulates	80
13 Did Dr. Gallo Photograph an AIDS Virus?	82
14 Why Those with "AIDS" Suffer More Illnesses	83
15 Does the Body Fight Disease?	85
16 Truths About AIDS Surface Slowly	89
17 Marijuana Promotes AIDS	92
18 Mercury Observed to Cause AIDS	93
19 Why Condiments Are Immunosuppressants	94
20 The Biggest Hoax of All: The Virus Sham	97
21 Is AIDS Agammaglobulinemia?	99

SECTION IV

AIDS and Contagion

1 You're All Wet About Contagion	103
2 Contagion—An Ancient Myth Resurrected!	105
3 AIDS is Positively NOT Contagious!	106
4 The Great AIDS Hoax	108
5 Did You Hear of Acquired Syphilis?	127
6 Contagion is Difficult to Understand	128
7 Pity the Poor Hospital Workers Who Must Tend AIDS Patients	131

SECTION V

About Vaccines, Antibodies and Immunity

1 Do Vaccines Solve Disease Problems?	135
2 Do Antibodies Make Us Immune or Susceptible to Disease?	137
3 Is There Such a Thing As Immunity?	139
4 The AIDS Vaccine is Now Being Tested	141
5 An Article on the AIDS Virus from <i>Spin</i> Magazine	143

SECTION VI

AIDS and Sex

1 An update on the AIDS Scam	155
2 Discovering Where The Aids Virus Hides	155
3 Scientist Maintains Virus Not the Cause of AIDS	157
4 Did Chimpanzees Prove Useless As Guinea Pigs in AIDS?	157
5 Did Sex Avoidance Lower the Incidence of AIDS in Homosexuals?	158

6 Is AIDS Sexually Transmissible?	160
7 Think About It, America!	162
8 Do Reused Needles Cause AIDS Transmission in IV Users?	163

SECTION VII

Promoting a Successful Panic

1 Let's Prevent a Major AIDS Plague! Let's Err on the Side of Caution	167
2 Are You Safe from AIDS?	168
3 Soon Everybody Will Have AIDS!	170
4 Making AIDS a Bigger and Bigger Epidemic	172
5 Everyone Should Be Tested for AIDS!	174
6 Nursing the AIDS Baby Along	175
7 The Big AIDS Boom	177
8 Mothers with AIDS Antibodies to Be Treated During Pregnancy	180
9 Is Pushing Drugs for AIDS Scientific?	182

SECTION VIII

AIDS Lies and Dirty Tricks

1 Why Do You Call AIDS a Hoax?	187
2 Things Equal to the Same Thing Are the Same Thing	190
3 Centers for Disease Control's Bag of Tricks	191
4 Why We Call AIDS a Conspiracy	192
5 AIDS Conspirators Complain People Don't Take Them Seriously Anymore!	195
6 The Methodology Whereby You Are Ruled and Held in Subjection	197
7 YER, AIDS Was Really a Conspiracy	199
8 Were Government "Scientists" Really Baffled by AIDS?	201
9 The Right of Might	203
10 Is AIDS a Major Public Health Problem?	204
11 If He Isn't Dying of AIDS, Then What is He Dying Of?	205
12 <i>New York Times</i> and <i>Reader's Digest</i> Tell Us What We Must Do About AIDS	207
13 Why Do Hemophiliacs Come Down with AIDS?	209
14 A Reader is Suspicious About Our AIDS Expose Campaign	211
15 AIDS Campaign Strikes a Rich Mother Lode!	215
16 The AIDS Campaign is Showing Signs of Weakness	217
17 The Origin of AIDS	219
18 <i>Los Angeles Times</i> Seeks to Help AIDS Sufferers	221

SECTION IX

The Government and AIDS

- | | | |
|----|--|-----|
| 1 | Will This \$1.5 Billion Win the AIDS War? | 225 |
| 2 | The Real Cause of AIDS is Bared by a High Official | 227 |
| 3 | Like Humpty Dumpty, The AIDS Scam Cannot be Put Back Together Again! | 228 |
| 4 | "Don't Let Us Die" | 229 |
| 5 | There is Some Pie in AIDS for Everyone! | 232 |
| 6 | U.S. Government Squelches an AIDS Researcher Who Goes in the "Wrong" Direction | 233 |
| 7 | AIDS Panel Complains About Federal Efforts to Curb AIDS | 234 |
| 8 | A Fake Protein for AIDS Instead of a Vaccine? | 235 |
| 9 | A Drug Company is Ruthlessly Pushing a Highly Toxic Drug | 237 |
| 10 | Are You Taken in by Drug Company Propaganda? | 238 |
| 11 | How to Get Billions Out of the U.S. Government | 240 |
| 12 | AIDS Concentration Camps Disapproved by California Voters! | 242 |

SECTION X

Physicians and AIDS

- | | | |
|---|--|-----|
| 1 | Does This Hygienist Have AIDS? | 245 |
| 2 | Warning to Hygienists Who Patronize Physicians | 247 |
| 3 | Massachusetts Attorney General Attempts to Ban AIDS Book | 251 |
| 4 | Is an AIDS Diagnosis a Death Sentence? | 252 |
| 5 | An M.D. Frankly Discloses Some Causes of AIDS | 254 |
| 6 | Medical Ethics | 256 |
| 7 | Are Raw Foods Dangerous to AIDS Sufferers? | 257 |
| 8 | Do You Have Proof that Your Diet is Superior to the American Diet? | 260 |

SECTION XI

Book Reviews

- | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----|
| 1 | <i>Healing AIDS Naturally</i> | 264 |
| 2 | <i>Roger's Recovery from AIDS</i> | 265 |

SECTION XII

- | | | |
|--|-------------|-----|
| | 1992 Update | 269 |
|--|-------------|-----|

Introduction

We Americans are among the most brainwashed people on this planet. And we always thought it was in Russia and in other countries that this dastardly practice was perpetrated.

Yes, we are being lied to on a grand scale!

We are unscrupulously manipulated and treated!

We are unconscionably ripped off!

We are pitifully ravaged in body and mind!

And, worse, almost all Americans are blithely unaware of the criminally sordid deceptions and rip-offs foisted upon us.

For instance, we are taught a phony nutrition. The basic four food group diet is a fraudulent imposition that has nothing to do with nutrition! The stipulations to eat so many servings from each food group amounts to a division of the marketplace. Nutrition has to do with determining our biologically correct dietetic character and the raw materials that best furnish our nutrient needs. In short, nutrition is a study of nutrients and their conditions of use; a study of all the materials and influences that best nourish our bodies.

The basic four is a pathogenic arrangement that is responsible for a long list of diseases including acne, allergy, arthritis, asthma, cardiovascular and heart problems, cancer, tumors, psoriasis and, in fact, most of the medical nosology. This is evident when a mere change in diet enables sufferers to become free of their problems and live healthful lives.

For instance, to cover up the fact that our dietary and drug intake is primarily responsible for the widespread disease in this country, we are brainwashed into believing that health is an elusive condition and that, just around the corner, our research laboratories will come up with some formula for an elixir which will assure us total freedom from diseases and a long life. This notion has nothing to do with our natural disposition. Humans are naturally healthy and disease-free just as are animals in nature who meet their needs by instinctual guidance. Our natural life spans are six to eight times our physical maturity the same as it is with animals. Maturity in humans is about 25 years of age.

Another instance is our manipulated esteem for medical and related pursuits as being *the* health care/health delivery system. In truth the medical system has practically nothing to do with health save a negative result! The truth is that health is not produced by poisoning people with drugs disguised as medicines. Surgery, radiation and other modalities do not produce health. Only healthful practices employing good air, pure water, adequate sleep, sunshine, exercise or vigorous activity, foods of our biological adaptation in their raw, natural state, emotional poise, security of life and its means and other life essentials build and maintain health.

In telling you about a lie so big, so common and so monstrous as the AIDS hoax, I am merely treating a Johnny-come-lately on the scene of unfolding medical farces. Only one blew up and got exposed in a way—the swine flu scam.

The AIDS hoax was planned for years in advance of its introduction. First came the dismantling of a disease called syphilis. Then came the reintroduction of its first stage as herpes genitalis and, recently, other stages as part of the symptomology called AIDS and AIDS-related complex. You will learn that what is called AIDS dementia is not different from neurosyphilis or toxic dementia, and that other facets of AIDS are really diseases previously known by other names in the medical literature.

Depicted herein are the tactics and methodologies whereby “new” diseases are devised, how old diseases are refashioned, and panics created around them. You will learn how institutions, research laboratories and government agencies dutifully serve a multi-trillion dollar cartel which effectively controls about everything in this country including our government and our educational institutions; the American press, radio and TV; the major industries including the energy, chemical and pharmaceutical industries, and, of course us.

The complex of symptoms and diseases called AIDS are said to be due to immunodeficiency, that is, a poverty of all or any part of the white blood cell complement. Because the defense system is not able to cope with the need for keeping the body pure, the body must resort to extraordinary measures like “itises” to eliminate the poisons which interfere with body operations.

Toxins, especially drugs, are deadly to all cells including the first line of defense, the white blood cells. It is these cells which actively apprehend poisons and get them out of the system. But, when they are killed by the poisons they apprehend, they do, indeed, become so reduced in numbers that the body must redirect body faculties to extraordinary elimination which manifests as some illness or disease or, in AIDS parlance, opportunistic infections. The white blood cell complement would normally have handled the situation with ease. But chemicals and harsh drugs are by no means normal and all cases of AIDS with rare exception are caused by poisons from drugs, foods or other sources.

You will discover herein that the treatment of choice for AIDS is chemotherapy which is, in itself, immunosuppressive and deadly. Only about 50% of healthy people can survive chemotherapy! With an already depressed defensive system, immunodeficiency sufferers haven't a chance!

This book is based on articles that have appeared in the press, in magazines, other books on the subject, in medical and scientific magazines, and especially the medical literature that is current as well as extant. Investigative reporters were engaged to develop information concerning the role the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) played in introducing and orchestrating the AIDS hysteria. What had begun as a campaign against the gay rights movement as Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease (GRID) was pre-empted and broadened in scope by CDC.

The cartel goals in promoting the AIDS farce is enlarging the market for drugs from which it derives enormous profits. America is a drug culture, and the drug culture keeps enlarging.

The modus operandi by which drugs are promoted in the case of AIDS is strictly supplier directed. For instance, from the beginning, chemotherapy was the treatment of choice without any tests whatsoever for efficacy. As a result almost every AIDS sufferer died. They still do if they submit to the medical system, whereas those who do not submit are mostly surviving!

We are told there is no cure for AIDS. Yet the system does not hesitate to administer drugs massively as if they were curative. Further, test kits are being developed and sold to "detect" "AIDS virus carriers."

Not only are the tests fraudulent in themselves but, if followed up as the “system” insists, hundreds of thousands will die martyrs’ deaths being treated for a nonexistent disease which the “system” declares to be inevitable and which it insists on treating “to protect the population at large.”

For instance, the tests are to detect “antibodies” that supposedly evidence the presence of the AIDS virus which somehow they do not find and which, of course, does not and cannot cause what is called AIDS. All that these tests detect are specialized proteins called opsonins which are recognition factors for nonself materials and special types of foods.

In imposing this upon us, they have undermined their rationale for immunizations! Antibodies created by virtue of vaccinations are supposed to confer immunity to a disease. But, here, the antibodies are supposed to make one liable to the disease they have called AIDS. That reversal alone constitutes fraud!

But those who test positive for the AIDS virus (because they have antibodies the tests would detect) would be subjected to a course of drugs which are in themselves immunosuppressive and cause the very conditions they are supposed to prevent or overcome, that is, AIDS.

Millions might well test positive by these tests and, undoubtedly, thousands upon thousands will be subjected to “virus eradicating” treatments which they would not survive. Thousands more will die and their deaths, instead of being attributed to drugs, will become additional AIDS statistics deaths. But the ghoulish objectives of the cartel will have been served nonetheless—increased drug sales and profits.

Doesn’t that seem too heinous to be true?

The whole AIDS affair is a sordid mess from inception to implementation. Its dangers with some six to eight thousand people dying annually does not compare with the dangers of sleeping pills which cause 15,000 deaths per annum. This book is an endeavor to put things into perspective.

SECTION I

Engineering and

Manufacturing

Panics and Hysteria

I

An Indictment of the AIDS Hoax *A Bill of Particulars*

You have the right to know the truth in all matters that affect you and your loved ones. Rather, it is imperative for you to learn the truth in everything that bears upon your well-being and for those whose lives you are entrusted with. Further, it is incumbent upon you as a responsible human being to pursue the dictates of truth upon discovery.

Just as you have the right to know all that affects your welfare, you also have the right to be free of fabrications, manipulations and rip-offs. You have the right to be free of fostered beliefs and deceptions perpetrated upon you in the name of science. You have a right to know that a multi-trillion dollar cartel controls our government, our TV, newspapers, magazines and other media as well as owns and controls the banking system, our chemical and pharmaceutical industries and a multitude of other industries that constitute the power and wealth of our beloved country. Therefore we undertake to reveal one of the most diabolical hoaxes of all time.

In exposing the most monstrous lie ever conceived and foisted upon the population of this planet, this volume will prove:

- That AIDS was and continues to be a successful conspiracy and contrived hoax to accomplish the social, political and economic objectives of the powerful cartel and influential groups that effectively control this country.
- That AIDS is neither new nor unique, but was concocted as an umbrella term to cover a complex of diseases, some of which had been medically described as far back as 1539.
- That the acronym AIDS was fabricated with malice aforethought in advance of the disease's introduction to add the terror of contagion and rampant death to a noncommunicable and easily reversible degenerative disease. As a rule the disease is of the sufferer's own making (with exceptions, especially in hemophiliacs and children).

- That the conspirators introduced the disease as originating with Haitian homosexual intravenous heroin users to imbue AIDS with reprehensible alien origins that disgust the populace at large. This “born in sin” and “distasteful ignominy” attribution was designed to frighten our peoples into terrifying dreads and fears while aligning the concocted disease to play upon their hates and prejudices.
- That the disease was originally conceived and introduced by the conspirators as a tool to crush the gay rights movement.
- That, after AIDS had proven such a success in derailing the gay rights movement, it was embellished upon to serve the purposes of the pharmaceutical industries, research organizations, government agencies, the medical profession and the hospital industry.
- That the media has aided and abetted the conspiracy by devoting unprecedented amounts of publicity to create and perpetuate hysteria and panic amongst the populace. And, that they have refused to publicize evidence that would undermine the validity of the disease and the purposes of the conspiracy.
- That the evidence said to support the AIDS epidemic and etiology (origin) does not, in fact, support it. All is based on a tissue of popular notions, phony “scientific” findings, and manufactured research tailored to produce the findings sought.
- That the oft-invoked scapegoat, virus, has been contrived and imposed as causative of what is called AIDS rather than scientifically ascertained.
- That CDC officials and fellow conspirators changed medical rationales deliberately to ensnare more people into the AIDS numbers game. Before AIDS was concocted, antibodies were looked upon as evidence of immunity to a disease. Vaccinations were for the purpose of causing the body to create antibodies. However, those with antibodies to the so-called AIDS virus are said to be candidates for the disease rather than immune to it. This is an indictment of a rapacious drug/medical system that, godlike, rams their fabricated myths down our throats.
- That the conspirators and the medical system expect us to believe their illogical rationales about so-called viruses. They expect us to swallow that a virus is an enemy, an antigen which arouses

hostile body-defensive reactions through creation of antibodies specifically to fight the virus. However, without so much as thinking of the contradiction, this "enemy" is said to be taken into the cell and the cell, in a very friendly and accommodating manner, reproduces it thousands of times. Further it is doubtful that a "virus" only about one billionth the size of a cell can seriously affect the cell. If the rationale of cellular destruction by "viruses" was true, their proliferation in geometrical ratios as represented would very quickly destroy the whole body.

- That Dr. Robert Gallo and associates actually discovered and described what later was called HTLV-III, now called HIV, back in 1980 and, further, that the "virus" has been around a long time, having been found in blood frozen about 50 years ago!
- That research evidence disproving the role of viruses as causative factors in AIDS has been ignored or, in fact, suppressed, castigated and clothed with disgrace. Dr. Peter Duesberg, one of the most prominent microbiologists and virologists in the world, a scientist at the University of California Department of Molecular Biology in Berkeley, who proved conclusively that HIV does not and cannot cause AIDS, has received such treatment.
- That, in fact, what is called AIDS, is a degenerative disease involving the destruction of the body's foremost inner defensive faculties, the white blood cells, miscalled our immune system, by immunodestructive drugs, both legal and illegal.
- That the disease is not contagious any more so than any other degenerative disease such as cancer, arthritis, diabetes, etc.
- That the disease has been progressively enlarged in scope to garner ever more numbers of sufferers to give the appearance of an epidemic when, in fact, the number dying is declining, especially in the homosexual community, which suffered far less deaths in 1987 than in 1986. The guidelines for diagnosing AIDS were expanded in 1983 to include any "opportunistic" infection of any person of any age or sex. Again in 1985 the guidelines for diagnoses were further enlarged to include any pneumonia and lymph organ affections. This new expansion also provided for pre-AIDS, AIDS related complex, which multiplied the cases included in the AIDS statistics.

- That the conspirators knew that the sweeping new guidelines would saddle the AIDS diagnosis upon children in great numbers who had previously been diagnosed as having agammaglobulinemia.
- That the conspirators knew that doctor-caused (iatrogenic) immunodeficiency was widespread by the use of deadly immunodestructive drugs in cases of allergy, for instance. They knew from the literature that children treated with such drugs as prednisone and ACTH had, as a result, suffered pneumocystis carinii pneumonia and would be included in the AIDS statistics.
- That the conspirators have striven to strike terror into the populace by portraying AIDS as a disease claiming as its victims mostly white people when, in fact, the disease has been and remains primarily a disease of black and Hispanic drug users.
- That the conspirators have played upon the American traits of negative-mindedness and pessimism, that is, their tendency to believe the worst while often rejecting the obvious truth out of hand as “too good to be true.”
- That the whole rationale of viral causation of what is called AIDS is establishment imposed and is contrary to the simplest scientific principles that appertain. This is readily accepted by an unknowing and unquestioning populace disposed to believe the worst and discount the best.
- That “infectious” and “opportunistic” diseases simply do not exist within the framework of the two aspects of disease, that is, acute or degenerative, i.e., constructive or destructive.
- That it is self-evident “viruses” do not cause AIDS inasmuch as one and a half million supposedly have the HIV virus. Less than half of those said to suffer AIDS have the HIV virus. If AIDS sufferers do not have the virus, then the virus could cause them neither the problem nor the deaths attributed to it. And the presence of what they call HIV in the disease is no more proof of cause than the presence of flies in garbage proves flies as the cause of garbage.
- That the conspirators knew that what they devised as AIDS was, in fact, caused by heavy immunodestructive drug usage. They deliberately downplayed drugs as a cause though they sometimes

mentioned, incidentally, that those suffering the complex of symptoms were on drugs.

- That the conspirators knew those dying of AIDS were being subjected to chemotherapy and other poisonous drugs, and that they knew these drugs to be among the most immunodestructive drugs there are. They knew that an already terribly immunodepressed condition was worsened until death resulted.
- That the conspirators knew from the beginning that what they called AIDS was a remediable disease. Documented data from M.D.s who were helping clients overcome AIDS by getting them off immunodestructive recreational drugs and not subjecting them to drug therapies were deliberately ignored. When M.D.s who used drugless treatments with diet and other procedures confronted CDC officials, or called and followed up their reports to CDC, they were dismissed, or, out of hand, told their methods had no merit. There was no investigation or confirmation and these M.D.s were bluntly told by these officials they were not interested in their data.
- That the conspirators knew that the symptoms they called AIDS had been called immunodeficiency diseases with about twenty disease designations and that the symptoms they foisted off as being AIDS had been described in *The Merck Manual*, a prominent medical publication, since 1952.
- That these same conspirators were privy to the CDC redesignation of the first stage of syphilis as herpes genitalis and that they likewise incorporated later stages of syphilis as parts of the symptom complex they promoted as AIDS.
- That the conspirators introduced the disease as entirely new and that they and the press embellished and dramatized it to stir up fear and panic among the populace.
- That the conspirators were well versed in the Hitlerian big lie technique and knew that, because of the control features of the cartel, whatever they fabricated and presented would stand in the face of all the contrary evidence which would not be broadcast or publicized.
- That the conspirators knew that the word “acquired” in relation to the disease complex they coined as AIDS did not mean

contagious in its prior medical use but was merely used to reflect that the disease was not congenital, that is, it was not present at birth.

- That the conspirators knew the causes of what they concocted as AIDS were listed in the medical literature as radiation, malnutrition, antimetabolite therapy and cytotoxic drugs among which are morphine, heroin, sedatives and tranquilizers, chemotherapy, Prednisone (we are also designating some brand names) or ACTH or corticosteroids, penicillins, Tetracycline, Streptomycin and other antibiotics, Acyclovir, Nystatin, Ribavirin, Pentamidine, Motrin, Tagamet, Valium, Tylenol, Inderol, methadone and a host of other legal drugs, prescribed and nonprescribed.
- That the conspirators knew that these legal drugs, on balance, were more immunodestructive than the still highly immunodestructive recreational drugs like heroin, poppers (which were used heavily and often by homosexuals), uppers, downers, amphetamines, marijuana, cocaine, alcohol, nicotine, angel dust (PCP), nitrous oxide, mescaline, LSD and yet others.
- That the conspirators knew that AIDS dementia had been beforehand called neurosyphilis, toxic dementia and neuropathy.
- That the conspirators continue to enlarge the scope of the guidelines for the diagnosis of AIDS and continue to promote it as a deadly disease.

2

AIDS Calamity Faces American Citizens

According to a group of prominent scientists and health officials, the current epidemic of AIDS "could become a catastrophe" if the spread of the "virus" is not curtailed.

Of course there is reprieve, according to this "scientific" panel. If the U.S. government will cough up a mere \$2 billion a year in funds for an indefinite period of time, this virus can be headed off and our pharmaceutical industries might graciously and humanely come up with vaccines and drugs that will rescue us from this dire situation.

The panel met under the sponsorship of the Institute of Medicine, an affiliate of the National Academy of Sciences. Of course the Institute of Medicine is an organization that toadies to the pharmaceutical industry, for they advocate drugs as the answer to the problem.

A few of us with a memory recall this highly regarded organization's recommendations in the late 1960s and early 1970s to get one billion dollars each year from the U.S. government with which to fight the cancer war. Now, 17 years and about \$17 billion dollars later the government seems to be financing a cancer war in perpetuity. The war is escalating too! The more they spend, the bigger the scourge becomes! Since 1971 cancer rates have risen from 25% of our population to 33%! Deaths resulting from cancer have risen from 350,000 per year to half a million!

So you can see where this war on AIDS would lead.

Just as the drug/medical/hospital/research and allied industries do not want the easily solvable cancer problem to be solved, neither do they want the easily solvable AIDS problem to be solved. Who wants to rock the gravy boat?

The medical goliath, dictated by the pharmaceutical industry, not the one half million physicians who serve it, obviously intends to build up another big industry on the disease now called AIDS. AIDS is the lumping together of about twenty other diseases among which are some syphiletic designations, Kaposi's syndrome,

so-called opportunistic infections, white blood cell deficiencies, agammaglobulinemia, tubercular conditions and a broad list of lung and lymph affections.

A victim of AIDS is worth about \$150,000 to the medical complex. Already several billion dollars a year goes to the complex from sufferers and numerous state and federal agencies.

This cartel/medical complex has no conscience. Until millions of us are enmeshed, perhaps one hundred billion dollars a year milked from the American people, and hundreds of thousands of us being, in effect, medically executed by treatments for AIDS, there will be no staying this inexorable and all powerful cartel.

Viruses cause nothing and are, as dead organic waste material, incapable of causing anything!

AIDS is readily overcome by discontinuing all drugs, recreational and medical, and fasting so the body can detoxify itself and restore normalcy. A raw food diet, a well-rounded exercise program, adequate sleep, sunshine and appropriate observation of the other needs of life work like magic in restoring health not only from the condition called AIDS, but from just about every other pathology that exists.

The calamity of AIDS is not so much the diseases lumped together under its banner, but the ruthless cartel that imposes it upon us through the press, TV and other media, government agencies, medical practitioners and sundry other power channels.

Learning about this hideous scam and removing yourself from its clutches is a bounden duty upon you.

3 How to Create Disease Panics Amongst Our Populace

In June of 1951 Dr. Herbert M. Shelton published in his *Hygienic Review* a description of the hysteria and panic that was being created by the nation's press, TV and radio. These tactics that were used to scare and stampede people into physicians' offices in 1951 are appropriate to take note of today when the same forces are foisting upon us a new panic.

It is said that about half a million people die annually from cancer. Autopsies reveal that two-thirds of all deaths are said to be from cancer. But you don't see any campaign to generate hysteria, fear and panic as with so-called AIDS which is relatively small in numbers—only 6,000 people died of it in 1986. The cancer business is safely within the medical corral. AIDS is a promotion to generate increased business for the drug/medical system.

Now read Dr. Shelton's revealing article:

“April (1951) was set aside by presidential proclamation as ‘cancer month,’ the purpose being to keep the people thinking about cancer, to frighten them more and more over cancer and to get them to give as much money as possible to the cancer fund that is collected each year by the American Cancer Society. The plea for funds with which to carry on what is called ‘research’ is never-ending. The method is always the same, no matter what the disease for which a ‘cure’ is sought: it is that of frightening the wits out of the people and then picking their pockets before they have time to regain their wits. April 1951 was a month of fear propaganda devoted to the ‘killer,’ as they call cancer.

“An example of how this fear appeal is working is supplied by an article in the *San Antonio Express* April 8, 1951, which covered more than half a page. The article starts with the following sentence, which is deliberately designed to strike terror into the hearts of readers: ‘Somewhere in the city of San Antonio at this very moment, the nation's Number Two Killer may be about to strike—a silent killer, armed with

agony and fear and shrouded in mysterious origins.' That most of the deaths of cancer patients and at least ninety percent of their sufferings are induced by drugs, knives, X-rays and radium rays is completely ignored in this screeching about the 'killer'; it is merely one phase of the dishonesty practiced by the fiends who beg for more money with which to finance their torture of animals.

"In the article under consideration cancer is also referred to as a 'national killer.' Somebody slipped a cog when he penned that line—else he would have called cancer a 'global killer.' This fear-creating propaganda, designed to loosen the purse-strings of a gullible people as well as to get them into physicians' offices, is euphemistically called, in the article in the *Express*, 'an intensive education and fund-raising crusade against cancer.' To call it an education crusade is to do violence to both the terms 'education' and 'crusade.' It is an intensive fear-creating propaganda campaign, a panhandling program.

"Aside from this, all of this deliberately created panic is a crime against the health and mental integrity of the people of the country, which, if we had intelligent and honest men in high office in this country, our rulers would oppose instead of aiding and abetting the rapacity of the medical trusts. We are a fear-driven nation—the capitalists frighten us with the bogey of communism; the militarists frighten us with the threat of atomic war; the theologians frighten us with imaginary hells, purgatories, devils and demons; the medical gangsters frighten us with cancer, germs and viruses—and all of them for their own purposes, namely picking our pockets in one way or another."

Dr. Shelton continues with some of the dirty tactics used by the medical system to scare the pants off people with the cancer scourge. However, what we print above says enough to alert you to the fact that, in the present AIDS hysteria, the press, TV and radio are merely responding to their masters' and fellow cartel members' orchestrated campaign to milk us through *their* government and their businesses. Scared people readily turn loose of their shekels whereas an informed people are not about to be suckers.

This article by James J. Kilpatrick reflects his personal opinions and is reprinted here to address the fact that the "AIDS epidemic" and its "dangers" are blown out of proportion.

4 Why Such a Frenzy Over AIDS? ***Put the Statistics in More Realistic Perspective***

by James J. Kilpatrick

Now and then some hard questions need to be asked, even at the risk of seeming coldhearted, and the time is at hand to ask a hard question about AIDS. This is the question: What's the big deal?

For the life of me, I cannot understand why the country has gone ape over the subject of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome. We are told that the disease is "epidemic," which emphatically it is not. We are supposed to be moved by the "tragedy" of AIDS, which is to put a good word to a very poor use. We are urged to appropriate \$3 billion in 1990 toward finding a cure, which is a vast deal of the taxpayers' money for the benefit of a tiny minority of the population.

A week ago the president's 13-member commission on AIDS filed a 269-page report containing nearly 600 recommendations for coping with this purported "epidemic." Everyone is expected to get in on the act—the federal government, the state governments, the public schools. Laws should be passed by the bushel. This "epidemic" has infected perhaps 1.5 million Americans (in a population of 241 million) with the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV). The commission's chairman, retired admiral James D. Watkins, wants the president to declare a "national health emergency."

Coinciding with the commission's report, a group of 150 senior public health officials met at Charlottesville, Virginia. Out of their conference came some figures that are intended to galvanize us into frantic reaction. By the end of 1993, we are told, at least 450,000 Americans will have been diagnosed as active victims of AIDS. In that year alone, 100,000 new cases will be reported.

Let us get this thing into perspective. The world has known true epidemics from time to time. In the 1300s, the bubonic plague killed a fourth of the population of Europe. A hundred years ago epidemic diphtheria claimed a terrible toll. Mankind has experienced epidemics of cholera, smallpox, typhoid fever. Matched against these outbreaks, AIDS in the United States dwindles to insignificance.

Look at the record: In the seven years of 1981-87, precisely 37,481 cases of AIDS were officially reported. That is all. Roughly 13,000 of these were new cases reported in 1986. Let us assume that all of these cases are terminal cases.

Perspective: In 1985, more than 19 million Americans were suffering from heart conditions, 29 million from significantly high blood pressure, 30 million from serious arthritis. In 1986 physicians reported 930,000 new cases of cancer. In 1985, roughly 771,000 persons died of heart disease, 462,000 of cancer and 46,000 in automobile accidents. What's the big deal about AIDS?

The president's commission urged that AIDS victims be treated with "compassion and understanding." For the 497 children under the age of 13, the innocent inheritors of HIV, yes, we truly can feel compassion. The poor kids!

Face it: This disease overwhelmingly is a disease that afflicts two classes—drug addicts and homosexual men. The addicts get it from infected needles. The homosexual men get it through anal intercourse with many sexual partners. My thought is that AIDS victims deserve about the same "compassion" that society extends to those who smoke themselves to death or drink themselves to death, which is to say, a fairly modest degree of compassion. Let us reserve our deepest grief for victims of the drunken driver, or for those who die of genetic diseases.

Yes, death is an occasion for sorrow, but not all deaths are "tragic" deaths. Tragedy involves a moral dimension in which greatness and weakness are intermingled on a path to ruin. AIDS is nothing of the sort. It is entirely appropriate that AIDS victims be protected in their civil rights. It is outrageous that little children, known to be carrying the virus, should be kept from schoolrooms. They pose no threat to anyone. But it is also entirely appropriate, as the commission recommended, that the states make it a crime

for persons knowingly to engage in sexual conduct likely to transmit the AIDS virus.

I would treat AIDS for what it is: a disease that mortally afflicts a tiny fraction of the population whose willful behavior results in the infection. The fellow who dies of sodomy is no more special than the fellow who dies of two packs of cigarettes a day. Let us apportion our tears, and our tax dollars, with some sense of proportion.

(The above article was taken from "A CONSERVATIVE VIEW" by James J. Kilpatrick. © 1988 UNIVERSAL PRESS SYNDICATE. Reprinted with permission. All rights reserved.)

Only One Panic at a Time, Please

In the April 1988 issue of *Reader's Digest* is an article entitled "Are We Wearing Out the Panic Button?"

In citing the exaggerations the press and other media use to describe events, the article observes that the gravity of relatively minor events is frequently blown out of proportion.

Among the panic-generating dramas cited is AIDS. AIDS has been likened by the press as being the equivalent of the black plague which killed off about 33% of Europe's population at the time. However, in seven years, only about 1/60th of 1% have been affected in the U.S.A. by what is called AIDS. The author of this article, Charles Krauthammer, calls such references and exaggerations political malpractice and hyperbole.

Then the author asks whatever happened to herpes? He noted that our "hankering for hysteria" had to content itself with less satisfactory afflictions before AIDS.

These amount to very astute assessments in this time of commercially inspired and promoted panics. Most surprising of all is the heresy *Reader's Digest* commits in printing observations that do not support the establishment position for which it stands. This is an exception, of course, for *Reader's Digest* has heretofore participated in the creation and promotion of the AIDS panic.

5

AIDS Described by Dr. Herbert M. Shelton in 1931!

In 1931 Dr. Shelton wrote a book called *Syphilis, The Werewolf of Medicine*. In this book he describes the disease as being in three stages, namely Primary, Secondary and Tertiary.

Primary syphilis was described as ulcers forming in the genital area.

Secondary syphilis was described as skin and papular eruptions over much of the body plus swelling of lymph nodes (lymphadenopathy).

Tertiary syphilis was described as degeneration of organs with "frequent infections" resulting.

Says Dr. Shelton: "This so-called third stage may appear in those who have had years of sensuous living and drug indulgence. Tertiary syphilis invariably arises among those treated with drugs—mercury, arsenic, iodine, potassium, bismuth, etc."

This is revealing in light of our knowledge that the third stage of syphilis is now called AIDS. Also instructive is Dr. Shelton's description of the origin of syphilis:

"Much is said about the origin of syphilis. Most medical authorities claim that it was introduced into Europe from America, by the sailors of Columbus, who acquired it from the Indians. There are many medical men of high rank who assert that the disease did exist in Europe, Asia and Africa in ancient times.

"The first group base their denial of its existence in ancient times upon the absence of any evidence of the tertiary stage in ancient literature, in mummies and in the osseous remains of the ancients. The second group base their assertion that it did exist in ancient times upon descriptions in the Bible and in other ancient literature—medical and religious—of the primary and secondary stages.

"The bases of the claims of both these groups are not to be questioned. Descriptions of the first two stages do exist in ancient literature. No descriptions of the third stage exist

and no evidence of the third stage is furnished by the remains of ancient peoples. What is the explanation?

“Tertiary syphilis put in its appearance in the early years of the sixteenth century with the introduction of mercury (quacksilber) as a treatment for syphilis and other affections. Syphilis was very bad during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, and it was during those times, also, that the use of mercury was greatest.

“Professor Bennett of Edinburgh, Scotland said in a clinical lecture published in the London *Lancet*, July 24, 1852: ‘The mercurial treatment consists in keeping up slight salivation by means of the internal administration of blue pills or some form of mercury, sometimes conjoined with mercurial frictions or fumigations, at least for the space of a month. The physiological action of the drug is diarrheas and fevers. If combined with opium, they act less on the bowels and more on the system generally.’”

Dr. Shelton also revealed a treatment for syphilis that works just as well for so-called AIDS:

“The treatment of syphilis is simplicity itself. All food should be avoided and nothing taken into the stomach, except water, until every symptom of the trouble is gone. All fever, inflammation and glandular swelling should be gone before food is taken.

“Tobacco, alcohol, tea, coffee, cocoa, chocolate, condiments, spices and all drugs should be religiously avoided. After eating is resumed, meat, eggs and all denatured foods should be eschewed.

“The diet should be of fresh fruits and fresh green leafy vegetables, largely raw. Daily exercise, a daily sun bath and plenty of sleep are essential. Cast off the psychology of despair the medical profession has built around the disease, as you also throw away their drugs and dope. Rest assured that you will speedily recover.”

Doesn't Dr. Shelton's assessment and advice still tell us something?

6

Why AIDS Happened

In 1980 two things happened that made the demand for money by the Centers for Disease Control insatiable. This coalesced with the gay rights movement which was flexing some real muscle in the political arena.

First, the Centers for Disease Control was restructured into six operating units or centers in 1980. This gave each Center its own impetus to grow, thus giving more income, power and prestige to the head of each center.

Ronald Reagan became president in 1980 with promises to cut back on the federal budget in unprecedented amounts. To CDC bureaucrats this was a threat. Instead of being elevated as is normally hoped for, they were going to be cut back, perhaps demoted or even sent out to pasture.

The CDC had a long history of scams and disease promotions behind it. It sorely needed to pull yet another rabbit out of the hat.

Their successes of late were few in this department. The swine flu farce blew up in their faces. The American public did not get very excited about Legionnaire's disease. The cruel hoax called herpes genitalis, known before its upgrading as the first stage of syphilis, created no sensations either. It pleased religious forces to hang herpes genitalis as a "badge of iniquity" on swingers even though "swingers" constituted but a fraction of those who suffered the affection. Despite the support of religious forces, herpes genitalis was still a dud when compared with the great success of the polio scam.

CDC was thus in great need of a new disease that would turn their fortunes around. They knew they could always count on the support of research interests, the drug industry, medical forces, the hospital system and the media.

Being constantly bombarded by suggestions and possibilities, they took a look at something that had been around a long time but was being called GRID by those with an ax to grind with the gay rights movement. By renaming the symptom complex referred to as GRID (Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease) as the acronym AIDS and hanging it on the gays as well as posing it

As a serious threat to heterosexuals too, CDC had an instant success! No other big lie in history has had the impact of the concoction called AIDS.

Of course CDC's budget was increased rather than decreased and the primary figures in the AIDS scam are national and international heroes instead of the heels they could have been.

Around such circumstances the AIDS hoax was erected and promoted.

7 AIDS is a Paper Tiger

27 New Poisons to Stop a Killer, AIDS

The AIDS “plague” is a paper tiger—its reality does not equal the enormous amount of publicity printed and broadcast about it. AIDS is a plague of rampant publicity.

Of the some 20 million homosexuals (including men and women) in this country, about one in four hundred has suffered what is called AIDS.

To give you some perspective, during the past seven years 30,000 deaths have been attributed to AIDS. During the same time 105,000 have died from sleeping pills and 7,000,000 from cardiovascular problems!

And when you learn that all the so-called AIDS victims who died were not only heavy users of drugs that are immunosuppressants—drugs destructive of white blood cells, a deficiency of which is called AIDS—but were also treated with even more virulent immunosuppressants and chemotherapy, you won’t be mystified as to why its sufferers almost always die. Those who refuse the treatments and clean up their act survive!

American Health magazine for June 1987, under the headline “Fighting a Plague, 27 New Drugs to Stop a Killer, AIDS,” praises (even in the subheadline) AZT and ribavirin as drugs of great promise. While *American Health* may not appreciate our change in their headline, we have done so to highlight one salient fact: Poisons and drugs are interchangeable terms. All drugs are poisons—no exceptions. If you doubt that, you have but to refer to a *Physicians’ Desk Reference* to find out the many poison effects of any given drug.

***American Health* Is a Health Magazine, Isn't It?**

Being a health magazine, you can see why *American Health* has such a great interest in drugs which produce so much "health" in this country.

This overweening concern with health is evoked in such statements as: "Staffers of approximately 40 AIDS hotlines across the nation have had few words of comfort to offer....But that's changing now." "A generation raised on miracle antibiotics expects no less. For many, it seems unbelievable that scientists have not already developed effective drugs to combat AIDS-causing HIV (human immunodeficiency virus)." As if our salvation from disease depended upon poisons rather than health-building practices.

While what is called AIDS has been suffered only by drug users—even most babies born with AIDS were born of drug-using mothers—the drug industry doesn't want us to know that. Drugs, even illegal ones, are treated lightly. To sell their drugs they need scapegoats.

How You're Won Over to Being a Customer of the Drug Industry

Erecting scapegoats to blame for diseases is a drug/medical tactic to exonerate sufferers from being responsible for their problems. Instead they are the unfortunate victims of malevolent little beasties bent upon their destruction. Nothing the sufferers did really caused the problem.

This is true in AIDS even though those suffering AIDS have the deliberately invented cause, HIV, in less than 50% of the cases! The idea is to make us customers for the vaccines and drugs that, in our brainwashed minds, will exorcise the little demons called viruses.

American Health then, is obviously a propaganda organ of the drug industry. The word health in their title is a snare to mislead. Precious little of what they say can be regarded as healthful.

8 What Really Causes AIDS?

If viruses don't cause AIDS, then what does cause it?

I'm on record in a published article as predicting that the rap for what is called AIDS would be pinned on a virus almost two years before it was, indeed, attributed to a virus.

Viruses Blamed for Diseases Without Proof

So-called viruses have been beknighted hundreds of times with the honor of being scapegoats for horrid diseases. There's never been an iota of proof that they cause any disease at all—or how they cause disease, that is, their *modus operandi*.

Immunodeficiency diseases have appeared in the medical literature for quite some time, all the way back to 1952 in the case of *The Merck Manual*. At that time it was called acquired, but that was only to distinguish it from congenital. That is to say, you were born with it if congenital, or it was acquired after birth.

Immunodeficiency Diseases Described Over 400 Years Ago!

The symptoms of what is called immunodeficiency have been described in the medical literature for over 400 years, since 1539 to be exact. Prior to 1868 it was generally referred to as various types of syphilis. The so-called quaternary and tertiary stages of syphilis are indistinguishable from what is called AIDS.

In 1868 Moritz Kaposi Kohn described one of the endpoint pathologies of AIDS and since then it has been referred to as Kaposi's Sarcoma.

Immunodeficiency really means a shortage of, or lack of, any one or all of the many blood and fluid defensive cells or their components. These are called white blood cells.

The Prior Names of Immunodeficiency Diseases Now Passed Off As AIDS

Immunodeficiency diseases have many appellations according to the salient characteristics that manifest. All have been lumped

together and called AIDS. They are: (a) agammaglobulinemia, (b) adenopathy, (c) agranulocytosis, (d) alymphocytosis, (e) basopenia, (f) DiGeorge syndrome, (g) eosinopenia, (h) granulocytopenia, (i) Kaposi's sarcoma, (j) leukocytopenia, (k) lymphocytopenia, (l) monocytopenia, (m) neutropenia, (n) pancytopenia, (o) splenic deficiency, (p) secondary, quaternary and tertiary syphilis, (q) thymic alymphoplasia, (r) lymphadenopathy and (s) neurosyphilis.

These names not only apply to deficiencies but to conditions that cause insufficient production of the various white blood cells. The spleen, thymus and other lymph organs upgrade leukocytes from the bone marrow to give them specialized functions in the constant task of removing unwanted and noxious substances from the bloodstream and body fluids.

Understanding the Mechanics of Immunodeficiency Diseases

What causes a poverty of white blood cells or specific white blood cells in the bloodstream and body fluids?

In portraying white blood cells as cleansers, janitors or defenders of the blood and lymph, we must picture them as cells intent on keeping body fluids pure and unclogged no matter what the cost. Toxic body wastes will accumulate unless constantly removed. White blood cells are the primary vehicles for removal. Should scavengers like bacteria and fungi be absorbed into the blood and lymph from the intestinal tract as they often are, white blood cells apprehend and remove them. If poisons are injected or absorbed into the bloodstream and fluids, white blood cells remove them.

Except for the removal of poisonous substances, keeping the blood and fluids pure is a snap, that is, routine. The white blood cells remove body wastes and facilitate their recycling or ejection with ease. Likewise fungi and bacteria are readily destroyed and their substance may be used or ejected.

Toxic Substances Also Destroy White Blood Cells

White blood cells are usually not adversely affected by ordinary organic materials, that is, the usual body wastes. However, they are severely affected by foreign proteins, plant or herbal compounds which are unmetabolizable (Due to the abnormal

relationship of the body to compounds in biologically incorrect foods, the body has not developed enzymes to break them up.), inorganic minerals, bacterial and fungal decomposition by-products, the many chemicals that we are apt to ingest with our foods, recreational drugs and drugs taken as medicines.

When toxic substances are in the bloodstream, body resources are mustered to apprehend and expel them. White blood cells are the first line of defense. Because they are likely to be destroyed themselves, white blood cells may be characterized as suicide squadrons. They go after the deadly substances no matter what the cost may be to themselves.

And the cost is extremely high! When there is an excess of pathogenic substances in the fluids and bloodstream, many of them being highly toxic such as to destroy white blood cells, there may be massive destruction of white blood cells. While the body is calling out the reserves on the one hand and producing white blood cells prodigiously on the other hand, there is an even more prolific destruction of these body purifiers and defenders. Thus a deficiency, temporary or permanent, results.

Symptoms of AIDS Are Body Detoxification and Reparative Measures

When the destruction of white blood cells reduces their number below what is required to cope with the incoming toxic substances, the body tries to cope by initiating extraordinary eliminative emergencies. These may take the form of fevers, diarrheas, pneumonias or numerous other ailments. The continuance of practices which toxify the body takes the affected organism downhill until death results. The cessation of intoxicating practices enables the body to detoxify and reverse any pathology not involving organ damage.

In understanding why a white blood cell deficiency occurs when poisonous drugs are involved, it is necessary to visualize the defensive processes in which the cells are suicidally destroyed in discharging their duties. Poisons which offer a threat to body integrity and life itself are removed at a high sacrificial cost.

The Real Causes of AIDS

In ascertaining the causes of AIDS we must look to substances poisonous enough to destroy white blood cells. Viruses, so-called, simply cannot qualify, for they are dead organic matter derived from body substance. They cannot qualify even as a foreign protein because they are body created. White blood cells easily handle body-originated organic materials.

The body also easily handles foreign proteins and organisms though not with the same ease as endogenous wastes. On the other hand, massive amounts of them—as in blood transfusions—drain the body perilously.

Chemicals which make deadly chemical unions with cell and body substances must be the real cause of AIDS! And all the verifiable evidence points to chemicals called drugs or medicines as the causes.

The Causes of AIDS According to *The Merck Manual*

The Merck Manual listed the causes of what is called AIDS long before AIDS was ever conjured up. It lists the following: immunosuppressive drugs, cytotoxins (any poison at all—drugs, medicines, chemotherapy, alcohol, vinegar and ordinary table salt are cytotoxins or cell poisons), radiation, antimetabolite therapy, drugs in general, leukemia, malignancies, phagocytotic disorders, chemotaxis, hypercatabolism, nephrotic syndrome or protein-losing enteropathy, intestinal lymphangiectasia and others.

A Cause of AIDS According to *The New England Journal of Medicine*

The New England Journal of Medicine of December 17, 1987, on page 1603 pinpoints a prominent specific cause of AIDS: isobutyl nitrite. Called “poppers,” homosexuals use it to get a high that relaxes spincter muscles of the rectum. This substance is, in this issue, characterized as a mutagen and carcinogen. This is to say it can render white blood cells or any cells into cancerous cells. It also can effect outright destruction of cells because it is a cytotoxin.

Dr. Sidney S. Mirvish of the University of Nebraska Medical Center in Omaha, Nebraska, noted that AIDS may be decreasing because homosexuals are now using poppers less, having been alerted to their dangers.

Marijuana a Cause of AIDS According to Eliezer Humberman

According to the researches of Eliezer Huberman of Argonne National Laboratory, marijuana users are suffering symptoms of AIDS. Monocytes, a key component of our body's defensive faculties (immune system), are critically impaired by THC (tetrahydrocannabinol) in marijuana.

Amyl Nitrite Causes AIDS

Another compound also called poppers contains nitrite esters in a starchy base called amyl. Now a controlled substance, amyl nitrite is, ironically, manufactured by the Burroughs-Wellcome pharmaceutical establishment, the same manufacturer that manufactures AZT, and which pushes this drug as a cure for AIDS.

Most Press Releases Say About 70% of AIDS Sufferers Are Drug Users

About 70% of AIDS cases have been among confirmed intravenous drug users, according to press releases. Drug use among homosexuals exceeds by several multiples that amongst the heterosexual population. But homosexuals who became AIDS sufferers were 100% users of poppers! And they had purchased them marketed as "deodorants."

Conclusions

Taking into account the facts that:

- AIDS, so-called, is a relatively rare disease and its total annual death rate hardly exceeds total deaths for a single day from cancer and cardiovascular problems, and that
- About 70% of such cases are confirmed intravenous drug users, and that
- The use of poppers is widespread among the homosexual population, 100% of them developing AIDS being users, and that

- The drugs administered to AIDS sufferers as chemotherapy are established causes of immunodeficiency as confirmed by *The Merck Manual*, and that
 - Publicity about AIDS is thousands of times its relative occurrence among the populace compared with heart, stroke, cancer and other problems, and that
 - When such a drug-caused problem as 15,000 yearly deaths from sleeping pills does not receive significant concern or mention in our press and other media,
Then it must be concluded that:
 - AIDS is not caused by viruses but by drugs.
 - The big hysteria and panic over AIDS has been manufactured by the cartel-controlled press, radio, TV and other media, first to destroy the gay rights movement and, afterwards, as a promotion to make new markets for drugs, to generate new inroads into the national treasury and, not least, to give government agencies bigger budgets, power and increased research monies.
- To the thoughtful reader it must be obvious that AIDS is an establishment-created swindle to bamboozle and rip off the American populace.

9

Why AIDS is a Monstrous Hoax

It is incumbent upon anyone making an allegation to have the proof of that allegation. That responsibility lies heavily upon the accuser even though that which is accused may be guilty.

In proclaiming AIDS to be a hoax, and the hysteria and panic that exist to be deliberately manufactured, there must be underlying facts of support. The purpose of this book is to expose the villainous intent of those who devised and promoted this phony disease and how they have trampled underfoot every human right and dignity in pursuing their nefarious ends.

To show that AIDS is a hoax, certain evidence must be adduced. When a big lie has been perpetrated, all facts relating to the lie and its propagation bear inherent evidence of its falsity.

In examining AIDS as a scam, it is necessary to piece together the elements that characterize it as a scam.

This book involves itself in presenting the manifold elements that have gone into the creation of AIDS, its perpetration and perpetuation. The evidence presented will show conclusively:

1. That AIDS came into existence as a result of a conspiracy to derail or destroy the gay rights movement.
2. That this immensely successful campaign against a detested minority was turned against the general population in that it became an economic vehicle of pharmaceutical, research, bureaucratic, medical, hospital and other interests.

SECTION II

Focusing on the Health System and Thought Methodology Of This Book

1

Do You Make Up Your Mind on Facts or Feelings?

Have you ever faced a plea to consider a matter with an open mind?

Such pleas are based on the fact that most of us are capable of independent thought. Yet almost all of us operate on our feelings rather than objective well-considered thoughts.

This is to say that most of us believe what we are programmed to believe, that is, we are disposed to believe certain ways by virtue of our prior mind-sets.

Mind-sets are attitudes that we have adopted within our frame of reference upon which we judge new information. Rather than weigh new information on its merits, we are disposed to view it in light of all our prior conditioning. Most of our minds "are already made up" in most areas, and it is merely a matter of slotting new information to fit the old mold of mind-sets.

We are very likely to reject newly discovered truths if they do not conform to or accord with our old beliefs and expectations. Thus we are apt to form a frame of mind that is disapproving or condemnatory of new data.

Because most of us tend to form new mind-sets based on a widespread trait of Americans called negativism as well as previous programming, we are unfair to ourselves. Negativism is our predisposition to believe and expect the worst while discounting that which promises good.

Because the subject matter in this book heavily involves themes where our mind-sets (opinions) are very strong and emphatic, I know it will be difficult for you to judge the new information and thoughts on their merits. Most of it is contrary to what we have been conditioned to accept. The topics touched upon herein arouse our prior attitudes toward religion, morality, politics, economics, health, disease, suffering, humanity and a multitude of other mind-fixes we have adopted as part of our conceptual framework. But one characteristic you cannot escape: despite their possible

conflict with views you hold, they present the truth—truth that is usually self-evident, hence undeniable.

This is a plea for fair-mindedness in your consideration of material in this book.

Why and How We Make Up Our Minds

Our mind-sets are efficiency measures for getting through life happily and effectively without having to perpetually rethink situations and the course of response we will make. Mind-sets manifest as habits or patterns of behavior.

We are apt to alter our habit patterns and beliefs to conform to the views and practices of those with whom we identify—with those whom we admire and emulate—rather than with objective determinations of what truth decrees.

Most of us have no criteria or rationale by which to arrive at the truth anyway. This is not a part of our learning, training or conditioning. Therefore it is difficult for most of us to distinguish between truth and mere propaganda. We intermingle the two without even a twinge of their incompatibility.

Practically, our beliefs and opinions are manipulated and molded by powerful economic interests that effectively control our press, radio, TV and other communications media, that control our government and its agencies, that ages ago cast the die under which we receive a compulsory brainwashing called education, and that perpetually bombard us with propaganda to maneuver us into thoughts and actions that will serve these economic interests.

For all that we Americans have thus learned, an old witticism is most appropriate: “Tis better to be ignorant than to have learned so much that isn’t so.”

This is a plea to thoughtfully consider the evidence and questions posed herein on their merits rather than reject them out of hand. Most Americans, operating on an emotional level with heavy tendencies to believe the worst, and having been conditioned to beliefs and prejudices that the AIDS panic panders to, will do just that—reject the facts out of hand.

The author has some definite guidelines by which to consider all new information and outlooks and asks that you examine them.

This Book is Good News!

Because this book is presented as truthfully as is within an honest man's capabilities, I regard this book as good news for you—for all of us! For it liberates the mind in an area where dread fears and upsetting threats have been implanted and cultivated by nonstop bad news from our press and TV.

A biblical quotation at this point is most appropriate: "Ye shall know the truth and the truth will make you free." John 8:32.

This actually says that we are enslaved by our beliefs which are, in a word, our prior mind-sets, opinions, attitudes, etc., that are not based on facts pertinent to the perspective held. Most of us, unfortunately, are destined to suffer the ills wrought upon us by unwarranted beliefs because we reject truth and stubbornly cling to the beliefs which undermine our well-being.

How Truth is Arrived at in This Book

This book is a compilation of articles written in response to the media coverage of AIDS since its supposed inception. I use the Socratic method for pursuing inquiries. New information comes to me copiously in newspapers, magazines, medical journals and tapes of radio and TV broadcasts. The Socratic method automatically formulates many questions relating to validity of new data. Each answer in turn becomes the basis for new questions.

The method takes the statements or evidence furnished by those whom the media reports and proceeds to analyze it on its inherent truths. By the Socratic methodology, truths stand confirmed, and fabrications and errors are readily exposed.

The principle involved is that all truth becomes self-evident—it is axiomatic and logical, it never conflicts with or contradicts itself when subjected to the searchlight of thoughtful inquiry. Under the same methods, errors become glaringly obvious.

The Socratic method ferrets out errors and ascertains truths more efficiently than any method of which I am aware. In like manner the method looks to the motives that might attend the new data—what purposes of the sources and publicizers are served. Truth stands solid no matter how we approach or treat it.

Thus, when we make statements and observations in this book, the supporting rationale underlying the book is also adduced.

With these observations I bid you bon voyage on probably one of the most challenging reading experiences you have ever undertaken.

2

Why I Wrote This Book on AIDS

Of course you don't want to be hoodwinked, swindled or despoiled. Neither do you want to be a victim of a massive con game.

But you have been and are being misinformed, misled and roped in on a tissue of lies so villainous and grotesque it defies belief. And I know in advance that you will be unwilling and hesitant to even think of AIDS as anything other than what our TV, newspapers and other media have represented it to be.

In presenting a book terming the widely publicized and promoted "epidemic" of AIDS a hoax, I assure you that it is, indeed, one of the most monstrous frauds ever perpetrated and perpetuated on this nation or the world. I ask you not to take my word for it! Just keep an open mind and consider the evidence in this book on its merits.

I repeat, I know you will be reluctant to accept as a sham that which has been drummed into you for years. Yet I reiterate that the whole fabric of AIDS, so-called, is woven of a web of deceptions, myths, distortions and outright lies! In fact, the Hitlerian-lie technique has been perfected with a vengeance in this country. AIDS is a prime example of this technique.

This book exposes the abominable, unconscionable and shameful hocus pocus that has been foisted upon you and our fellow countrymen in the name of science.

Why is this done in the name of science?

For megabucks, that's why! This country and its peoples have already been victimized for countless billions by a cartel that fosters ghoulish, unscrupulous and death-dealing schemes for its aggrandizement. So brazen and so cold-blooded, so fiendish and so cruel are the drug cartel's machinations, and so habituated are we to them and their depredations, that we unquestioningly accept them at face value.

I undertook the writing of this book at the urging of several friends. Especially influential in persuading me to go ahead were

Harvey and Marilyn Diamond who achieved fame as authors of one of the all-time best sellers, *Fit For Life*. The Diamonds look upon me as their mentor.

As editor of a magazine, *Healthful Living*, I wrote on the subject of AIDS in 1982. That article was short and I include it here. *Healthful Living* never published this article as my associates considered it inconsistent with the purposes of a magazine dedicated to advocating healthful practices.

This article, which follows, characterized my thinking in 1982.

A New Disease is Introduced Under Suspicious Circumstances

In the last few months the nation's newspapers and other media have been reporting a mysterious new malady called AIDS, an acronym for Acquired ImmunoDeficiency Syndrome. Because it seems to affect homosexuals almost exclusively, I've seen some reports calling it GRID which means Gay Related Immuno-deficiency. With the incessant harping upon the disease and its relation to gays, the gay rights movement is foundering. Perhaps that's an object of this "new" disease, to isolate and alienate the gays from the heterosexual mainstream.

The first cases were reported amongst Haitian homosexual heroin users. The disease is described as a syndrome or pattern of many symptoms of sicknesses—such ordinary and common affections as fever, diarrhea, rash, pneumonia, malaise and so on. Why should these diseases be indicative of "immunodeficiency" when so many millions suffer from them? Is CDC intending, by issuing new diagnostic guidelines, to have these common diseases diagnosed as the already "horrifying" disease called AIDS?

The cause of these affections, now called a part of the syndrome, is said to be a deficiency of the "immune" system. The so-called immune system is the body's complement of white blood cells: leukocytes, lymphocytes, monocytes, neutrophils, eosinophils, granulocytes and others. These are defensive faculties that keep our bloodstream as pure as possible, especially of the debris of cooked foods, the poisons of condiments, coffee, alcohol, tobacco, salt and other toxic drugs (recreational and prescribed) that almost all of us put into our bodies.

AIDS is said to be a deadly disease resulting in death to those who “catch” it.

Spokesmen for Centers for Disease Control say little is known about this new disease except that it has an affinity for homosexuals and an occasional hemophiliac.

As little as they say they know, they are proclaiming it an immune deficiency, quite deadly, very contagious and practically exclusive to drug-using homosexuals so far. To know all this means they are not in the quandary they say they are. How can they say the disease is an immunodeficiency syndrome unless they know a lot? Do they now give blood counts to people with fever or diarrhea? How can they say AIDS is contagious? And why should they say it is communicable unless they have a scheme up their sleeves?

As you know from previous articles, the concept of contagion is pure myth. When everyone is indulging the same bad habits under much the same circumstances, why shouldn't they get similar bad results?

That CDC should highlight a “mysterious” new disease about which “little is known” in such careful language seems both suspicious and impudent. The CDC is well-known as the prostitute for the drug industry and “epidemics” such as polio, swine flu, Legionnaire's and herpes genitalis.

It seems absurd on the face of it that a deficiency can be “acquired.” How can you “catch” something the other person doesn't have?

For the astute there is some fallout from this “new” disease. There is the backhanded admission that, if the “immune” system is deficient, that is, if it is not performing up to par, certain rather common illnesses result. Which is to say what we Hygienists have been saying for ages: When the body, for whatever reason, cannot cope with its load of wastes and poisons, ingested or internally generated, it will—in order to restore its integrity and function, and to preserve itself—institute an extraordinary crisis of detoxification called, among other things, fever, pneumonia, rash, malaise and so on! We have called this saturated body condition toxemia or toxicosis for more than fifty years already.

I suggest you not get worked up or excited about this exceptionally rare “new” disease, especially as it seems to be merely common affections. And since it also seems peculiar to homosexuals, why should heterosexuals be concerned? Further, it is an epidemic so miniscule in size, even the homosexuals should not be worried.

Need I remind you that healthful living always produces health and that disease still results only from unhealthful practices and influences.

• 1982

I did not write on this subject again until 1983 when AIDS had been orchestrated to a high crescendo, and widespread fear and panic were being generated in the nation’s communications media.

This time my article was published. The resulting letters from our readers indicated a general concern, even irrational phobias over the specter of AIDS.

Despite our previously published exposes of the shenanigans of the Centers for Disease Control—despite our airing of CDC’s propensity for collaboration with the pharmaceutical, research and other interests bent upon exploiting us Americans, our readers still gave them credence in their creation and promotion of this concocted disease.

Despite our careful explanation and analysis of the myth of contagion (repeated herein for your edification), old conditioning and patterns of belief still prevailed with a great number of readers.

In 1984 and 1985 I continued to write on the subject of AIDS. Even though I was then labeled as an iconoclastic writer, I did not relish writing “expose” articles. Most such articles seemed negative in tenor whereas they were really positive. Often it is necessary to demolish current misconceptions before truth can prevail. I regard putting the kibosh on lies to be a positive thing.

The articles about AIDS persuaded so many readers that the complexes called AIDS were a contrived hoax that they began to insist upon my writing a book. They felt that all Americans should know the truth. They did not want to see our fellow citizens subjected to bleak, stressful and demoralizing propaganda of the media.

In 1986 my friend, Dr. Ralph Cinque, urged me to respond to a general call by the establishment for mandatory testing of the whole population. The press, in behalf of its cartel masters, reprinted the pronouncements of President Reagan, prominent medical spokesmen (especially those from Johns Hopkins University) and sundry others who insisted we needed universal testing to head off the AIDS epidemic.

Real concentration camp scenarios were advocated then. Those "found" to be infected by HIV (Human Immunodeficiency Virus) would have to undergo mandatory treatment, treatments that were admitted to be, in themselves, very deadly. But that was "the price we'd have to pay."

That looming threat spurred me to write a brochure thoroughly documenting the hoax and exposing the "demands" for testing as a ploy to generate more business for the drug companies promoting their deadly wares.

So strong became the importunities to publish a book exposing the hoax called AIDS that I consented. Thousands of our readers have made this book financially possible. This volume is the result.

3

What Are Your Credentials, Mr. Fry?

In writing on the subject of AIDS, you pose as an expert. What qualifies you to write on such a complex and momentous matter? Do you have a medical background? What are your credentials?

—Mark Epstein, Arlington VA

RESPONSE: I know what you mean in asking these questions. They are an insult! Not to me but to you!

In asking these questions you are implying that you are incapable of ascertaining the truth for yourself—you are incompetent at judging a discussion or dissertation on its merits. You may also be saying that you judge anyone without a degree or pedigree unequal to the task of taking on the issues involved.

In broaching these questions, aren't you also implying that you'll give credence to a person with "credentials" and will not take seriously anyone who does not sport a degree?

As a credential, wouldn't the simple truth do? Can you ask for more? Do you expect more? Does a degree make any difference? In the matter of AIDS, aren't just about all the spokespersons degreed?

Are you naive enough to imply, as you do, that only persons with credentials can properly present a matter? That only persons with credentials are worthy of being taken seriously? That only persons with credentials are capable of being right?

While I have no medical background other than self-study, I am not exactly a nobody as the demand for credentials would infer. I'm a high school dropout. I did not complete the 12th grade. I like to tell people that I've never been formally miseducated as almost all of our degreed people have. I learned to think for myself.

Despite the "handicap" of being a high school dropout, I formed and promoted a discount merchandising company in 1952 that evolved into a prominent national giant and, though not a big factor as it was in its heyday, still exists in 1988.

In 1961 I founded and promoted one of America's most prestigious recording companies, one of yesterday's and today's

foremost producers of recordings of medieval, renaissance, baroque and classical music. I had no musical background. I was only an avid music lover. I hired musicologists by the dozens to do the musical chores and specialty work.

Since 1976 I've been very active in the health field as an author, speaker and educator. I created a comprehensive course in the nutritional and health sciences in the early part of this decade. This course is now well-known. It has been translated into French and adopted as curricula by the school of Naturotherapie, Department of Medicine, University of Paris. Now this course is being translated into German, Spanish and Italian. I am very elated, of course, that two graduates, Harvey and Marilyn Diamond, wrote the all-time best-seller, *Fit For Life*.

When Henry Ford, who didn't even make it to high school, was asked what credentials underlay his expertise in a matter in which he was about to testify, he stated that it was not necessary that he have credentials. He could hire the best talent in any field and be availed of their expertise.

In like manner, I have not been limited by background training. I have none. But I have access to the foremost researchers and "authorities" in these matters. I can investigate their findings and conclusions on their own merits. You can be sure, after reading this book, that I examine every facet of their thinking. Fabrications, false premises, contradictions, errors and inconsistencies show up like sore thumbs for astute readers practiced in "reading between the lines."

So where does this leave you? Exactly where you should have started—as an independent intellect appraising the case on its merits.

4

The Health System That Underlies This Book

The underlying philosophy or outlook of this book is based on Natural Hygiene or Life Science or the Science of Health. Following is the core of Natural Hygiene as it applies to health and disease.

NATURAL HYGIENE Declaration of Principles

Natural Hygiene holds that life should be meaningful and filled with beauty, love, kindness, goodness and happiness.

Natural Hygiene holds that humans are inherently good, righteous, loving, sharing and virtuous, and that their exalted character will be realized under ideal life conditions.

Natural Hygiene holds that superlative well-being is normal to human existence and is necessary to the achievement of the highest human potential.

Natural Hygiene holds that supreme human excellence can be realized only in those who embrace those precepts and practices which are productive of superb well-being.

Natural Hygiene holds that only under the recognition of and the observance in practice of our biological requisites will our lofty potential be fulfilled.

Natural Hygiene, which encompasses all that bears upon human well-being, and which bases itself soundly upon the human biological heritage, constitutes the only way to realize the highest possible order of human existence.

Natural Hygiene, alone, is in harmony with nature, in accord with the principles of vital organic existence, correct in science, sound in philosophy and ethics, in agreement with common sense, successful in practice and a blessing to humankind.

Natural Hygiene recognizes that the human body is obviously self-constructing, having developed from a fertilized ovum, that it is self-preserving, self-defending, and, through the mighty power

and intelligence that constructed it, totally self-cleansing and self-repairing. And, further, Natural Hygiene recognizes that the body maintains itself in perfect health, completely free of disease, if its needs are correctly met.

Foremost among these needs are fresh air, pure water, rest and sleep, cleanliness, foods consonant with our biological adaptations, rest and sleep, love and appreciation, comfortable temperature, sunshine, vigorous activity, constructive work, emotional poise, self-mastery, recreation and pleasant environment.

Natural Hygiene recognizes that humans are biologically frugivores and are constitutionally adapted to a diet of primarily fruits and, secondarily, vegetables, nuts and seeds eaten in compatible digestive combinations while in the fresh, raw, natural state.

Natural Hygiene recognizes that diseases are caused by improper life practices, especially dietary indiscretions. Illness proceeds from reduced nerve energy and consequent toxicosis from internally generated wastes, from ingested substances bearing or begetting toxicity, or from a combination of both. Insufficient nerve energy arises from dissipation, stress, overindulgence, excess or deficiency of the normal essentials of life, or pollution of the body with substances not normal to it. Accordingly, recovery from sickness can be achieved only by discontinuing its causes and supplying conditions favorable to healing.

Natural Hygiene recognizes that a thoroughgoing rest, which includes fasting, is the most favorable condition under which an ailing body can purify and restore itself.

Natural Hygiene, which teaches that exalted well-being can be attained and maintained only through biologically correct living practices, is not in any sense a healing art or a curing cult. It regards as mistaken and productive of much grief the idea that disease can be prevented or overcome by agencies abnormal to our natural being. Consequently, Natural Hygiene emphatically rejects all drugs, medications, vaccinations and treatments because they undermine health by interfering with, disrupting or destroying vital body processes, cells and tissues.

Therefore, Natural Hygiene regards the body/mind as the inviolable sanctuary of an individual's being. Natural Hygiene holds that everyone has an inalienable right to have a pure and uncontaminated body, to be free of abnormal compulsions and restraints, and to be free to meet his/her needs as a responsible member of society.

5 Salient Principles, Concepts and Facts About Natural Hygiene

1. The body is totally self-sufficient when its simple needs are met.
2. The body always acts in its behalf. The body is obviously self-constructing, having developed from a fertilized ovum. On the same order the body is self-maintaining and self-defending. And, likewise, that marvelous power and intelligence which constructed the body also maintains, cleanses and repairs it.
3. Vibrant health and exuberant excellence are normal and natural.
4. The body maintains itself in perfect health, completely disease-free, if its needs are correctly met.
5. Diseases and suffering are abnormal, unnatural and completely unnecessary.
6. Diseases and suffering are readily overcome by discontinuing easily ascertainable causes and instituting living practices harmonious with the biological principles by which we live.
7. Highest excellence is achieved only by those sufficiently healthy to be high-level performers.
8. Health and excellence are, thusly, produced only by healthful living.
9. Responsibility for your health is yours and yours alone! You are in almost total control of life practices which produce health or cause disease. Health or disease result from your acts. No one else can breathe for you, eat for you, sleep for you, exercise for you, nor do anything else for you that builds health. Health cannot be conferred upon you by someone else's treatments, rituals or acts. Health is, it bears repeating, developed solely from your own practices.
10. That which undermines health also destroys the qualities of excellence.
11. Nature has long since solved the problems of disease and suffering.
12. Diseases have two aspects: Constructive and destructive. Constructive diseases are body detoxification and reparative efforts. Destructive diseases, referred to as degenerative, are

organ and tissue damage resulting when the body has not been equal to the task of expelling impairing poisons through regular elimination and extraordinary eliminative efforts (called illnesses, sicknesses, maladies, ailments or diseases). Constructive diseases are body initiated and conducted to purify the body and restore health. Destructive diseases result because ordinary and extraordinary body processes of cleansing and repair have not been equal to the need. Therefore, tissue and organ damage occur which are called degenerative conditions.

13. Diseases are caused by toxicosis, sometimes called toxemia. This is the saturation of the body fluids and tissues with toxic substances. Body poisoning results from what we put in the body other than good air, pure water and foods to which we are biologically adapted. Also causative of diseases are stresses, distresses and excess or insufficiency of the normal needs of life which lead to uneliminated body wastes accumulating within. As body wastes are toxic and interfere with normal function, they, along with exogenous poisons, precipitate extraordinary body efforts to expel them and repair damages. These body actions beget symptoms which we call diseases or disease complexes. Thus, what we call diseases are really crises our body has undertaken to extraordinarily eliminate noxious accumulations within.
14. Diseases cannot be "cured." The concept of medicine is pure myth. The mighty power and intelligence that constructed you from a fertilized ovum is the only remedial power capable of restoring you to superb health. Disease itself is a "curing" process.
15. Diseases and illnesses need not and cannot be prevented. It is not necessary to try to prevent that which will not happen unless it is caused. Diseases always occur when their causes are indulged.
16. As it concerns health, all research is unproductive and irrelevant. The development and administration of drugs are counterproductive. Humans cannot be poisoned into health with drugs euphemistically called medicines.
17. Drugs (medicines), medicinal herbs and other so-called remedies possess neither the power nor the intelligence to

detoxify the body, create cells and repair damages sustained. All are toxic and depress body functions, resulting in what is called suppression. This amounts to suppression of the vital body processes of cleansing and healing. Drug suppression of symptoms, unfortunately, are often mistaken for health effects.

18. The appearance of diseases being contagious is deceptive. No one can give away their diseases any more than they can give away their health. Diseases are biological processes of detoxification and repair. When healthy individuals maintain their health by their healthful practices alone in the midst of "epidemics" of "communicable" diseases, then it must be self-evident that contagion is a misconception.
19. If 100 people take an emetic and 100 people vomit, it might be concluded that vomiting is very contagious. However, all it proves is that "similar organisms subjected to similar influences react similarly." The same observation applies to all so-called epidemics. The only contagions are the same bad habits which beget the same diseases.
20. Scientific logic is exemplified in the postulates formulated by Koch. They say that, if an agency causes a particular disease, it must always be present during that disease. Likewise, the presence of that agency must always occasion that disease. Knowing that all constructive diseases (only acute or constructive diseases are said to be contagious) are the body's physiological processes of detoxification and healing, relegates all ideas of contagion and infection to the realms of absurdity and voodooism.
21. The attribution of diseases to germs, viruses and fungi is outside the pale of science. For instance, those who suffer from what is called AIDS do not have the so-called AIDS virus in more than 50% of the cases. For instance, the fungi candida is a normal resident of the human body from birth to death, yet few suffer from what is called candida albicans. For instance, streptococcal bacteria are normal residents of the human throat but strep or sore throat is relatively rare. When asked about this, a physician will tell you that most people are not "susceptible" or have "high resistance,"

therefore they do not develop sore throat despite the constant presence of its attributed cause. This is merely a copout, and tells any perceptive person that whatever conditions lay the groundwork for “susceptibility” or “low resistance” are the true causes of disease.

22. Those who invoke the mantle of science for their actions may be as voodooistic as savage mentalities. That which works, that is, that which produces positive results is scientific and that which does not work is obviously unscientific. When was it ever scientific to administer drugs (poisons) under the representation or implication that health would thereby be restored?
23. Only three substances should ever enter the human body! These are, obviously, good air, pure water and foods to which we are biologically adapted.
24. Most Americans can regain remarkable health by observing just two measures that the Hygienic outlook advocates and expresses in this motto: Exercise and a raw food diet build great health—try it.
25. The wonderful truth is that all of us still retain our pristine instincts despite all the perversions visited upon us. All of us recognize that, should we be returned to a state of nature without tools for acquiring foods or instruments for food processing, we would partake of those foods which delight the eye, tantalize the sense of smell and arouse gustatory delight in their raw, natural state.
26. Morality, from the common yardstick of all points of view, is conduct which is favorable to human well-being. Likewise, immorality is characterized as all acts contrary to human well-being.
27. Maximal personal excellence is attained *only* by first building vigorous and vital health. Health and well-being, that is, physical and mental excellence coupled with exuberant energy levels, are the foundation stones for human excellence.
28. There is only one pathway to health and utmost excellence. That pathway is the single outlook, Natural Hygiene, which embraces the biological principles and practices necessary to build health and excellence.

SECTION III

Elements of the AIDS Fraud— Old Diseases Now Called AIDS

I **What Is a Virus?**

A virus is an evil spirit! And that is about all that can be said of viruses to anyone who seriously tries to search them out. For what is called a virus is being blamed for more and more of our diseases. Now the move is on to attribute a viral cause to strep throat.

A virus is said to be a minute bit of genetic material about a billionth the size of a cell (per *Guyton's Textbook of Medical Physiology*). A virus is described as a genome surrounded by a capsid (covering) that is usually a double lipid/protein sheath. That seems to describe perfectly the genomes of the body's mitochondria too.

A genome (genetic material) is the pattern for directing the activities of a minute form of life known as a mitochondrion. The genome is endowed with the encoding that helps it create energy for itself and the cell, to create proteins of the kinds needed from amino acids, and yet other functions that maintain genetic integrity, like reproduction, for instance.

But imagine picking up books on microbiology, biology and virology and learning that virii (plural of virus) have these unlikelike characteristics:

1. They have no metabolism. They cannot process foods and have no energy formation. They're only a template or a pattern.
2. They have no faculties for action of any kind, no nervous system, no sensing or decision-making faculties, much less movement and "invasion."
3. They cannot replicate themselves, depending wholly upon "obligate reproduction," that is, reproduction by an alien organism, something unheard of in all biology.

They are merely inert organic material without any life qualities whatsoever. They are never seen to act, and photographs purporting to show them acting are outright frauds, revealing no action whatsoever from the virus. What is usually shown is an ordinary process of phagocytosis (process of cellular ingestion) which occurs countless trillions of times daily in the body, perhaps more than a quadrillion times!

Have you ever heard of one organism actually reproducing another? Not another organism at that! A genome is not an organism, micro or macro. It is to a mitochondrion what a head is to the human body—a control mechanism. As this is contrary to all that we learn in biological science, it smacks of voodooism!

The medical (drug) establishment has taken a bit of mitochondrial debris and imbued it with the qualities of an evil spirit. They badly need a scapegoat for our ills. The success of that ploy is paramount for the merchandising of their drug wares. The fact that acute diseases are body originated and conducted for the limited purposes of cleansing and repairing itself doesn't escape them, but that truth is bad for business. Healthy people are bad for business. And those who alert others to the sham are bad for business.

2

The Great Virus Hoax

How Our Population is Manipulated and Exploited by a Criminally Fostered Hoax

Did you know that you and the rest of your fellow citizens are victims of an ongoing fraudulent scheme on a scale so massive that it makes miniscule the collective criminal scene that we are aware of?

This article does not purport to bare the monstrous rip-offs perpetrated upon us. In a nutshell, we are callously and mercilessly exploited and physically despoiled by a system that involves our subjection to and manipulation by the communications media (TV, radio, newspapers, magazines, etc.), the medical system, the banking system, the nation's production and distribution system and, ultimately, the master control system in this country, the Federal Reserve System, which is privately owned and controlled by the Rockefellers, Rothschilds, Kuhns, Loeb's, Lehman's and a few other consorts. This article restricts itself to one facet of this cruel, dehumanizing and murderous fraud. It concerns a "myth" that was devised as a tool to keep us effectively servile and exploited.

Because this "myth" really was deliberately devised to ensnare us, it is more properly called a hoax. This hoax concerns a fictitious entity called a virus.

While I have long intended to do this treatise on the manufactured "data" presented to us as the science of virology, my impetus at this time was occasioned by ten pages of articles on "viruses" and "AIDS" in the November 3, 1986, issue of *Time* magazine.

For those of us who know how to ferret out the bottom line on blockbuster propaganda campaigns like this, the truth is glaringly evident. Likewise, the motives behind them are obvious.

While *Time's* presentation of "viruses" is subordinate to the main thrust of highlighting AIDS, so-called, some incidental purposes are served by *Time's* approach.

A reading of the article best reveals intent by quoting therefrom: "...drugs have been the AIDS patients' greatest objects of hope." "...scientists hope to gain federal approval to conduct gene therapy." Even the cover headline reads: "Viruses, Keys to Life and Death." This thought implant is meant to imply that your welfare depends on how well you fare against our viral enemies. The idea is also implanted that you really don't have to fight this war, that you don't have to get involved in a fight you aren't technologically equipped to wage. You can trust drug researchers and expensive therapies to turn the tide for you.

The great "hopes" they hold forth are really the modalities pharmaceutical houses (who are behind the AIDS and virus hysteria) want to foist upon us. Their game plan, in imbuing us with their mysterious hoaxes, is to promote the sale of vaccines, drugs and other modalities from which they hope to profit handsomely.

Incidental objectives that are furthered in the course of this article prepare the groundwork for hanging the rap for other diseases on "viruses," notably multiple sclerosis, cancer, diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis and sore throat. Widening the scope of "viral" diseases broadens yet more the market for potential "anti-viral drugs."

In the last ten years we have seen several diseases formerly attributed to bacteria be transformed into "viral diseases." Most notable among them is the retirement of pallida treponema (spirochetes) from duty as a culprit in syphilis and the invocation of viruses in their stead. Now we have over 20 million Americans who formerly had syphilis that now have herpes genitalis, a disease that hardly anyone knew about as recently as 1978. Soon there will be no more strep throat! This article in *Time* predicts the entry of a virus that will replace streptococcus. It will be a herpes family member.

If pharmaceutical interests have us believing that all diseases are directly or indirectly virally caused, then their drug-peddling business will increase even more. It is more profitable to fight an unseen, mysterious and inscrutable enemy than one so common and so symbiotic as bacteria and fungal forms of life. It is especially profitable when you concoct an enemy to your

liking—to meet your commercial needs. This is an easy matter for legal drug pushers. A sister cartel member, the communications media, readily broadcast and print the drug propaganda, which will whip the public into a frenzy, as in the case of “AIDS.”

Hysterias thus generated usually make vaccines and drugs go over big—billions of dollars worth! Even a loser like the swine flu hoax still generates hundreds of millions of dollars for the drug industry’s coffers.

How and why was the virus hoax concocted?

By 1900 it was scientifically evident that the germ theory of disease causation wouldn’t stand up. Koch’s postulates alone destroyed it for practical purposes, even though vestiges of the bacterial theory of disease causation still survive to this day, as in strep throat.

After pharmaceutical interests effectively took over medical educational institutions in the first decade of this century, there slowly began the process of replacing bacterial culprits with viral culprits.

The word virus meant, at that time, poison, and the word virulent meant poisonous. Today, popular opinion believes the word virus means a submicroscopic entity, and virulent means contagious. It is sort of like the word *quack*. Two hundred years ago quack meant medical practitioner or physician—someone who administered quacksilber (mercury). When peddlers of nostrums (namely, pharmaceutical interests) made physicians their pushers in place of itinerant vaudeville barkers and salesmen, they imbued physicians with the aura of science, even divinity. The odious word *quack* was applied to charlatans, the snake oil peddlers upon whom the very same drug industry had previously relied. (Actually, the snake oil peddlers are still around, only now they are called detail men and sell only to physicians and drugstores.) Physicians were elevated to the status of doctors, no less, a revered world of professors and scientists. Imputing a dignified title to lowly drug therapists worked like magic among the populace. Instead of mere tradesmen, physicians became authorities glorified with an infallible godlike halo.

While the drugging craft today has a considerably tarnished image (there is only about a 40% confidence rate according to the polls), the American press, TV and radio still portray physicians as omniscient beings in health matters—every condition receives the blanket advice: “See your doctor.”

It may appear that the communications media slavishly caters to pharmaceutical interests and their retinue of physicians, hospitals, treatment personnel, etc. Actually, pharmaceutical interests and the media have a common master who orchestrates their acts. Who?

The coordinated campaigns of these respective cartel children to create hoaxes is dictated by the insatiable drive to exploit the marketplace for ever-greater profits. A populace conditioned to swallow medical myths (hoaxes) are presold patrons of the poison-peddling industry.

Likewise, the overall system is sophisticated and diabolical enough to foster and promote consumption of junk foods; toxic recreational drugs (spices, condiments, coffee, tobacco, teas, chocolates, alcoholic beverages, fermented and putrefied foods, nutrient deficient foods such as white sugar); nutrient-deranged foods (canned foods, for instance); outright poisons (fluorides, chlorine, lead, aluminum, preservatives and other additives); and now atomic irradiation of our finest foodstuffs.

The promotions of poisons as medicines and the pushing of junk foods, recreational drugs and other debilitating substances and modalities probably has purposes far more devious than profit: the physical and mental despoliation of our populace, thus reducing them to semi-robotic serfs of low-intellectual abilities.

Our school systems, clearly and securely establishment controlled, strive to reduce our children to unthinking mediocrity—to spineless conformers with the needs of the system. That these devilish ends are being achieved, intentionally or otherwise, is evidenced by frightening declines in our children’s scholastic achievement tests (SAT scores). The effect of mental and physical despoliation exists, whether or not the system’s beneficiaries and progenitors deliberately intend it. An unquestioning and servile populace has always been an objective of ruling classes and dominant interests.

All this may seem far-fetched. And certainly it may seem an overextended prelude to an avowed debunking literary expedition. However, I want your perspective to be realistic in undertaking the consideration of the great virus hoax.

The Supposed Nature of Viruses

According to the popular portrayal of the virus, it is a form of life that parasitizes upon all forms of life including animal, plant and saprophytic (fungi and bacteria).

FACT: What are called viruses are entirely of genetic material and have no characteristics of life other than being of organic material and construction identical to that of the genomes contained within cellular mitochondria. In short, what are called viruses are always dead and incapable of any acts whatsoever. Dead matter may be acted upon but never acts of itself. It is voodooistic to ascribe any activity of any kind to totally dead inanimate matter.

According to the *Time* article, a virus is described as a bizarre creature seemingly designed to inflict woe on humans, animals and plants. Diseases are the result of the malevolent acts of viruses, and the intent of the article is to acquaint us with the tiny creature that causes them.

FACTS: Viral diseases, so-called, are always manifestations of body actions undertaken to detoxify and repair itself. A virus is not a creature, it is a dead bit of genetic material partially or wholly enclosed in a capsid or a double-protein sheath. That this bit of dead material should have actions of any kind is roughly akin to attributing actions to the decapitated head of a dead person.

Only living organisms are capable of acting and reproducing; all units of life have a directing or control mechanism called a head, a nucleus or a genome; and, there is never a head, nucleus or genome without an organism to direct. This indicates the absurdity of this devilish imposition called virus.

3

Do Viruses Cause AIDS?

Anecdotal Evidence, AIDS, Viruses and the Medical Cabal

Hygienists are labeled as kooks by the powers that prevail in this and most other countries. The establishment tars and feathers them at every turn to maintain credence for their pharmaceutical wares in the marketplace.

If we so much as say: "Health is produced only by healthful living," we are reproached on this self-evident statement with a question such as: "Where's your proof?", "What research verifies this?" or "That's strictly anecdotal" (meaning, in effect, that it is mere heresy or pure fabrication).

Truth Eventually and Always Wins Out

Both sides can play this kind of game! Truth, even though crushed to earth in the intellects of humankind, remains the powerful beacon with which to illuminate the darkness that beclouds medical mentalities.

For instance, the whole medical bag, pompously proclaimed to be scientific by nearly everyone, doesn't even have the dubious advantage of being anecdotal! Medical dogmas are imposed upon all of us as science when, in fact, the very bases of their existence are strictly and demonstrably illogical, irrational and contrary to the pertinent evidence! Every invocation of science made in medicine's behalf is for the purpose of the bottom line of those who traffic in drugs and medical modalities. Under the assumed veil of a health-care discipline, so-called medical science is an outright disaster for our people.

When evil forces hold sway, the whole fabric of society becomes permeated with evil.

National Cancer Institute's Entry into AIDS Business Backfires

The National Cancer Institute (NCI) is a distinct part of this monstrous cabal. It is up to its ears in perpetrating the AIDS panic,

along with the Centers for Disease Control, the National Institute of Health and many other government agencies that run interference for the drug establishment.

You'll probably never read about what NCI did, nor the results it achieved when it commissioned Dr. Peter Duesberg of the Department of Molecular Biology and Virus Laboratories of the University of California, Berkeley, to make an exhaustive study of the so-called AIDS virus and its effects on humans.

Under grant number CA39915A-01 from NCI, Dr. Duesberg did research on what are called HIV or human immunodeficiency viruses. Dr. Duesberg did just that, but he bit the hand that fed him! Whoever heard of research being made that did not serve the objectives of those funding the research?

An old saying has it that he who pays the fiddler calls the tune. Dr. Duesberg made the research and published his findings in a duly scientific manner in a report titled "Retroviruses as Carcinogens and Pathogens: Expectations and Reality." Instead of adding fuel to the fire of the AIDS promotion campaign, Dr. Duesberg's researches removed the props from under the shaky structure of viruses causing any disease at all, much less a degenerative disease called AIDS! So what did NCI do with the fiddler's tune? It relegated the report to the closet, where all bad boys belong. Their publicity totally ignores the research and continues to proclaim viruses as causative agents in AIDS.

Self-Evident Truths That Viruses Do Not Cause Disease

Koch's postulates, self-evident propositions, say that if any agency causes a disease, then that agency must always be present during that disease. If it is not present, then that means that it does not cause the disease. On the same order, if an agency causes a disease, then the presence of that agency must always occasion the disease. If the agency is present and the disease does not manifest, then that too means it is not the causative factor in the disease.

In his report, Dr. Duesberg noted that over 50% of those suffering from so-called AIDS did not have what are called human immunodeficiency viruses. That disposes of the claim, in itself, that AIDS is caused by a virus. But even more damning is the

ballyhooed prospect of about one and a half million Americans getting the disease because they are said to have or have had the virus. If the virus caused the disease, we would have one and a half million sufferers from AIDS! As threats, real or implied, are the raw materials from which panics and hysterias are manufactured, medical apologists have invented the excuse of "dormancy" in order that a fancied Damocles sword or time bomb hangs over those who are said to have or have had the virus.

What Viruses Really Are

As viruses, so-called, are but genomes—detached parts of an organic functioning entity that have the same relationship to that entity as a head has to a body—how can they cause anything at all? Dead things cannot of themselves cause anything.

The idea that dead organic matter can kill off our "killer cells," that is, white blood cells, is pure nonsense. Dead organic matter is a piece of cake for the guardians of our blood and fluids. These dispatch living yeast and bacterial organisms with ease. Dead organic materials floating around in our fluids are easily apprehended, engulfed, and with their armamentarium of enzymes, easily digested and recycled. No matter how you regard medical claims, the so-called viruses are not alien invaders or alien proteins and fats as medical propagandists want us to believe, but internally created materials.

The Most "AIDS Virus-Infected" People in the World Haven't One Case of AIDS!

Dr. Duesberg further points out that, in Africa where populations range from 20% to 60% body positive for the so-called AIDS virus, not one case of AIDS has ever occurred.

So what is the big AIDS hysteria about? Why is the establishment so intent on promoting it?

Why the AIDS Hysteria Was Perpetrated

The AIDS promotion, like the swine flu farce and other CDC-orchestrated medical swindles, is one more rip-off called a boondoggle in the case of the CDC and a profit producer for pharmaceutical/research/medical interests.

In 1987 the federal and state governments spent in excess of one billion dollars on AIDS. In 1988 the projected costs will exceed \$10 billion! That is what the AIDS panic is all about—a drive to stampede the American people into the medical/hospital/pharmaceutical corral for big bucks. That is what it's all about, not about saving people from diseases renamed and promoted under the fearsome banner AIDS.

4

Are Viruses Smarter Than Humans?

In the *American Health* magazine January/February 1988 issue there appears an article by Kristin Osterholm entitled: "Influenza Virus: 1,000 Disguises."

In this article, we are assured that the flu virus is continually changing its guises. According to Osterholm, this means that, with each change in the virus, which can happen every year or two, it is necessary to come up with a new vaccine to save our people from a pandemic. Thankfully, modern science has the ability to make new vaccines to meet new viral threats very quickly.

The article reminds us that the flu virus had the upper hand in 1918-19 and killed twenty million people. But now we are able to outwit it with vaccines that can be developed practically immediately, should a new strain suddenly appear.

Osterholm implies that we all should get a flu shot every year just to prevent this clever "little beast" from causing a similar pandemic while assuming one of its numerous disguises.

Do you see how clever are the vaccine makers? They invent little hobgoblins to do battle with in order that they might persuade you to patronize their nefarious wares.

What Flu Really Is

Flu is a body initiated and conducted process of detoxification. Vaccines may so devitalize an individual that he is unable to carry out such a simple body-cleansing process as the flu. The toxins build up within until something worse is developed by the body—like pneumonia, tumors or a chronic problem.

The vaccines themselves are toxic and cause many problems. They solve no problems beyond the bottom line of their promoters.

Healthful Living Builds Healthy, Sickness-Free People!

People who live healthily do not become sick. Healthful living builds sickness-free health. A healthy person does not contaminate

his/her body with toxic fare and thus, has no toxic buildup to resolve.

Viruses, so-called, are dead organic material having no more intelligence than a dead human or any other dead animal. They cannot cause anything.

It is not very smart to be taken in by the clever propaganda the drug establishment conjures up about clever little beasties bent on our destruction.

5 The Great AIDS Hoax

Proof positive that AIDS is not a new disease and that it is caused by drugs and other poisons

Is AIDS a New Disease?

What is now called AIDS is an aggregate of many diseases that have actually existed in medical literature for several decades.

The Merck Manual, literally a physician's bible, and *Dorland's Medical Dictionary* were used in compiling this list of diseases known as "immunodeficiency diseases" *before* AIDS was devised and foisted upon our population. The acronyms for AIDS were as follows:

CID (Combined Immunodeficiency Disease)

CIDS (Combined Immunodeficiency Syndrome)

IDS (Immunodeficiency Syndrome)

SCID (Severe Combined Immunodeficiency)

The following terms were used as appellations for the conditions referred to as AIDS today:

Agammaglobulinemia (means without or low on gammaglobulins or a class of plasma proteins active in antibody activity. This is also called hypogammaglobulinemia or dysgammaglobulinemia.)

Agranulocytosis (a shortage of granulocytes)

Alymphocytosis (a shortage of lymphocytes. Meaning a low lymph cell count with -tosis, meaning, literally, "You got it.")

Di George Syndrome (another term for SCID or AIDS)

Granulocytopenia (insufficient granulocytes)

Kaposi's Sarcoma (tumors and cancer which result from accumulations of carcinogens due to a shortage of white blood cells that would normally apprehend and expel them.)

Leukocytopenia (a shortage or poverty of leukocytes)

Lymphocytopenia (a shortage of lymphocytes)

Neutropenia (a shortage of neutrophils, a type of leukocyte)

Pancytopenia (Chediak-Higashi Syndrome, a condition in which there is a sufficiency of all white blood cells but an insufficiency of enzymes called lysosomes which make them viable)

Splenic Deficiency Syndrome (wherein there is a shortage of B lymphocytes due to removal or impairment of the spleen)

Thymic alymphoplasia (a low lymph cell population)

What Causes AIDS?

The disease called AIDS is a complex involving insufficient lymphocytes, leukocytes or other white blood cells which keep the blood pure. These white blood cells apprehend any alien and nonnutrient materials and organisms in the bloodstream, surround them and process them for expulsion from the body.

As the average American indulges in twenty to forty poisoning acts per day (poisons include caffeine, tobacco, soft drinks, alcohol, condiments, preservatives, drugs [prescription, over-the-counter and recreational], toxic foods) the bloodstream is constantly assaulted with poisons. Likewise, the faculties that create these defensive mechanisms (namely the bone marrow and lymph organs and tissues) are constantly under siege from poisons.

When bone marrow and lymphoid tissues and organs are continuously assaulted with poisons, they may lose their ability to create the many types of white blood cells. The result is AIDS.

The pharmaceutical industry has convinced our people that certain types of *viruses* cause the destruction of these defensive faculties. However, the so-called AIDS disease is not new; the causes were laid out in *The Merck Manual* long before we ever heard of AIDS. Under the heading of immunodeficiency diseases *The Merck Manual* lists some of the causes as follows: cytotoxins (any poison at all including alcohol, vinegar, etc., kills off cells), drugs, radiation, antimetabolite therapy, leukemia, malignancies, phagocytotic disorders, chemotaxis, immunosuppressive drugs, hypercatabolism, nephrotic syndrome or protein-losing enteropathy, intestinal lymphangiectasia and others.

Viruses have not taken over as the cause of these deficiencies. The causes listed in *The Merck Manual* have not ceased to exist. (Or, were the medical researchers incorrect in listing the earlier causes rather than looking for a virus?) The causes listed in *The*

Merck Manual have been determined by established research methods.

What causes AIDS? The poisons that we put into our body. Over two-thirds of the AIDS deaths are ascribed to drug abuse anyway, virus or no virus, so we can discount this business about viruses and label it for what it really is: a big hoax foisted upon us by the pharmaceutical industries and their servants.

You May Be in Danger of Compulsory Poisoning

If you are one of the 1 ½ million Americans said to have been exposed to the AIDS virus, then for the “good of society” you may be rounded up and forced to undergo a course of drugging! The proposed drug is deadly! It is called AZT (azidothymidine). Many AIDS sufferers who first took the drug refused to continue it. They said “No thank you, we’d rather die of AIDS.”

You may not have the right to refuse the drugs anymore.

According to articles published in May 1987 by *Los Angeles Times* and *Washington Post* and in many other newspapers, a push is being made to eradicate AIDS just like they eliminated *hoof-and-mouth* disease in cattle. Perhaps you are aware that all cattle exhibiting symptoms were rounded up, driven into a bulldozed pit, then shot and buried. By this new proposal of researchers from Johns Hopkins University Medical School it may be far more preferable to test your population, pinpoint those who have been exposed to the virus, and treat them with an “effective” drug or course of drugs.

The average American does not understand that these AIDS experts and this widespread publicity is just an extension of the fraud called AIDS. It is a scam to peddle drugs to our populace regardless of the dangerous effects of the drugs. Human lives count for naught. The bottom line of the drug establishment counts for everything.

First, the fraud called AIDS was conceived with fraudulent intent. When the CDC first reported AIDS cases, it was already called AIDS. Yet, what they were reporting as AIDS was already in the medical literature as IDS, lymphocytopenia, leukocytopenia, agammaglobulinemia and Kaposi’s Sarcoma. The “A” was

deliberately added to an existing disease called IDS as a tool to derail the gay rights movement of the time. (It succeeded in doing that.) Then it was directed against the population at large. This dictatorial draft is now proposed not for the good of the population, but for the bottom line of the progenitors of this gestapo-like measure.

Medical Interests Treat Us As Unthinking Simpletons X X

Let's consider what is meant by immunity, which is important to our understanding of the AIDS concept. We are told that if we get a vaccine injected into us, or taken orally in some cases that we will develop antibodies to the vaccine antigen such that, when we are invaded by the real thing, we will not get the disease—the body will be immune—the antibodies will quickly destroy the virus.

That rationale has always been used by the medical system to support their vaccination programs. Of course that rationale is an outright scam! Diseases are always body initiated and conducted. —

Now we are being told that, in the case of AIDS, the antibodies are not regarded as an immune factor but just the opposite! While the so-called AIDS virus is not in evidence, titers being made of fluids and tissues taken from many people are said to contain antibodies to the AIDS virus.

The fact that those having the AIDS virus antibodies *do not have* the virus should be, by immunization rationales, evidence that those having the antibodies are *immune* to AIDS!

The medical system reaps its reward both ways. In this case, those who have antibodies, instead of being immune, are declared to be carriers of the virus.

The massive mandatory drugging program proposed would create a real boom in the medical/hospital/drug industries. But it would be an outright disaster to our people. Hundreds of thousands of people would be sacrificed to this hoax that has been perpetrated upon us so that a few billion more may be added to the profits of these same rapacious and conscienceless industries who, I want to stress, are a part of the same cartel that owns and/or controls our publishing and broadcast media, our chemical and energy industries and so on.

Now, back to the proposal. You can be sure this publicity was initiated by the cartel and that the “concerns” voiced by Johns Hopkins University Medical School were engineered. You have a leading AIDS researcher, Frank Polk, saying, “The stakes are getting higher every day. It is now reasonable to take healthy people and give them toxic drugs. People have less and less to lose and potentially a life to gain.”

Obviously healthy people taking a toxic drug such as AZT can die from it because it is deadly. Certainly these people will experience terrible physical side effects. All toxic substances do have some degree of deadliness. Frank Polk should have said AIDS is a hoax of the drug/medical cartel aided and abetted by its sister media. It is a criminal act to give people, healthy or unhealthy, toxic drugs that are known to result in crippling or death. (Note: All drugs are toxic.)

All this is done in the name of a phony war upon a phony virus! After the proposed drugging is over, the CDC could issue new guidelines for the diagnosis of AIDS as they did with polio, then pompously proclaim that the disease was wiped out. It would again be called by its old names (just as polio was then referred to as viral meningitis or antiseptic meningitis). Of course they would proudly proclaim the big drug campaign to be a success—they would claim that the drugs wiped out AIDS!

It should be evident that Frank Polk and others who express “deep concern” are really shedding crocodile tears. For instance, there are 15,000 deaths per year from sleeping pills, more than have died of AIDS. You don’t hear a voice raised about that, though. The drug companies do not want to lose their profits. They would like to develop new money-making vehicles (like AIDS) instead.

“If you run around putting presently healthy people on a toxic drug, you are going to see serious problems,” said June Osborn, dean of the public health school at the University of Michigan. But AZT advocates (drug industries) disagree that it would be unethical not to run clinical trials to assess AZT’s usefulness.

An outright confession comes from Dr. Donald Abrams, assistant director of the AIDS clinic at San Francisco General Hospital. “We have killed patients who have ARC (AIDS-related

complex) by giving them a toxic drug.” Yes indeed! Most of the deaths of so-called AIDS are from massive chemotherapy and drugs. (You have probably heard that when physicians go on strike and withhold drugs, death rates drop by 25% to 60%!) When you see the word virus used, automatically substitute the word chemical or drug, and then you’ll have the true cause of death.

The Virus Myth

Let’s use an analogy to further understand the virus rationale being foisted on us. Let’s presume a flea loses its head. (Yes, its head!) For what is called a virus is a genome (genetic material). Genomes are to cellular mitochondria what heads are to fleas or other organisms. Now let’s presume that a flea’s head is about one billionth the size of an elephant—that’s the size relationship (*Guyton’s Textbook of Medical Physiology*) of a virus to a cell.

In presenting the virus rationale we are asked to believe that a flea’s head attaches itself to an elephant, injects itself into the elephant and takes command of the elephant! Mind you the flea head is dead. All so-called viruses (genomes) are dead. None are living. Why? Because to any thinking person, they are a fractionated part of what *was* a living organism. In short, the flea’s head is a deadhead! Yet it can do things like take over an elephant. Upon taking over the elephant, it commands the elephant to reproduce its head—the elephant replicates the head thousands upon thousands of times. When the elephant has reproduced about 20,000 to 30,000 flea heads, it “explodes” and the flea heads are free to seek out other elephants and repeat the process. That’s a lot for a deadhead to do!

Were you observant in the last paragraph? Did you catch on that 20,000 to 30,000 flea heads are only one five hundredth of one percent of the size of an elephant? That’s like saying that if you inject yourself with half an ounce of a substance it will cause so much pressure inside you that you will explode.

This all sounds ridiculous, doesn’t it? Yes, it certainly does! Yet that is precisely the rationale used by so-called medical science. Let’s review that. So-called viruses are nothing more than genomes. (The body, in losing about half a trillion cells a day, also loses about an average of 5,000 mitochondrial genomes per cell, a gross

figure of about 2½ quadrillion!) I repeat that genomes are tantamount to the head of animals—they are the brains for directing the protein-making, energy-creating activities of a mitochondrion. To call the genome a microorganism is erroneous for an organism, micro or macro, must have a functional body in addition to the head.

We are asked to believe, according to the medical rationale, in *obligate reproduction*. That means that another organism is obligated to reproduce an alien organism (in this case, a piece of one) such as in the analogy postulated (an elephant is obligated to reproduce flea heads). Have you ever witnessed in all nature the reproduction of anything other than its own kind?

What do you think of reproduction where all the offspring are stillborn (dead)?

What do you think of a “science” that expects us to accept their statements that admittedly dead organic material (genomes) are capable of numerous actions including disease causation?

Let’s not buy this scapegoat mentality! Let’s keep in mind that, even if true, it is totally irrelevant! Healthful living always produces health regardless of all the “malevolent little beasties” around. The sick and ailing almost invariably get well when they adopt healthful practices.

The publicity and scare tactics of our commercial masters are designed to stampede us into the medical corral. Have you been suckered in? Will you continue to be?

6 A Look Into the Causes of AIDS Per the Medical Literature

When the grandiose and unethical minds at CDC, in service to their commercial masters, choose the task of creating an epidemic that will yield billions in profits and a lot of other fallout benefits, they seize anything to bolster their "golden baby."

Almost any "opportunistic" infection falls within the reach of AIDS statistics these days. So it is fair to go to the medical dictionaries and medical literature and see how long AIDS has been around and what its former causes were other than HIV, its presently named cause.

Former and present editions of the prestigious medical publication, *The Merck Manual*, have a section on "opportunistic" infections under a heading "Infections in the Compromised Host." Compromised host means a person whose "defense mechanisms," which include white blood cells (the primary defense mechanism component), have been substantially destroyed.

Under etiology (the science of causes or origins) *The Merck Manual* says that opportunistic infections may occur in antimicrobial therapy (antibiotic treatments), irradiation, immunosuppressive or cytotoxic drugs (given in transplants, chemotherapy, etc.) and corticosteroids (prednisone or ACTH).

What do all these entries mean? They mean that AIDS, now so diagnosed because of "opportunistic" infections, is caused by physicians and hospitals! The above causes are all from iatrogenic sources. This frankly says that physicians cause disease, and in this case, what is now called AIDS.

This also means, without question, that drugs and irradiation cause what is called AIDS. Further, while it does not touch upon it, recreational drugs can cause the same condition.

Under another entry, "Other Manifestations of Infection" we find that leukopenia, which allows "opportunistic" infections, is characteristic of "chronic alcoholics."

This is another way of confirming authentically that "the treatment is deadlier than the disease."

7 Did You Know that Spirochetes Are Normally Found in the Human Mouth?

Well you do remember spirochetes, don't you? Certainly you have heard of syphilis which was said to be caused by spirochetes. The medical diagnosticians have just about dropped this former enemy from their lexicon.

Are you subject to germ phobia? Do you believe germs cause our diseases and illnesses? Especially if that is enlarged to include viruses? If you believe it, it may be difficult to overcome this misconception. We are not in the habit of blaming ourselves and our practices for our problems. Instead we have a profession that delights in telling us that we are the unfortunate victims of a bug going around.

Well, at one time, spirochetes were as awesomely feared as the so-called AIDS virus is today. But syphilis has disappeared for practical purposes. Even though during its heyday, only about 70% of syphilitics tested positive for *treponema pallidum*, it was still ascribed as the cause of syphilis. That is tantamount to blaming a garbage pile on flies even though there are no flies present. As a practical matter, the presence of bacteria, viruses or anything else in sickness and disease is not, per se, proof of their causing anything any more than the presence of flies proves they cause garbage.

Spirochetes come in several different varieties called *treponema*. Most people have spirochetes including the one supposedly causing syphilis. But spirochetes do not cause anything! The sole reason they are anywhere is the presence of food (soil).

The first stage of syphilis is today called herpes genitalis. What they do not tell you is that about 70% of these sufferers still test positive for *treponema pallidum*. The medical system is subject to changing fashions, especially ones that have high income potential. For instance, syphilis of the first stage became herpes genitalis which is treated with far more expensive drugs than syphilis. And the second stage where lymphadenopathy and other

symptoms called the “prodome” of AIDS are involved is now called AIDS-related complex, ARC for short.

Those who have what was once the tertiary and quaternary stages of syphilis are today said to have AIDS. As these stages of syphilis also involved immunosuppressed sufferers, it bears no discernible difference to AIDS though, with many lung, lymph and white blood cell problems now labelled as AIDS, more than just syphiletics are involved in AIDS.

The thrust of this message is: Only healthful living produces health. Healthful living always produces health, even in most people with “incurable” problems. Healthy people never get sick even if they have spirochetes, viruses, etc. All sickness and suffering are caused by toxemia/toxicosis, most of it introduced as recreational or medical drugs, nostrums, cooked foods, condiments, herbs and sundry other toxic substances.

This is to say that which will not produce disease in the healthy does not produce it in the unhealthy either! That which does not produce disease is irrelevant. That which is irrelevant is without significance and of no concern! Therefore, the main purpose of this discussion is to deprogram you of misconceptions and fears you may have.

8

Alcoholics Develop AIDS!

The Merck Manual lays a heavy trip on the evils of alcohol consumption. In fact, the drinking of alcohol may well be likened to slow suicide because of the many deadly and disabling results.

But among the effects of alcohol listed in the manual in various sections are two that are called AIDS!

Alcohol causes leukocytopenia, that is, a marked deficiency of white blood cells, better known as AIDS today.

Alcohol also causes brain damage and a host of other problems including heart problems labelled as cardiomyopathy.

But one of the pathological results of alcoholism is neuropathy, also called neurosyphilis, toxic dementia and, wouldn't you know it, AIDS dementia!

Wasn't it around the early 1500s that syphilis entered the medical literature? Wasn't it about this time that sugar cane growing and cheap rum (alcohol) came into prominence?

This raises the question: Was syphilis, supposedly a sexually transmitted disease, really a disease of body toxicity with alcohol being a prominent toxin?

Alcohol May Reduce Resistance to AIDS

Talk about mealy-mouthed words and wishy-washy language—the magazine *Insight* in its June 6, 1988, issue produced just that on the subject of AIDS.

Saying that evidence has shown that alcohol reduces the immune system's ability to fight off diseases, the article then said that the AIDS virus could more readily invade white blood cells after they were affected by alcohol and relatively little of it.

Alcohol-exposed lymphocytes had 20 to 250 times more viruses than those that were not subjected to alcohol. Further, alcohol-exposed lymphocytes produced less interleukin-2 and soluble immune response suppressor factor.

No matter what credence you do or do not give to the virus factor in the causation of white blood cell shortages, this story, for all that it says, really tells you only one thing: AIDS can also be caused by alcohol.

9

Do Bacteria, Fungus and Viruses Make Us Sick?

What role do microscopic life forms play in the causation of human health and sickness?

—Tony Gragnano, Brevard, NC

RESPONSE: The average human body has about two pounds of bacteria and fungi in its cavities, mostly up and down the intestinal tract. This figures out to quite a few trillion minute organisms. In the healthy human these organisms are symbiotic. In a person who eats foods contrary to his/her biological disposition, usually cooked proteins, the bacterial flora become putrefactive in character and generate lots of poisons which, when absorbed from the intestinal tract, give rise to toxemia/toxicosis which causes all sorts of illnesses and eventually, degenerative diseases.

If you live healthfully, you will appreciate only good health, for microbes will be symbiotic completely. The “bad” bacteria and fungi are with us all the time, but in such a small number in those who live healthfully that, due to lack of nutriment, they do not generate significant amounts of poisons. Putrefactive bacteria are almost totally absent in the raw foodist living off our biologically correct diet of fruits with some vegetables, nuts and seeds.

As causes of disease, neither bacteria nor fungi are basic to the process. Neither are so-called viruses. Even physicians unwittingly admit this.

Diseases are body initiated and conducted for the purpose of extraordinary detoxification and functional restoration. When regular channels of elimination cannot cope with the task of keeping the body clean, then a crisis called sickness is initiated to achieve cleansing and repair.

Physicians will tell you that bacteria, fungi and viruses are the causes of disease—in those who are susceptible! That copout tells you that these agencies do not cause disease but those factors which dispose us to susceptibility do. Which is to say they do not cause disease at all. For what are called pathogenic bacteria, fungi and

virii are always with us! If they caused disease, we would never be free of it.

Of course we must admit that most diseases are not ascribed to microbes. Who blames microbes for cancer? (They're trying to hang it on viruses now.) Who blames plaque in the arteries on microbes? (Over 90% of Americans over the age of four have plaque in the arteries.) Who blames diabetes on microbes? Or arthritis? Or asthma?

In short, relatively few of our diseases are blamed on microbes. But give the medical system time! They'll get around to it! The more microbes postulated as scapegoats, the greater will be the profits of the pharmaceutical/hospital/medical industries who try to "eradicate" them so we can be healthy again.

Bacteria and fungi live on dead organic matter such as cooked foods, not on living substances such as raw foods (it must first oxidize to be microbial soil), and they are innocuous to humans. We have them from birth to death.

So-called viruses are nothing more than the genetic debris from cells (we lose about 300 billion a day, more if we are toxic)—this genetic debris, technically a genome, is called a virus. "Viruses" stem from the few quadrillion of mitochondria that die daily. (Each cell, excepting blood cells, has up to 30,000 or more of these organelles or mitochondria which have a genome. A genome is to a mitochondrion roughly what the head is to the body.) As genomes are dead organic material having no attributes of life (and in no sense are they microbes or organisms) they can cause nothing, despite the medical myths to the contrary.

So it gets back to this: At worst what are called microbes are irrelevant! Health is always produced by healthful living, and diseases are the invariable result when we live unhealthfully. So the worst thing about fearing microbes and disease is the fear itself.

10

Is AIDS Really Syphilis in New Garb?

To ask such a question, at the very least, voices a strong suspicion that this is so. However, there is much more than mere suspicion involved here. Syphilis has been dismantled and presented as other or new diseases. The chancre of syphilis is identical with the papillae/lesions of herpes genitalis. Whether called syphilis or herpes genitalis, *treponema pallidum* is found in about 70% of cases. Likewise, herpes virus, so-called, can be found about 100% of the time whether called syphilis or herpes.

There has never been any scientific proof that either spirochetes or so-called herpes virus have caused anything. Efforts to cause either disease by "infecting" others with the germs and the viruses did not work.

Medical literature says there is a secondary stage of syphilis and lists as being among the symptoms lymphadenopathy, malaise, headache, nausea, fever, anemia, neck stiffness from aseptic meningitis, lethargy, anorexia, weight loss, rashes, and others. Today this precisely describes AIDS-related complex which, for statistical purposes, are listed as AIDS sufferers.

AIDS is said to be ARC plus opportunistic infections, pneumocystis carinii (parasites appear in the lungs), toxoplasmosis (another parasitic condition), Kaposi's sarcoma, Hodgkin's lymphoma, candidiasis, thrush, mycobacterial infection (this was called TB), lymphocytopenia and a host of other symptoms.

What is obviously the case is that what was called the tertiary and quaternary stages of syphilis have been included in the disease complex called AIDS. AIDS has become a progressively enlarged catchall term to ensnare ever more customers for the unconscionable drug cartel, more research boondoggles for the rapacious "research" industry, and, of course, increased power and income to the bureaucratic perpetrators and orchestrators of the scam.

Actually, AIDS dementia, neurosyphilis and toxic dementia are all the same thing. All are obviously caused by poisons which cause neuropathy, that is, disease of the brain and nervous system.

Neurosyphilis was first described in the medical literature in 1539, just a short time after mercury and bismuth became treatments of choice for those suffering from the first two stages of syphilis. Also described were symptoms known as tertiary and quaternary stages of syphilis to this day, and are now included under the AIDS banner.

In his book, *AIDS and Syphilis—The Hidden Link*, Harris L. Coulter interviews Joan McKenna who works with AIDS sufferers.

She states that in 1984 she realized that medicinal drugs caused AIDS. Mr. Coulter asked her if she meant that physicians didn't want to be told that drugs suppress the patient's immune system, thus causing AIDS. Her reply was "yes." She also explained that the medical profession is locked into the viral theory of AIDS causation. However, she pointed out that viral causation is the official line and physicians who do not follow that line are in lots of trouble.

Ms. McKenna and a physician, Stephen Caiazza, both support Mr. Coulter's theme that "syphilis went that way," that is, into the AIDS camp. Ms. McKenna says that any physician who does not treat or advocate chemotherapy for AIDS sufferers is likely to be persecuted and prosecuted.

This gives you some inkling of just how the powerful drug/government complex is in enforcing its will upon us. And among the scams they foist upon us are disease fabrications to up the ante on dismantled and re-introduced diseases.

11

How Do You Tell AIDS From Syphilis?

In an article by Raymond A. Smego and others in the *North Carolina Medical Journal* in 1984 (pages 253-254) there is an article entitled: "Secondary Syphilis Masquerading as AIDS in a Young Gay Male."

The physician told of the difficulties of distinguishing between AIDS and syphilis, for they had the same symptomology.

Dr. Stephen Caiazza of New York City who had extensive experience treating syphiletics in New York saw the complaint of syphilis almost disappear. But then he noticed that AIDS sufferers who came to him had the same symptoms as those formerly being diagnosed as syphiletics.

Dr. Caiazza started treating his AIDS cases like syphilis (no AZT, no chemotherapy), and they invariably got better, while those who had AIDS and went to conventional physicians and took chemotherapy invariably died!

Dr. Caiazza says that AIDS is a ploy to get syphiletics onto AZT and other expensive drugs as contrasted with the inexpensive drugs syphiletics were treated with.

Let us keep in mind that things that look like the same thing and are equal to the same thing in physiology are the same thing!

12 AIDS, The AIDS Virus, Dr. Gallo, Dr. Duesberg, and Koch's Postulates

Koch's Immortal Postulates Altered by Unthinking Medical Mind

Should we concern ourselves with postulates? Medical men do, and they pose as being bound by them.

A postulate is a self-evident truth—a statement or proposition that bears inherent evidence of its truth.

I first became acquainted with Koch's postulates while in high school. Recently, I was reading them again as reproduced by Dr. Peter Duesberg, the famous cancer/virology researcher who pulled the rug from under Gallo's so-called HIV virus as the cause of AIDS. Dr. Duesberg is perhaps one of the foremost cancer and viral researchers in this country. His findings irrevocably say that the retrovirus called HIV not only does not cause AIDS but *cannot* cause AIDS.

As an adjunct to his presentation, he printed Koch's postulates as altered by some unthinking medical mind. Koch's postulates say:

To establish a microorganism as a cause of disease:

1. It must be found in all cases of the disease.
 2. It must be isolated from the host and grown in a pure culture.
 3. It must reproduce the original disease when introduced into an unaffected organism, and...
 4. It must be found present in the experimental host so infected.
- In short, the presence of the causative agency must always occasion the disease.

The Word "Susceptible" Has Been Added to Koch's Postulates

When I learned the postulates, the word susceptible was not in them. The medical system has interpolated this word into Koch's postulates as quoted by Dr. Duesberg. When anyone quotes the

postulates with the word susceptible in them, they are not quoting Koch and, the use of the word susceptible destroys the proposition anyway!

The word susceptible was obviously interpolated to explain away the fact that experimental hosts rarely, in fact, almost never, come down with the disease the blamed agency was said to cause. The swabbing of noses with the nasal excrement of cold sufferers did not cause colds in the 1965 National Institute of Health cold experiments in Bethesda, Maryland.

A cause, of course, is always a cause! And it invariably causes what it is supposed to cause! If a blamed causative agency does not cause the disease it is supposed to cause when reintroduced into anyone, then it is not a cause.

The word susceptible means that the criterion which establishes susceptibility is the cause of the disease and not the microorganism or the agency blamed! That is just as clear and self-evident as the postulates themselves. The interpolation destroys the axioms.

I am surprised that someone of Dr. Duesberg's giant mental stature could overlook this.

As it applies to AIDS, the "virus" is found in less than half those suffering from the disease. Its absence is evidence that it does not cause the disease, that obviously something else does! Moreover, from 1 ½ to 2 million are said to have the virus. Yet the deaths from AIDS are decreasing despite enlarged guidelines for diagnosing it! Which is to say that a "cause" that does not cause is no cause. In the case of AIDS a cause has been imposed upon "science" by a drug/medical establishment that needs scapegoats on which to blame disease.

Dr. Gallo is an opportunist and a pawn of the interests that need fearful scapegoats to blame for our diseases. As Dr. Duesberg's researches show his findings to be without merit, we can dismiss Dr. Gallo as the scientist the press and establishment want us to believe him to be.

13

Did Dr. Gallo Photograph an AIDS Virus?

In the fall of 1987, newspapers and TV carried photos of an “AIDS virus” invading a cell. Dr. Robert Gallo of the National Cancer Institute was credited with this incredible piece of detective work.

I witnessed this “virus” “injecting” itself into the cell. The virus was a round object in the photo and the cell was, relatively, monstrous. The photos depicted only a part of the cell with attention focused on the so-called virus.

What we saw was the virus, perfectly still and quiescent, being, quite truly and literally, engulfed by the cell. The cell first flattened out. Then it had a dent formed which, in physiology, is called the beginning of invagination. Slowly the so-called virus is surrounded by cellular substance, closed off and disappears. In physiology, as taught practically since the advent of the electronic microscope, this process is called phagocytosis, meaning a process of an eating cell. The impromptu stomach formed by the cell around the “virus” becomes filled with powerful enzymes which easily digest the organic material, breaking it down into amino acids and fatty acids, for recycling by the cell.

The fact that Dr. Gallo has renamed a process that is an ordinary and regular physiological phenomenon and called it an AIDS virus invasion, speaks ill of him. In short, it belies him as an opportunistic fraud—an opportunistic infection of the intellectual world, if you will. The virus injected itself into the cell about as much as a baseball injects itself into a baseball player’s glove.

This blatant attempt to fool the people in behalf of commercial interests’ efforts to peddle their deadly pharmaceutical wares (that will take on the alias of medicines) marks Dr. Gallo as a willing, if not dedicated, tool of these interests. The notoriety Dr. Gallo seeks is really infamy, and history will so categorize him.

14 Why Those with “AIDS” Suffer More Illnesses

Physicians assure us that “AIDS” sufferers cannot “fight off” disease because of immune deficiency. There is a grain of truth in that, and there are many misconceptions involved.

Most of us think of disease as an entity that invades the body which we must fight off. This is characterized as an invasion of a coordinated mass of bacteria, viruses, fungi, etc., bent upon a course that makes us sick, diseased, etc. In reality, nothing remotely like this occurs.

Disease is a bodily instituted and conducted process of detoxification and repair. When the body attains its objectives, it terminates the processes which we call illness. Were the process of disease an “attack” as is popularly supposed, that which could lay low a healthy person and multiply so prolifically as bacteria do or “viruses” are supposed to, then a much devitalized body could not, in disease, rebuff what it could not do in health. Therefore malevolent forces would invariably devour the victim in true predator fashion.

Those who have “AIDS” suffer more inflammation, liver malfunction, diarrhea, and other problems. That is, those who have less white blood cells than normal, a condition now called AIDS, have more diseases or affections. There is no disputing this.

Why should those with “AIDS” suffer more crises called illness than those who have a sufficiency of white blood cells? The answer is simple. The body goes through extraordinary eliminative crises called sicknesses, illnesses, maladies, or diseases ejecting toxins that would normally have been eliminated by the body’s first line of defense, the white blood cells. What the leukocytes, with much reduced numbers, could not do in the regular course, the body has to do as an extraordinary step through the agency of sickness, a condition when the body withdraws its energies and resources from ordinary activities and redirects them to the emergency of expelling poisons that pose dangers.

This delineation of the reason for more affections by those with “AIDS” does not give credence to the hoax at all. The

medical/drug establishment is perpetrating a commercial promotion upon us all. They put "AIDS" sufferers through a regime that is twice as expensive as the "treatment" a cancer sufferer goes through (about \$150,000 for each one they treat!). Further, the average individuals who go through chemotherapy and other modalities usually do not survive the ordeal whether they suffer "AIDS," cancer, or nothing at all.

- There is no such thing as an immune system in the first place—what they are calling immune responses are really the body's defensive mechanisms that deal with inadvertently absorbed bacteria, fungi, unusable debris, toxic materials, drugs and other obnoxious substances. These defensive mechanisms are primarily the white blood cells.

Further, there is no such thing as acquiring a "deficiency." What was previously called IDS was either leukocytopenia, lymphocytopenia, agammaglobulinemia, or Kaposi's syndrome. These conditions arose from destruction of the body's white blood cells. The average human can double and triple the white blood cell count within an hour or two from storage and new generation. However, if the lymph organs (spleen, thymus, etc.) are impaired—and they can be impaired by *any* poison—lymphocytopenia (a shortage of lymph-altered white blood cells) results. The same goes for bone-marrow impairment where red blood cells and white blood cells are created.

The body's ability to create white blood cells is so extensive throughout its bone marrow and many lymph organs, glands, and tissues that a shortage of white blood cells is hard to bring about. Even the tonsils, which physicians are fond of cutting out, create lymphocytes.

- Despite such a wealth of blood/tissue cleansing white blood cells, many Americans so intoxicate their bodies with drugs, alcohol, processed foods, soft drinks, condiments, cooked foods, protein breakdown by-products, etc., that they lose the ability to create adequate white blood cells.

When an organism does not have enough prime line defenses, among which are white blood cells, then it must resort to extraordinary detoxification steps, such as inflammation and sicknesses.

15 Does the Body Fight Disease?

AIDS is said to impair the body's ability to fight disease due to loss of white blood cells, our first line of defense. Does the body really fight disease or does it create the disease? Exactly what carries out the actions that constitute disease? Are these actions fighting or repairing processes?

There is so much muddled thinking on the subject by medical and other practitioners that writers and laymen do no better than follow their tortured thinking and expressions. Most of us think that disease is something that invaded our body which the body must try to fight off with, of course, the help of a physician who has the weapons to rout the invading enemy.

Victor Gong, M.D., the author of a book called *AIDS: Facts and Issues*, says that the loss of white blood cells leaves an individual vulnerable to illnesses that a healthy "immune" system might overcome. What Dr. Gong is saying is that, because of loss of defenses, all sorts of diseases can successfully invade the body.

Let's try this one out for size. And of course, this is my position exactly.

The body's defensive faculties, particularly the white blood cell complement, keeps the blood and fluids as free as possible of contaminants. Contaminants may be:

- (a) Body wastes. For instance the body loses and replaces from 300 to 800 billion cells each day, depending primarily upon the practices of the individual. If nerve and other energies including those of the white blood cells are not equal to the tasks of recycling and eliminating these wastes, they become a serious problem.
- (b) Unusable cooked food debris. Cooking progressively deranges a food from whole food to ashes. To the extent deranged, the food becomes deficient of what was deranged. In turn the "ashes" which are created from the beginning are toxic. This is evident when the body's "immune" system (leukocytes) double and triple in number after the ingestion of a cooked meal. Failure of the "immune" system leaves this debris to accumulate.

- (c) Toxic substances that the body inadvertently absorbs from the intestinal tract. These are usually microbial degradation of cooked or oxidized foodstuffs in the intestinal tract. They take the form of fermentative substances like alcohol, lactic acid, acetic acid and other by-products such as methane gas. But far worse are the poisons resulting from bacterial degradation of heated proteins. These may be ptomaines, leukomaines, mercaptans, hydrogen sulphide, ammonias, indols, skatols, methane gas and an extensive list of other bacterial by-products.
- (d) Recreational, prescribed, and over-the-counter drugs. Directly injected into the veins, sniffed and absorbed through the lungs or taken orally and absorbed through the intestinal villi, most drugs are very immunosuppressive because, in fact, they poison white blood cells and thus destroy them.
- (e) Microbial life itself, which includes parasites, bacteria, fungi and yeast. (This does not include viruses, so-called, inasmuch as there is not one quality of life about these inert genomes. Moreover, they are among the body's wastes. Given sufficient nerve energy, the body readily deals with its own wastes.) These microbial organisms are absorbed from body cavities, primarily the intestinal tract. All are ordinarily dealt with appropriately by the white blood cells.

When the white blood cells are faced with more of these morbid substances than they can handle, the result is poison accumulation to the point body integrity is threatened. In the event of body saturation with morbid matters, the body institutes a crisis of extraordinary detoxification and cleansing which manifests as various symptomologies, named according to the locations where the body has elected to concentrate the morbid matters or the sites of extraordinary ejection. Invariably it is described as an "itis," meaning it is an inflammation or fever. Fevers are intensified body processes to accelerate elimination and restore normal function.

The more loaded the body is with toxins, the more white blood cells the body marshalls to the bloodstream and fluids. The white blood cell count doubles, triples and even quadruples in attempting to cope with the load! Many Americans so load their system with toxic substances, the "immune" system's ability to

eliminate is far exceeded. In that event the body must initiate detoxification processes, which are extraordinary ways of body cleansing, to rid itself of toxin saturation lest it succumb to toxicosis. The extraordinary steps the body takes are called diseases or a disease complex.

But another factor must enter into our reckoning! When those poisons are so toxic as to be "immunosuppressive," as is the case with:

1. Antibiotics,
2. Prednisone or other steroids,
3. Factor VIII given with blood transfusion to prevent rejection,
4. Medically administered drugs called immunosuppressants,
5. Chemotherapy drugs,
6. Drugs like poppers (amyl and butyl nitrites), uppers, downers, Quaaludes, cocaine, heroin and marijuana,

the white blood cells are poisoned to death by the very drugs they seek to apprehend and expel. When white blood cells are greatly reduced in number, even the poisons generated from the conventional diet alone cannot be dealt with. Thus the body enters into a state of chronic severe crises of extraordinary detoxification called illnesses. This is the disease complex called AIDS.

So you see that other body faculties must do, through processes called sickness or disease, the detoxification which the white blood cells would have regularly done had their number been sufficient.

This also makes clearly evident that diseases are not "entities" lying in wait to launch an attack against an unsuspecting enemy. If that were so, then diseases would be another form of biological life which, of course, is absurd. Only living things are entities that act purposively.

And microorganisms such as parasites, bacteria and fungi do not have communication systems, coordinators, directors or generals, nor the intelligence with which to act in concert or direct a war against anyone or anything! They all act individually and proliferate only when there is an increase in their soil or food.

Were diseases as represented, then once the proliferating "beasties" had laid a victim low, their geometrically increasing

numbers would steadily increase the “devouring” and cease only when they had exhausted their food which would not be before “they had picked the bones clean.” If a healthy body is truly overwhelmed by attackers, which is what sicknesses are said to be, there would be no recovery! That which is conquered in nature stays conquered and exits the scene.

When diseases are said to be “limited,” this really means the affection is a body-detoxification process, and the process is terminated by the body when its cleansing objectives are reached. This acknowledges that the body is in control of itself and not at the mercy of hordes of microbes or some mysterious disease “entity.”

Remember, the only “entity” in disease is the body which deliberately, intelligently and purposively conducts processes to free itself of encumbering toxic materials.

16

Truths About AIDS Surface Slowly

When the first diagnoses of AIDS were made in 1981 of homosexual heroin addicts of Haitian origin, it was clear this was phony. You see contagion is a myth—a medical appropriation of ancient misconceptions.

When a disease comes in as a *new* disease about which little or nothing is professed to be known and it is already labelled *acquired*, then you can be sure it has been contrived. When it was introduced as a disease of homosexuals, the more aware ones said: “This is a weapon aimed at the gay rights movement which was then showing all the promise for gays that the Equal Rights Amendment promised for women.”

IDS Entered Medical Literature in 1952!

IDS was in the medical literature long before it had an “A” before it. The acronym “AIDS” came in 1981/82. CDC was urged to introduce the disease as GRID (Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease). But CDC had bigger things in mind. Why be limited to only 10% of the population as possible customers for the big new drug markets bound to develop around this “new” disease?

IDS has had many acronyms and disease designations. It has been called by at least a dozen other names. (See “What Really Causes AIDS” for 17 such listings.)

AIDS Taken Over by Drug Establishment

Findings here and there are destroying the claims made for this contrived disease even though billions have been spent in publicizing it. The panic has generated an atmosphere where a knight in shining armor, a vaccine that is, is welcomed. Thus, the hype with which it was launched about its being a contagious disease was strictly in preparation for acceptance of drugs and vaccines as godsend when they inevitably appear.

Pinpointing AIDS As Just Another Farce

An article in the April 1987 issue of *Penthouse* by Gary Null shows that AIDS is a political/economic disease. Cited are the findings of Dr. Anthony Morris who blew the whistle on the swine flu farce. Dr. Morris demonstrated that blood frozen fifty years ago had the same configurations (so-called antibodies) as are today said to be evidence of the AIDS virus.

An example of the specious grounds of the disease being virally caused is evidence produced in court and won by two women who developed AIDS in the Silicon Valley of California. They proved that their disease resulted from exposure to chemicals they breathed. The chemicals their work exposed them to destroyed their white blood cells, thus resulting in all the affections symptomatic of what is called AIDS.

Another example of medically created AIDS is the administration of cytotoxic drugs as in chemotherapy or immunosuppressive drugs. Immunosuppressive drugs destroy white blood cells wholesale so they will not attack transplanted alien organs or transfused blood.

In short, AIDS is really a drug-caused disease rather than a disease begotten by so-called viruses.

Confirmation that AIDS Is a Drug-Caused Disease

There was an article in the April 23, 1987, issue of the *New England Journal of Medicine* entitled "Types of Renal Disease in the Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome." The gist of the article is that a goodly number of AIDS sufferers also suffered nephrotoxic injury, that is, injury to the kidneys by poisons. Of course poisons are all cytotoxic, that is, deadly to cells. Especially deadly are amyl nitrite and isobutyl nitrite popularly known as poppers, a favorite drug of choice among homosexual males.

That which destroys white blood cells also injures the liver and kidneys which must deal with deadly substances too. The liver and kidneys must expel poisons from the system.

If you fear the condition called AIDS, fear not. Always keep in mind that it is a disease of drug users (yes, even from

prescription and over-the-counter drugs!), especially drug addicts. Almost all deaths attributed to AIDS are confirmed drug users.

Perhaps you can see that the AIDS panic has been manufactured to beget general hysteria. Perhaps you can see it has been foisted upon us by drug and related interests that stand to make a killing from it. This book should open your eyes sufficiently that you will not be stampeded into the medical/drug corral.

17

Marijuana Promotes AIDS

According to the researches of Eliezer Huberman of Argonne National Laboratory, marijuana users are reducing their immune system's capacity to keep the body detoxified.

Monocytes, a key component of our body's defensive faculties, which also include lymphocytes, leukocytes, granulocytes (eosinophils, neutrophils and basophils) and other components, are critically impaired by THC (tetrahydrocannabinol) in marijuana.

When the body's defensive faculties—called the immune system—are impaired, the body is less able to remove poisons and bacterial and fungal organisms absorbed from the intestinal tract.

Accumulations of Morbid Materials Give Rise to AIDS Symptoms

The lessened ability to cleanse the bloodstream and fluids gives rise to accumulations of morbid materials. These accumulations consist of uneliminated body wastes, ingested poisons and toxic by-products of bacterial and fungal generation from cooked, oxidized and indigestible foods.

In order to keep the body from being overwhelmed, sufferers of deficiencies in crucial defensive components such as monocytes frequently or even chronically undergo extraordinary eliminative crises (called opportunistic infections) such as pneumocystic carinii pneumonia, fevers, diarrheas and other affections characteristic of the immunodeficiency syndrome.

AIDS is Really Drug Caused

While not spelled out in this article, it is further evidence that what is called AIDS is really contributed to or caused by toxic materials, drugs and chemicals.

18

Mercury Observed to Cause AIDS

Back in 1914 Sir William Osler, acknowledged as one of the greatest physicians who ever lived, wrote a book, *Modern Disease*, in which he described a quaternary stage of syphilis that has symptoms identical to those of AIDS.

Dr. Osler observed that only those who were treated with mercury had these symptoms. In those days physicians were not timid about administering mercury in heroic dosages.

Mercury has been prominent as a medicine since the 16th century, about the time that what was called syphilis entered the medical literature and, coincidentally, when physicians began to be called quacks for administering this pernicious poison known in German as quacksilber.

Of course mercury is a highly poisonous substance. But only in degree is it more poisonous than the drugs administered to thousands of people these days.

19

Why Condiments Are Immunosuppressants

Why should vinegar (and the alcohol from which it is derived) be an immunosuppressant? Why should salt, oregano, spices and hundreds of herbs be immunosuppressants?

By using the word immunosuppressant I am employing a popularly used medical term which signifies a substance that destroys our white blood cells. We lose white blood cells all the time. Some of them are naturally short lived, having only a few days of life. Normally they are just as rapidly replaced by body faculties to maintain white blood cell levels at the mean number needed under its circumstances.

When a poison enters the bloodstream, it usually comes from the intestinal tract. It must get from the portal blood into the circulating blood before it can offer the body really serious problems, though poisons pose problems from ingestion to ejection. Poisons can also be injected, breathed and absorbed through skin and mucous membranes.

Poisons entering the liver from the portal blood are immediately set upon by macrophages (a special type of white blood cell whose name means "big eater") of the liver as well as other defensive agencies. Even before poisons get to the liver, white blood cells have begun their suicidal mission of apprehending and removing them.

Bacteria and Fungi Are Relatively Harmless

Bacteria and fungi incidentally absorbed from the intestinal tract into the portal blood are likewise set upon. So effective are the white blood cells and the macrophages in apprehending and destroying bacteria and fungi that if billions of these minute life forms enter the portal blood—and many billions, even trillions, do so daily, especially in our conventional eating populace—scarcely any bacteria or fungi enter the circulating blood. Bacteria and fungi are scavengers that are not toxic in themselves (with rare exceptions) and are powerless against living matter. Organic

materials and microbes are a piece of cake for the white blood cell complement to apprehend, destroy and, if usable, to turn into food.

Inorganic Minerals Are Toxic

Organic or inorganic poisons are not as easy to apprehend. For instance, arsenic, selenium and iodine are needed nutrients. But, in their inorganic form they are highly toxic. Anything that is highly toxic destroys white blood cells.

Our white blood cells are the guardians of the purity of our body fluids and tissues. The moment a poison contacts living substance it forms chemical unions that can cripple or destroy the cell and/or its vital mitochondrial population and/or its protoplasm. White blood cells can be destroyed in the apprehension of both organic and inorganic poisons.

One of the indicators of poisons having been ingested and/or absorbed is the body's propensity for thirst. The body usually takes on copious amounts of water to hold the poisons in a watery suspension away from vital cells. Of course exceptions to this are: arduous labor in great heat, the ingestion of dried foods and no water intake for many hours or a day or more.

Leukocytosis Proves That Cooked Foods Are Toxic

Thus we usually develop intense thirsts when we take on salt, vinegar and other condiments. Because the deranged debris of cooked foods is toxic, thirst manifests after the eating of cooked foods even if they are water sufficient—this means eaten in the raw state, no thirst would have been experienced. Further, tests show that leukocytosis occurs after eating cooked foods, that is, the white blood cell count increases by 100 to 500%!

Anything that causes intense white blood cell activity is also "immunodestructive." When white blood cells are destroyed faster than the body can create them, the body is said to be immunosuppressed.

Of course the body usually gets enough respite from continuous ingestion of poisons to remove them and reproduce sufficient white blood cells thus bringing the defensive complement back up to par. However, when it does not cope with the need, extraordinary

poisons circulate in the bloodstream and saturate the fluids and tissues, thus giving rise to a condition known as toxicosis, popularly miscalled toxemia. In such an event, should vitality be adequate, the process called disease is initiated by the body to expel uneliminated poisons.

Through sickness or disease processes, the body extraordinarily eliminates poisons through organs, the mucous membranes and the skin. For instance, a cold is nothing more than body expulsion of toxins via the respiratory tract.

When the body is severely "immunosuppressed" as with condiments, bacterially and fungally created poisons in the intestinal tract, recreational drugs and medically administered drugs, the condition now called AIDS may well result. The body is rife with fevers, diarrheas, inflammations (as in pneumonia) and other disease processes to free itself of the accumulating and deadly toxic load which may include a lot of its own uneliminated wastes.

When "immunosuppressed," the body needs extra sleep and rest to recuperate its vital energies, to deal with the extra toxicity and to regenerate lost body faculties.

All condiments and spices, especially hot peppers, are toxic. Hot pepper destroys cells wholesale, thus occasioning immediate body distress. All herbs said to be medicinal are likewise poisonous. And most herbs called spices and condiments are mildly to seriously toxic.

Destruction of our blood's defensive faculties is but one more effect of poisoning ourselves with what we put into our bodies via the mouth, lungs and injection.

For vibrant health take heed of the need for exercise, adequate sleep and sunshine, a distress-free environment and situation, and, most of all, the stricture that only three things should ever enter our bodies: good air, pure water, and foods to which we are biologically adapted.

20 The Biggest Hoax of All: The Virus Sham

If there is a more heinous scam than the AIDS hoax, it has to be the virus hoax because about everyone buys it including almost all our scientists, the legitimate ones as well as those who bask under its invocation. In short, it has almost everyone fooled.

The virus has been a good scapegoat for about one hundred years now, ever since it was glaringly evident that bacteria did not cause disease, especially by admission of its popularizer, Louis Pasteur, who said in his later years that the milieu was everything in the cause of disease and the bacteria of no consequence.

This becomes plainly obvious when we find that eaters of cooked foods, especially lots of cooked protein foods, have about two pounds of bacteria up and down their intestinal tract which produce lots of toxic by-products. On the other hand, those who eat raw foods consisting mostly of fruits have just a few ounces of bacteria up and down the intestinal tract, all symbiotic (fermentative) in character and producing vitamins which the host can make use of, notably vitamin B-12.

Or look at it this way. Raw food eaters who eat mostly fruits and observe appropriately the other needs of life (good air, pure water, adequate sleep, vigorous activity, sunshine, etc.) never become sick despite exposure to all kinds of bacteria, fungi (yeasts) and so-called viruses.

If a conventional living person becomes sick, and they often do, fasting can speedily resolve the malady! Now if these so-called causes of disease do, indeed, cause disease, then their presence would cause it in everyone. But, if disease exists and the simple resort to fasting resolves the ailment quickly as it invariably does, then what did bacteria, fungi or so-called viruses have to do with it?

If viruses do not cause disease in those who live healthfully, then they cannot cause it in those who do not live healthfully. The physician counters with "those who live healthfully are not at risk" or "those who live healthfully are not susceptible" or "those who

live healthfully have high resistance.” That copout tells you that whatever places anyone “at risk,” causes “susceptibility” or begets “low resistance” is the *real* cause of disease.

In this light, healthful living always produces health and unhealthful living produces sickness and suffering. That makes viruses irrelevant. And what is irrelevant is insignificant and, indeed, meaningless.

The deliberate erection of so-called viruses (they are actually genomes resulting from cellular breakup on expiration) as scapegoats for disease proceeds from the establishment’s need for scapegoats to justify their pharmaceutical industries whose products presumably exorcise the malevolent little beasties.

Is AIDS Agammaglobulinemia?

A severe immunodeficiency disease of infants and children is called agammaglobulinemia which means low to nonexistent gammaglobulins in the blood. Gammaglobulins are specialized proteins which play a role in recognizing nonself materials that are a hazard to cellular well-being.

This condition may be called SCID (Severe Combined Immunodeficiency) as well. But the guidelines these days for diagnosing AIDS means that physicians are more likely to include those two diseases under the sweeping designation of AIDS.

In the past few years I have received phone calls from parents who have had diagnoses of AIDS and agammaglobulinemia in their infants and youngsters. In the case of those with diagnoses of AIDS I have advised them to get second and even third opinions. Many have been rewarded with a secondary diagnosis of agammaglobulinemia rather than the oppressive label of AIDS.

In any event what can be done about the condition in children? Because the problem is usually laid at the door of a genetic defect, physicians are now thinking gene implant therapy. But, regardless of the causes, a healthful regime that involves proper attention to fresh air, sunshine, sleep, and a raw food regime of mostly fruits and vegetables will certainly produce the highest level of health possible. And that is often enough to reverse the problem in cases of noncongenital cases.

Defects in children are rife today, about 20% of all births. About half of these problems are brain and nervous system problems that give rise to mental problems. Normal children are born to normal parents when they live normally. Because very few Americans live normally, defects in children at birth are increasing.

SECTION IV

AIDS and Contagion

1

You're All Wet About Contagion

Despite what you say that we can't catch diseases from each other, how do you explain that diseases seem to hit everybody at the same time? It is difficult to accept your explanation when the facts of contagion are rather obvious.

—Harold Babbington

RESPONSE: Did it ever occur to you that you cannot give anyone your diseases any more than you can give them your health?

Contagion was born from demonology. In olden times diseases meant that we were possessed by demons. Those with "demons" were shunned lest they take up residence in those who came in contact. We have made progress! Demons have progressed to evil spirits to bacteria and fungi and now, to viruses!

But the diseases which we can catch are so few as not to merit concern anyway! Do we catch cancer? Asthma? Arthritis? Psoriasis? Canker sores? Heart disease? Diabetes? High blood pressure? Itch? Athlete's foot? In fact, you are hard put to name more than a few "transmissible" or "communicable" diseases. And even these are not contagious despite "obvious" appearances and all the confirmation of medical "science."

Colds are said to be very contagious. How is it baby has colds about eight times a year and the parents only once or twice? How is it that an isolated man in the Antarctic who manned an observation post "caught" four colds during his stay? Medical scientists were baffled and that caused quite a flap.

How was it that in 1965-67 the great cold laboratories conducted in Bethesda, Maryland, by the National Institutes of Health showed everything but contagion? In those experiments volunteers were swabbed daily with the cold viruses from those suffering with colds. Those swabbed did not come down with colds! Colds did not occur in greater number than among a control group. But, lo and behold, the day following Thanksgiving feasts begot an unusual

number of colds in both control groups! How do you suppose that came about? Does that not give you any clue about what colds really are?

If I lined up one hundred people, gave them all an emetic, and all vomited, you might conclude that vomiting is quite contagious. But this only proves that: Similar organisms that are exposed to similar substances have similar reactions.

So why should it surprise you that similar affections seem to run rampant, when all who suffer them are indulging similar bad habits? Keep in mind that similar bad habits beget similar bad results.

— In truth, colds and other acute sicknesses are but the body's way of disposing of more body wastes and ingested materials than it could dispose of through the ordinary channels of elimination.

In nature we were not gluttons. In nature we did not eat foods contrary to our biological adaptations. Like other animals, we ate only what our instincts disposed us to eat. In short, in nature we did not intoxicate our systems nor suffer diseases, the same as animals don't. (In nature, mind you!)

We have a propensity for believing what the establishment wants us to believe, and they trade liberally upon the cultivated myth of contagion. This makes more customers for their drug/medical/hospital trades.

If you live healthfully, you never suffer disease! Hygienic children never suffer "the usual childhood diseases." Diseases must be caused and only unhealthful practices cause them.

2

Contagion— An Ancient Myth Resurrected!

Medical practitioners are held in awe and deeply respected,
Therefore their pronouncements, as might be expected,
Are regarded as if divinely perfected.

Upon their authority there is erected
a belief that disease floats about until a victim is selected,
To be wretchedly and grievously affected.

Called contagion, the belief holds that we are infected,
By malevolent microbes that invade us quite unsuspected,
To wreak destruction upon us unless we are by vaccines
protected.

Hence we hie to the medic we've elected,
To inoculate us with vaccine from a needle injected,
That we might henceforth by evil microbes be neglected.
Though of voodooistic origin contagion has been medically
resurrected,

To ensnare a populace that invariably becomes dejected,
Because cures through medicine are never effected.
Until the sublime truth that similar organisms from nature
defected,

Suffer similarly when life's laws are in like manner
disrespected,
Disease will remain as long as bad life habits are uncorrected.

3

AIDS is Positively NOT Contagious!

The Wednesday, February 5, 1986, issue of the *New England Journal of Medicine* published a study that, in effect, says AIDS is not contagious! Not by any of the criteria of contagion!

A landmark study, very thorough in its scope, provides conclusive evidence that the disease called AIDS does not spread through close, day-to-day personal contact. Headed by Dr. Gerald Friedland of the Montefiore Medical Center in New York City, the research team negated the results of much of the hysteria churned up by the medical establishment through its captive sister the media consisting of the nation's newspapers, TV, magazines, etc.

Hugging, kissing, using the same toothbrushes, beds, towels, toilets, drinking glasses and a host of other high-contact familial practices did not result in a single case of AIDS in families where there was an AIDS sufferer.

Blood tests showed that the "virus" infected none of the families after years of exposure. This led the research team to declare that contagion was virtually nonexistent with AIDS.

The research team further noted that those dying from the disease are mostly homosexuals who are intravenous drug users.

Remember all the school children barred from school? The isolation and quarantining of those thought to have AIDS?

Remember those wild statements about one to two million Americans being infected with the "AIDS virus" designated by the forbidding, but believably inscrutable, HTLV-III?

This study not only casts grave doubts about this big hoax, but brings into question just how contagious any so-called virus disease is, including colds, herpes, measles, etc.

Don't get into a quandary, dear reader. We have been publishing the truth all along. A "deficiency" simply is not transmittable.

— In short, you cannot pass your sleep deficiency, your vitamin deficiency or your white blood cell deficiency on to someone else. Further, inasmuch as illnesses are body initiated and conducted

crises of detoxification and repair in response to impairing influences—usually poisons or hampered elimination due to heavy stress—no disease can be transmitted by the normal and ordinary acts of life.

— What is contagious are the stress factors and poison habits that most Americans are subjected to or subject themselves to. It is estimated that—what with cigarettes, alcohol, condiments, cooked foods, soft drinks, drugs, etc.—the average American suffers about 20 to 40 poison events daily.

Is it any wonder, therefore, that with this impairing common denominator, many humans develop the same type of crises of detoxification and repair? Is it any wonder that many have their faculties impaired or injured as in the case of so-called AIDS?

4

The Great AIDS Hoax

Find Out the Real Reasons Behind the AIDS "Epidemic"

The following articles were written from 1982-85 and published in the Healthful Living magazine in a response to the overwhelming media coverage of AIDS. They were compiled in booklet form in 1985. This booklet has been opening eyes to the AIDS fraud that has been foisted upon us since its publication. We share it in this book with you in its entirety.

AIDS A Political and Social Disease, Not a Physical One

AIDS is so new it's not yet in the latest published medical reference books. However, there's no shortage of "erudite" information about it, even in the so-called science magazines.

AIDS was sprung on the scene directed at homosexuals just as herpes genitalis was directed against sex swingers. AIDS has proven a most potent weapon. As reported in the *Spotlight* of August 15, 1983, the furor is having an inhibitory effect on gay people. Other reports reflect that heterosexuals are beginning to treat homosexuals of their acquaintance as if they had a plague. Nonhomosexuals are supposed to be catching the disease now too—from gays, of course, in most cases.

What Is AIDS and Its Causes?

Exactly what is this disease called AIDS? What are its symptoms? How does it manifest? What causes it? Is it really contagious? How many people have it?

The symptoms of AIDS are said to be fever, diarrhea, weight loss, "infections," pneumonia, rare forms of cancer, fungi, bacteria and "viruses."

If you reflect, you'll note carefully all the diseases listed above (except fungi, bacteria and "viruses") are diseases in their own right and are evidence of no other disease except themselves. If

they represent "immune" deficiency, then all diseases represent "immune" deficiency. The idea that we have diseases due to "immune" deficiency is pure tommyrot.

If you've mastered the knowledge that all diseases are body instituted for purposes of detoxiciation, you know immediately there can be no AIDS. Deficiencies manifest as inability—for instance, scurvy, beri beri, pellagra and kwashiokor are deficiency diseases. If we had an "immune deficiency," we'd have an inability to defend against toxic substances, for the medical profession means defensive ability by the word immune. But they mean immune to such diseases as pneumonia, fever, diarrhea, "infections," etc. This puts their ascription, called AIDS for short, into the realm of absurdity, for these sicknesses are body-remedial actions. Thus if we said that all sufferers from pneumonia, cancer, fever, diarrhea and weight loss were victims of AIDS, it would be just as accurate as describing AIDS as manifesting under the camouflage of these diseases. It's all fabricated and doesn't sit well with the most elementary logic.

Are Deficiencies Contagious?

If someone suffered osteoporosis due to calcium deficiency, do you think anyone can acquire this deficiency by associating intimately with the osteoporotic sufferer? Do you think anyone's defensive mechanisms (miscalled immunity) that are impaired can pass their shortcomings on to others?

AIDS stands for "acquired immune deficiency syndrome." The word *acquired* is an odd way of saying "you caught it" or "it caught you." *Immune* derives from the word *immuno* which means that we are exempt from consequences if we transgress the laws of life. Of course, we can't be made "immune" to anything. Vitality can be suppressed so that the body cannot act, but this is debility rather than immunity. If lack of body action is to be labelled immunity, then death makes us immune to all diseases. For, to the extent we have been devitalized by drugs, vaccines, etc., to that extent have we died—our body faculties have been destroyed to that extent.

Deficiency means lack of a needed faculty. *Syndrome* means a pattern of symptoms characteristic of a disease. If we add all this

together, AIDS means we have a sickness or disease because our defenses are down. It's sort of like a boxer who no longer has the strength or energy to parry his opponent's blows.

Of course, this is not the case at all. It bears repeating that all diseases other than degenerative ones are body initiated and conducted for purposes of body cleansing/healing. Degenerative diseases include deficiency diseases, for the body suffers loss of faculties as well as function during deficiencies. But acute diseases manifest *because* the body has vitality, not because it has a lack of it. Acute diseases such as fever, diarrhea, pneumonia, etc., are exhibitions of body vitality in expelling toxic substances from its domain. I emphasize that symptoms of pneumonia, fever, diarrhea and so on are evidences of body efforts to purify and remedy a problem, not a lack of "immunity."

Immunity Is a Medical Myth

Let's look at immunity another way. If you give a jigger of liquor to a three-year-old, the child will spit and sputter until it frees itself of the vile stuff. Give it to an alcoholic, and there is absolutely no resistance. The alcoholic has lost the vital powers of resistance. The medical community would say that the alcoholic has immunity because he is not affected, whereas the healthy, vital child does not have immunity!

The vitality or lack of vitality of the body's defensive mechanisms is not something that can be acquired by intimate contact with someone else. Vitality is a characteristic of health, and its lack is a characteristic of someone who has lost their health due to unwholesome practices. Thus, in the case of the alcoholic, he has not "acquired" his "immunity." Nor is it "immunity." The alcoholic is a "boxer" who no longer can defend himself due to exhaustion of vital energies and faculties. He's had too many bouts with alcohol—he's slowly poisoned himself into insensibility and functional debility.

AIDS is a Medical/Political Fabrication

So you see that AIDS is really a "nothing" disease. It is a big scare officially and medically invented and directed at homosexuals. They are the intended victims of this hoax. Its touted

"contagion" and its supposed transmissibility to nonhomosexuals is a cruel tactic to isolate the homosexual community—why it might even drive them underground as they were a mere twenty years ago.

First, the medical profession hasn't gotten around to even ascribing a cause to the disease. They have set no symptoms as yet. They haven't even attributed it to "viruses" as yet, but they undoubtedly will. Further, the modus operandi of AIDS contagion remains wholly untouched in all releases to date. AIDS is some mysterious ogre out there, and those who unthinkingly subscribe to voodoo scares readily fall for the line.

A Dr. Paul Cameron of the Institute for the Scientific Investigation of Sexuality in Lincoln, Nebraska, says the disease is caused simply by lack of sanitation. He says that innocent, normal people get AIDS simply for this reason. If his statement is true, then its contagion or transmissibility is pure myth. It's nothing to be feared at all. Diseases of filth are controllable by everyone—cleanliness and nonbody-polluting practices are all that are needed. But, if filth is the cause, and we contend that filth (internal filth or body pollution) is the cause of all sicknesses, then almost everyone has AIDS! Almost every American is so polluted inside that s/he will smell strongly of internal filth after 24 to 60 hours without food! Some of us smell strongly even without abstaining from food. Anyone who begins a body cleansing (exemplified as symptoms of sickness such as fevers, diarrhea, etc.) has AIDS! This is true because they have lost the "immunity" and cannot "resist" a disease.

A little logic and a little knowledge applied to this "new disease" shows it to be so much poppycock.

Exploiting AIDS

Drug concerns and medical practitioners seize upon the fears of people as possible avenues for profit. These special interests often create the publicity, and the furor to generate fear. Of course they orchestrate fear through the Centers for Disease Control which is hardly more than an official agency that works in behalf of medical/drug interests. If you totally ignore all the medical scare-'em-into-our-offices tactics and live healthfully, you need fear no disease. You won't have it because you are not causing it.

AIDS Is a Product of Our Drug Culture, Not a Virus

The drug industry has a hefty business going. They advertise heavily on TV, in newspapers and other media. Most drugs, such as over-the-counter nostrums, cigarettes, coffee, condiments, alcoholic beverages, herbs, soft drinks, etc., are readily procurable. Many drugs are available by prescription; and the illegal drugs are procurable for many.

However, one of the effects of all drugs are so-called side effects; but, in reality, effects from all drugs are poisonous including even the deranged debris from foods subjected to heat. Americans are so betaken with drugs and drugged products (preservatives, including salt, are drugs) that they each, on the average, commit about 40 poisoning acts daily.

— What is called AIDS is really only drug destruction of our white blood cell procreative faculties.

The Symptoms of AIDS

You're likely to be diagnosed as having AIDS if you get any, some or all of these symptoms:

1. Swollen lymph glands
2. Abnormal weight loss
3. Diarrhea
4. Aplastic anemia
5. Liver problems
6. Pneumonia or lung problems
7. In short, nearly any problem that is attributed to loss of defensive or "immune" mechanisms.

Lymph glands are not only procreative of T and B cell lymphocytes which are miscalled "immune" system faculties, but they are organs of detoxification. Among these organs are the spleen, lymph nodes, adenoids, etc. Their inflammation means they have been overwhelmed by poisons, either exogenous or endogenous (such as uneliminated body wastes) or both.

Diarrhea means that the body has toxic substances in the intestinal tract which the body is endeavoring to expel with the greatest haste possible.

Aplastic anemia is failure to produce sufficient red blood cells.

These are produced in the bone marrow just as are leukocytes. What destroys the productive faculties of one can also affect the other.

The liver has problems with any poisons the body selects it to detoxify. Especially does it have problems with alien proteins such as are injected in blood transfusions, vaccines, etc. Hepatitis and other hepatic problems arise from transfusions, drug injections and drugs otherwise taken, even if they are in the form of salt, alcoholic beverages, preservatives, caffeine, etc.

Pneumonia is an inflammation of the lungs. When the body selects the lungs to expel poisons, it can be overwhelmed and become inflamed as in pneumonia.

Examples of the lungs being selected to eliminate poisons is bad breath, alcoholic breath, garlic breath, etc.

Weight loss occurs in cancer and many other affections where poisoning of either the faculties of metabolism or assimilation or both occur.

The Great AIDS Hysteria

***How a Successful Conspiracy Destroyed
the Gay Rights Movement
and Alienated Homosexuals***

***How This Phony Disease Is Now Used
by the Medical/Drug Complex as a Vehicle
to Scare and Exploit All Americans***

Healthful Living Magazine, Oct./Nov./Dec. 1985

Newspapers, TV, radio—in short, the media has been and is whipping the American populace into a frenzy about the new non-disease called Acquired Immune-Deficiency Syndrome, popularly known by its acronym, AIDS. And the American people are buying this commercial propaganda hook, line and sinker, including a lot of people who should know better.

This frightful and terrible new “disease” is said to kill half its victims. Indeed, half the reported diagnoses are of deaths—much of the diagnoses are postmortem. In fact, that’s the way the Centers for Disease Control sprang this dreadful new disease upon the American people—as a detective story delving into the deaths of homosexual Haitians.

That the whole fabric was a contrived tool to alienate homosexuals from the community at large and destroy the gay rights movement was palpably evident almost from the beginning. Since its introduction, this “disease” has been diagnosed as having hit 12,500 “victims,” mostly homosexuals.

At this point, let’s reflect a bit. In 1983, when I published my article, only 2,000 cases had been diagnosed into existence. Since then, as more and more physicians “get with it,” 10,500 more cases have been diagnosed. Of course, they’ll be diagnosing more and more to fulfill the predictions made for this disease—you can’t scare people much unless you have a specter of a rampant new disease that’s going to destroy them or their well-being.

What are your odds of being diagnosed as having AIDS?

If you're a homosexual and submit to a physician who knows this, your chances at this time are about a tenth of 1% in any given year. However, as this new drug/medical tool is now being aimed at the American people in general, your chances are about one in 200,000 or one 2,000th of one percent. Or, in a lifetime of 80 years, your chances are only about one twenty-fifth of one percent. Even if AIDS was for real, would you let those odds worry you? If you live healthfully, your chances are zero percent.

How does that compare with some very real odds against you? Your chance of having cancer is nearly 30%! Your odds of having deadly heart/cardiovascular disease is about 50%! Aren't these the kind of odds that you should concern yourself with?

This media-generated hysteria on behalf of the medical/drug industries is laying the groundwork for new areas of exploitation. You can expect to see a lot of "preventive" drugs and new courses of treatment. Especially will you see new vaccines to combat this raging enemy.

You can be sure that this will be parlayed into a multi-billion dollar affair. Already researchers are importuning the government for grants to investigate this so-called disease. That could turn into a billion-dollar boondoggle all by itself. The government has poured in excess of ten billion dollars down the cancer research rathole and, as you know, there's no solution in sight. And there won't be. To find the answers would end the field for the research windfall. The technique is to shower the press with "findings and promises" and let them be eclipsed among a new welter of "findings and promises."

When the Centers for Disease Control orchestrates the media to spend hundreds of millions of dollars to publicize such a myth as AIDS, you can be sure there are ulterior motives—that there is something heavy in the offing.

We know, from publicity, that some pharmaceutical firm, probably one from the Rockefeller complex, will be presented in shining armor as humanity's savior with a new vaccine that will end the horrible scourge of AIDS. Of course it's going to be easy to save America from a disease that never existed in the first place. When the AIDS vaccine hits, you can be sure AIDS will

disappear from the scene as polio did—CDC will orchestrate a return to diagnosis of AIDS as cirrhosis of the liver, pneumonia, diarrhea, leukocytopenia, fever, abnormal weight loss, “infection,” etc. Only we’ll continue the vaccine as the polio vaccine continues “to make sure AIDS stays wiped out.”

You probably think I’m off my rocker telling you AIDS is phony. It’s a hundred times easier to create fears and play upon them than to generate knowledge and the confidence it begets. So why should you accept the obvious truth elucidated in this issue about AIDS? After all, isn’t this disease killing thousands? Didn’t Rock Hudson die from AIDS?

Let’s examine the disease and appellation called AIDS—it is rather obvious the name was conjured up with this acronym in mind. Actually, Acquired Immune-Deficiency Syndrome is an absurd name. Acquired means something you obtain or get through effort or office. In this case it is used as something you inadvertently catch as the price for iniquity. This was meant to apply to homosexuals. Just as herpes genitalis is ballyhooed as the badge of iniquity for being a swinger, so, too, homosexuals must bear the iniquity of AIDS as a badge for the aberrancy.

As to what was acquired, AIDS existed three years before anyone bothered to ask what was being acquired. At first the modus operandi of acquisition was said to be homosexual acts or contact. As homosexuality is obnoxious to the general population, we didn’t ask serious questions—we bought the evil fruit aspect of the thing. We were horrified, and we condemned homosexuality. But, to give some credence to the disease, a culprit had to be found. So, in 1984, an “elusive” virus was blamed as the cause. That’s like saying “evil spirit,” for, as malevolent entities, viruses are pure myth. Those particles of DNA called viruses are nothing more than mitochondrial debris from expired body cells—daily we lose hundreds of billions of cells from our organism of 75 trillion—most of this cellular loss is replaced.

Now that a destructive and malevolent enemy has been pronounced the villain—an enemy that transcends all and afflicts the moral as well as the immoral, the stage is set for general public hysteria as a buildup for the entry of all sorts of products on the scene, especially vaccines.

The media has been orchestrated by the CDC, an agency that is little more than the prostitute of the drug/medical/hospital monster, to create the preparatory hysteria for this heinous sales campaign. With an atmosphere of little AIDS beasties on the loose that can get anyone, it'll soon be time for the arrival of a vaccine which hordes of Americans will rush to "acquire" just to be "safe and sure."

Because of the nature of the role of disease, it is impossible that it be passed along or "acquired" through common practices. (Oh yes, you can be injected or transfused with toxic substances which will result in untoward symptomology.) Contagion is popularly subscribed to even though it is pure superstition from the dark ages. The medical/drug establishment uses this voodooistic belief as a lever to make us customers for their life-sapping products.

Let's now get to the word immune as employed in the term. The word immunity means: Exempt; not liable; safe from prosecution, reprieve, etc. It means having special privilege or dispensation for acts of wrongdoing. That any such freedom from acts of transgression exists in all nature is pure absurdity. That we can be made "not liable" for wrong acts in the physiological domain is sheer voodooism.

In the case of AIDS the word immune is employed to mean our defensive mechanisms, foremost of which are lymphocytes and leukocytes. Prior to AIDS, a subnormal count of white blood corpuscles was called leukocytopenia or lymphocytopenia. Now, four years after its debut, this is one of the primary symptoms of AIDS. A virus is said to destroy our ability to create these defensive faculties. But this is all fancy. A bumbling M.D. recently investigated a number of AIDS victims and said that most were on drugs, including medically prescribed ones. He merely highlighted what we already know—drugs are highly destructive of human faculties, especially defensive faculties such as lymphocytes, leukocytes and their generative faculties in bones and the lymphatics. It is the role of these defensive mechanisms to proliferate when poisons are present in the bloodstream and lymph. They surround and capture the offending substance and carry it to the nearest expulsion point which may be lungs, skin,

kidneys or bowels or all. Before being expelled, the poisons may be further broken down by lymph nodes or the liver.

Those who regularly take drugs, especially heroin and other injected drugs, are likely to suffer white blood cell deficiency, medically called lymphocytopenia or leukocytopenia, but now called AIDS.

Let's proceed to a consideration of the word "deficiency." As you know this also means insufficiency, a shortage, or simply, not enough. What the medical/drug establishment has erected as a deficiency is a low white blood corpuscle count or deranged white blood corpuscles. Until AIDS was catapulted onto the scene, a low count—that is, 5,000 per cubic milliliter or less—was diagnosed as leukocytopenia or lymphocytopenia.

To assert that a deficiency can be acquired is, of course, ridiculous. You can't acquire anyone else's deficiency by any means you can name—not by any act normal or abnormal in our society.

To overcome this deficiency in their phony disease, the medical/drug establishment via the CDC (Centers for Disease Control headquartered in Atlanta), has added that universal scapegoat to the fabrication, the myth of malevolent viruses. The virus is credited with attacking the white blood cells and destroying them and of attacking the bone marrow and lymph glands which create them, thus causing a deficiency. There are other criteria that relate to the deteriorated and dying condition of T lymphocytes that can trigger a diagnosis of AIDS by current guidelines (which can be changed and modified at any time to suit the drug establishment); but these cells, as well as leukocytes, are subject to poisoning either from drugs, from poisonous by-products such as isothiocyanate from garlic, horseradish, etc.

The establishment has billions of dollars of clout, and no mere little voice like ours will make much difference—it takes millions of dollars just to spread a message minimally and even then, if it's contrary to the establishment brainwashing of the moment, will more than likely be rejected out of hand.

Now let's look at the word syndrome. It means a symptom complex. In this case it originally referred to a group of symptoms peculiar to terminal drug addicts or others poisoned as

noted above. Because one finds evidence of drug use among some homosexuals, it is only reasonable to expect to find the ravages of drugs among them.

There you have it—a disease made originally for political purposes against homosexuals and now being turned to commercial account against the general population.

Commentaries on the AIDS Farce

Of the 3,246 nonhomosexual cases diagnosed as AIDS, 2,082 were confirmed intravenous drug users; 75 were hemophiliacs; 184 had blood transfusions; 785 were diagnosed without etiology being determined; and 120 were said to have contact with others diagnosed as having AIDS.

Do not these statistics tell you something? AIDS, far from being a contagious disease (which is impossible anyway when you look at the problem), is a drug user's disease. The average American indulges about 40 poisoning (drugging) acts daily through soft drinks, alcoholic beverages, caffeine drinks, chocolates, cigarettes, cooked foods, condiments, protein putrefaction by-products, toxic foods (such as meat, wheat and eggs which also cause the most "allergic" or poison reactions) and use of illegal drugs such as heroin, cocaine, marijuana and hundreds of other drugs that are available over the counter or prescribed.

All poisons destroy our organs and tissues, our faculties and functions, slowly in some cases, speedily in others.

Our defensive faculties within the blood and lymph consist of lymphocytes and leukocytes. When these become deranged or have a count lower than 5,000 per cubic milliliter, this means a diagnosis of AIDS by today's guidelines. Lymph cells are created by the lymphatic glands such as the spleen, and leukocytes are created in the bone marrow. Drugs can destroy the powers of propagation of these cells as, indeed, any poison can. Destroying procreative faculties in the lymphatics and bone marrow is the equivalent of drug destruction of the Isles of Langerhans in the pancreas. In the one case white blood cell production is interfered with, whereas in the other, insulin production is decreased or ceases.

That AIDS is said to be a contagious disease is to promote drugs and vaccines that will curb it. And these drugs and vaccines will succeed too! After all, with an incidence rate of about one in 200,000 per annum, they can be declared a success, and the condition diagnosed as it was of old, either lymphocytopenia or leukocytopenia. But, in fact, those drugs and vaccines will contribute to the very problem they are said to cure.

Do Viruses Cause AIDS?

In 1984 the rap for what has been called AIDS was pinned on several viruses, so-called, by researchers in this country and France. Now the number of viruses said to be responsible has soared to 100 which is about the gamut of all the viruses ever identified. In fact, a cold is said to be virus-caused and about 120 so-called viruses have been blamed. So, the drug/medical complex must obviously be hanging the blame on the same "viruses" that are said to cause other diseases.

When you prove too much, you prove nothing! Most Americans are not sophisticated enough in their knowledge and thinking to know or even suspect the fraud involved. But, as far as so-called viruses are concerned, it is impossible that anything be caused by such cellular debris except that its presence as a body waste is pathogenic. However, the body is eliminating wastes 24 hours a day; and only when the body is being toxified faster than it can detoxify, does toxicosis in its myriad of acute and degenerative forms occur.

What was begun as a simple campaign to wipe out the gay rights movement has become a monster directed against the whole population by the medical/drug establishment. You'd think they would direct their energies to the eradication of cardiovascular problems and cancer, but they have all that business snugly in their pocket. These two diseases account for over 70% of all deaths, whereas, so far, what has been called AIDS has been blamed for 1/15th of 1% of the deaths since its debut. What the medical/drug establishment is really doing is staking out a new area for increased business.

Do "viruses" really cause the symptom complexes called AIDS? Let's examine this body waste material on which it is blamed.

Every virologist and microbiologist of note will tell you that what are called viruses are dead material, having no life at all. No metabolism. No means of movement. No life properties whatsoever. Just as lifeless as a stone, though, obviously, they are organic material. However, "viruses" are credited with such actions as "lurking," "injecting themselves," "incubating," "reactivating," "commanding," "laying in wait," "invading," "disguising" themselves, "infecting," having an "active stage," of being "devastating" and "deadly," of conducting "sieges" and having a lot of other life traits and villainous actions.

I think we can do nothing better than getting down to the nitty gritty of just what so-called viruses really are. We have created a 12-cassette master health series at Life Science Institute. On one of these cassettes the following exchange took place between me, a dietitian and a nurse.

DIETITIAN: Do you seriously believe that diseases are caused by food intake as you imply? I work in a hospital and most of our diseases are caused by bacteria, fungi and viruses.

MR. FRY: I feel you're not aware of the situation that is right before your eyes. Most of the diseases you're working with aren't even said to be caused by these agencies. How many diabetics, asthmatics, arthritics, cardiovascular patients, cancer patients, allergic individuals, persons with back problems, hemorrhoid patients, psoriatics and mental patients do you get over there? Will you tell me that?

DIETITIAN: Actually, these are almost all our cases. I had never looked at it this way before.

MR. FRY: So you see, the problems aren't viruses, germs and fungi very often, if at all. Most problems arise from dietary perversions which poison and clog up the system. We maintain that our sicknesses, diseases and degenerative problems arise from toxicosis or toxemia. Acute sicknesses are body-initiated and conducted crises of extraordinary detoxification. Degenerative diseases are the derangement of organs and tissues due to chronic poisoning. The average American indulges about 40 poison acts daily. Is it any wonder that most Americans suffer frequent

illnesses and degenerative problems such as those just cited? That bacteria, so-called viruses and fungi do not cause disease is evident. These so-called causes of diseases are with us all the time. Take streptococci for instance. They're normal residents of the throat and are blamed for sore throat when that occurs. Almost everyone has so-called strep throat at some time or another. But, you ask a physician, if streptococci cause sore throat and if they're normal to the throat, why we don't have sore throats all the time and he'll answer that most of the time you're "not susceptible" or have a "high resistance." That is a copout that tells the perceptive that germs don't cause sore throat, but that the criterion of what constitutes susceptibility is responsible. We Life Scientists know that mucus is the inner skin secretion that is produced as needed to transport poisons exuded through the mucous membranes out of the body. When the body selects the throat area for elimination, it becomes inflamed and painful. Bacteria proliferate after the fact, not before, because the exudations are the dead organic matter they feed on. They're there because their food is there. If you want to get rid of fruit flies in your kitchen, just remove all fruits. If you want to get rid of flies that feed on your garbage, just remove the garbage.

Does that explain our position to your satisfaction?

DIETITIAN: I can't say I'm thoroughly satisfied, but this sounds very logical. In fact, I've bumped up against similar observations before, but I have never given them serious consideration.

NURSE: If sicknesses are caused as you say, then what causes viral diseases like influenza, colds, measles, herpes and AIDS?

MR. FRY: Indeed, all these diseases and a lot more are blamed on viruses. Colds, influenzas, measles and certain forms of so-called herpes are said to be self-limited. Actually, they're limited to how long it takes for the body to rid itself of the toxic materials that it can't tolerate, and repair the damages done. The causes are not viruses, for that is like blaming evil spirits, as I shall demonstrate. These diseases, including all so-called herpes affections, are nothing more than detoxification and healing processes. They are initiated, directed and terminated by the body, not by some malevolent little beastly. The body is always master of its domain, and only death ends this coherent control. As you

know, simple logic tells you that if microbial organisms can get a healthy person down and proliferate by the billions, they become more numerous and stronger while sapping more and more of the vitality and resources of their victim. That this process can be reversed by a much-weakened organism, is absurd on the face of it. When minute organisms start decomposing a body, only complete exhaustion of all organic materials ends their course—only when the bones are picked clean, so to speak. I repeat, the whole concept of being laid low by microbes and then having the tables turned on them sounds very much like cops and robbers tales. In nature, once dominance is established, it's a downhill trip for the weakened organism. Zebras, once overwhelmed by carnivores, rarely if ever survive. Once bacteria start decomposing organic matter, they do so as long as there is organic matter.

Have you ever studied microbiology?

NURSE: Yes, it was part of my studies in college. And, of course, I've boned up on it as you asked me to.

MR. FRY: Are viruses living entities or dead genetic material?

NURSE: They are always dead. It's always been known they were dead bits of DNA in a protein/lipid coat.

MR. FRY: Then how can it be said to cause anything? How can they act in any way, if they're dead? How can dead stuff be referred to as "live" viruses and "killed" viruses? How can dead viruses *cause* disease or anything else?

NURSE: Well, the term "live" viruses means only those that are created from living tissue cultures. I know well enough that dead things don't act, and that which can't act can't cause anything. But we know that, when in vitro, trillions of them result from live tissue.

MR. FRY: You mean dying tissue, don't you?

NURSE: Yes, I suppose so, for even though some cultures are kept alive, there's lots of cell turnover and it's from these dying cells that viruses are obtained. But the viruses are always dead and inactive because they have no metabolism or life, except, of course, being DNA and protein.

MR. FRY: What do you suppose created these viruses, so-called?

NURSE: Well, it's obvious they're coming out of those dying cells which have been infected with it. The virus has injected itself into the cell and commanded it to reproduce itself. This occurs until the cell explodes from the burden.

MR. FRY: That's the rationale now, isn't it?

NURSE: Yes, that's the explanation that I was taught.

MR. FRY: Do you know cell structure?

NURSE: Yes, I've studied that too. I had a course in cytology.

MR. FRY: What are the greatest number of life forms within a cell?

NURSE: The mitochondria. They are the creators of our energy. I've seen cells called protozoa that have, I'm told, up to a half million of them. Humans have very few of them, from a few hundred in blood cells to 30,000 or more in our larger cells in the muscle tissue.

MR. FRY: Can you describe a mitochondrion for us?

NURSE: Well, it's about the size of a bacterium. It has its own metabolism and DNA. It metabolizes glucose and from that results ATP which is ready energy when called upon. Mitochondria are living organisms called organelles or little organs.

MR. FRY: How many of these organelles are in the human body?

NURSE: I really don't know. I've learned there are seventy-five trillion cells in the body, more or less, and if there are thousands of mitochondria in each cell, then there must be quadrillions or quintillions of them in the body.

MR. FRY: I think we're getting somewhere. Can you tell us what happens when a cell dies?

NURSE: Well, first, it's replaced by a daughter cell created by mitosis of another cell. But a cell, upon death, is disintegrated by a body enzyme called lysosome. It breaks up the cell into ultra-minute particles so that the body can deal with it, that is, excrete it as a waste.

MR. FRY: What happens to the mitochondria upon cellular death?

NURSE: They're broken down too.

MR. FRY: What happens to all those thousands of bits of DNA which the mitochondria protected with a double membrane?

NURSE: Well, I really did not learn the disposition made of the genetic material of mitochondria particularly, but I suppose that it's broken up too by the lysosomes.

MR. FRY: If lysosomes could break up the genetic material of mitochondria or organelles, could it not also break up the presumed genetic material the body supposedly created for the virus?

NURSE: Yes, now that you mention it, it certainly could. As a matter of fact, the photos made of viruses through electron microscopes show the membranes to be rough and jagged, sometimes only part of one layer, sometimes one layer and part of another. I would say that a lot of the virus just doesn't make it on account of the lysosomes.

MR. FRY: Doesn't the story of dead viruses injecting themselves into a cell and then taking over that cell and commanding it to reproduce it until the cell explodes sound like a far-fetched tale out of fairyland? Isn't it just possible that the lysosomes would spare the heavily-sheathed mitochondrial genetic material just as readily as it would the so-called reproduced viruses? Is not the genetic material of a mitochondrion identical with the genetic material of a so-called virus? Isn't it not only possible, but more than likely that what we're calling viruses is readily incompletely broken up genetic material from the cell's mitochondrial population? What are your thoughts on this?

NURSE: That sounds a lot more logical to me. When I learned that a virus is only about a billionth the size of a cell, I wondered how something that small could take control of a cell. That's like a flea injecting itself into an elephant and commanding the elephant to reproduce the flea until it explodes with fleas. Yes, I've thought about that, and I tell you what we've been taught here is very suspect. Just like a lot of other things physicians are imposing upon us nurses. We know better, but we have to follow their orders anyway.

That ends the excerpt. But isn't it very revealing?

What really causes AIDS, so-called, is outright poisons that destroy white blood cell generative faculties in both the bone marrow and the lymph glands. The body is capable of doubling, even tripling and quadrupling its white blood cell count in an hour or two normally if need be. When it can't even keep a normal

count or the cells are defective and unable to surround and carry out poisons from blood and lymph normally, then, indeed, degeneration of the bone marrow and lymph glands is death dealing.

But to lay the blame on body wastes which are with us 24 hours a day including so-called viruses, is wide of the mark, especially when almost every AIDS victim to date has been a heavy user of drugs, whether these be medicines, chemical food additives or recreational drugs. All this won't hold water under simple inquiry such as was conducted on our cassette. The AIDS scare is perpetrated by our ignorance, not by any such disease as we've been frightened into believing.

Ignore this business about AIDS, and live healthfully. Healthful living creates health and freedom from all sicknesses and diseases. Hygienists are living testimony to that.

5

Did You Hear of Acquired Syphilis?

If you look in *The Merck Manual*, the handbook heavily relied upon by physicians, you will find an entry under the heading "Acquired Syphilis."

The interesting thing is that, in the same manual is an entry called immunodeficiency disorders which uses the same word "acquired." But, in this case, the word acquired merely means developed after birth rather than being congenital. Acquired syphilis means you caught it by having sex with someone else who passed their *treponema pallida* bacteria on to you.

Also very interesting is the note about the epidemiology of syphilis: "Promiscuous homosexual men are at the greatest risk." This means that homosexuals had the highest rate of sufferers of any given group.

The first stage of syphilis is called early infectious syphilis, primary stage. In this stage there is chancre (canker sores, papillae or pustular vesicles with subsequent lesions, ulcers, etc.) and local lymphadenopathy. This is no longer called syphilis, but herpes genitalis.

The significance of this is to confirm that the Centers for Disease Control do, indeed, redesignate diseases to suit their purposes.

6

Contagion Is Difficult to Understand

I find it difficult to understand the incidence of "epidemics, contagion, outbreaks, etc.," of different diseases. If it is true that measles and all other such affections are body efforts to eliminate extraordinary morbid materials as you say, then why do they seem to strike all at once?

—Kay Levinson, Brooklyn, NY

RESPONSE: The medically perpetuated myth that diseases are contagious is about as hard to erase from our minds as to swallow something equally as ridiculous: Health is very contagious. Be careful about associating with healthy people lest you catch health.

If a hundred people are given an emetic and they all vomit, we might conclude that vomiting is very contagious. A thinking person would invoke this observation: Similar organisms are affected similarly by similar substances and influences.

Talking about striking all at once, consider this:

Over 90% of our people have plaque in their arteries! Yet this is not considered an epidemic. How does that compare with AIDS which is declared to be an epidemic when it affects only 1/10,000th the number of people?

Would you say that obesity is contagious? It affects one out of three. Would you characterize constipation as a real outbreak? It affects about 90% of our people. What about bad eyesight, which affects 2 out of 3? That really must be contagious, mustn't it? What about bad teeth, high blood pressure, arthritis, asthma, cancer, headaches, or lower back pains? Wouldn't you say these diseases are very contagious inasmuch as they are so widespread?

Does not this really confirm that: Similar organisms subjected to similar bad influences and substances and which indulge similar bad practices suffer similar bad results.

Do you see that acute diseases are body initiated and conducted processes of detoxification and healing? The body stops these processes when its objectives are achieved as in colds, flus, fevers,

measles and other acute illnesses. Were the medical rationale true, those germs and viruses which could waylay us would not stop until our bones were picked clean.

The only thing that is contagious is the practice of bad habits which cause the body to react in many similar ways so that many similar bad results are suffered.

Self-evidence that germs do not cause disease are Koch's postulates which say, that, if any agency causes a disease, it must be present during the disease and its presence must always occasion the disease. For instance, in so-called AIDS the HIV virus is present in less than 50% of the cases. On the other hand, 1,500,000 are said to have the virus and only about 27,000 have had AIDS in seven years. So you see the inconsistency.

Everyone has trillions upon trillions of fungi, bacteria and viruses in their bodies, even those proclaimed to be in perfect health who have no diseases at all, past, present or incipient. When confronted with facts like this, a physician will tell you that you do not come down with a disease from these agencies simply because you are not susceptible or your resistance is high.

This copout actually confirms that bacteria, viruses and fungi do not cause disease. And they are supposed to be the contagious agency.

You see, whatever causes susceptibility or low resistance is obviously the cause of diseases, not the agencies which are practically perpetually present—agencies that are said to cause disease.

It bears repeating that the body itself causes the detoxification/healing crisis called disease. The manner in which the body elects to extraordinarily eliminate its toxic and morbid accumulations determines the name of the disease. For instance, if it elects the respiratory tract, it may be called a cold, flu, sinusitis, bronchitis, etc. *Only* the body has the power, intelligence, resources and unity of purpose to accomplish this. It is absurd to say that bacteria can communicate, act in concert with or conduct the processes of disease. The same goes for fungi and so-called viruses. If they cannot do this, they cannot cause sicknesses and diseases.

In actuality, only a small fraction of our some 20,000 catalogued ailments are attributed to these agencies anyway. As healthful living always produces health and only unhealthful practices lead to

disease, it is sheer superstition to picture microbes and "viruses" as causative of disease.

If you cannot get over the belief in contagion, I know your difficulty. Almost everyone's mind has been infected similarly by exploitive industries that have a vested interest in disease and suffering. Only vested interests have a stake in perpetrating and perpetuating such absurd beliefs. Only these vampire industries have a vested interest in teaching that health can be recovered by the administration of poisonous drugs. These industries are drug, hospital and medical enterprises.

X The next time you have one of these irrational doubts that prompt you to believe in contagion, assure yourself that you can associate with people who have fever (or any other illness), and you won't catch the fever. You see, you have been manipulated all along by the medical propagandists to believe a lot of malarkey which drives you into the medical corral.

7

Pity the Poor Hospital Workers Who Must Tend AIDS Patients

We have read many tear-jerking accounts of the Damocles sword hanging over the heads of those who so courageously devote themselves to working with AIDS sufferers.

What is the reality of the situation?

As published in the May/June issue of *Hippocrates*, a survey was made of 351 physicians, nurses and technicians who had suffered accidental needle sticks or puncture wounds from needles that had first been injected into AIDS sufferers. That sounds deadly, doesn't it?

Of the 351 with documented needle sticks or puncture wounds that involved the blood of AIDS patients, only three—less than one percent—developed antibodies to the so-called AIDS virus.

How many of these in all these years developed AIDS?

Not one!

Sounds like AIDS is a raging epidemic, doesn't it?

AIDS is a deficiency disease caused by immunodestructive drugs. All poisons are immunodestructive inasmuch as white blood cells are killed by the very poisons they suicidally try to remove from body fluids. To cause what is called AIDS, the drugs must be taken frequently and in quantities that destroy the white blood cell complement faster than bone marrow and lymph organs can replace them. As almost all drugs, prescribed, nonprescribed and recreational are immunosuppressive, immunodeficiency is really quite widespread in this country.

AIDS is, therefore, positively not contagious. And nothing indicates this more than this survey.

SECTION V

About Vaccines, Antibodies and Immunity

I

Do Vaccines Solve Disease Problems?

Some tragic and startling revelations are surfacing about a new vaccine the drug establishment is pushing. The vaccine, called Hib for *Haemophilus influenzae* type b, is administered to "prevent meningitis."

Meningitis is an inflammation of the membranes that cover the spine and brain. Inflammation is occasioned by irritating toxic substances. In response the body creates a fever to intensify efforts to deal with these substances which are usually ingested and absorbed from the intestinal tract. When these permeate certain tissues, the body undertakes defensive reactions, such as fevers, to facilitate and accelerate their elimination.

Meningitis is said to kill about one thousand children annually in the U.S.A.

New Vaccine Worse Than No Vaccine At All!

A large controversy is brewing about the use of this newly introduced vaccine. In certain states, Minnesota being the main one, more children are developing meningitis and dying of the vaccine than were affected before its introduction!

Why the Polio Hoax Was Perpetrated

Let us review the hoaxes frequently foisted upon our people.

In the late 1940s a new disease was foisted upon the American people. It was called polio. Several then-current diseases were renamed as polio. Among them were infantile paralysis, viral meningitis and aseptic meningitis. A hysteria and panic was created amongst our populace as was done in the case of what is called AIDS, and also a lumping together of several diseases, some of which have been in medical literature for well over one hundred years.

After the panic was built into a furor and an orchestrated hysterical demand for a vaccine that would prevent the problem,

lo and behold, along comes Dr. Salk who developed a vaccine that would wipe out polio. And it did!

Simultaneous to the introduction of the vaccine the Centers for Disease Control introduced new diagnostic guidelines that relegated what would have been polio diagnoses back to the meningitis of pre-polio days. Only infantile paralysis remained as polio. However, Dr. Salk's vaccine was quickly dropped, for it caused up to ten times the "polio" in those receiving the shots than was occurring in the unvaccinated. While Dr. Salk remained a hero despite the disastrous failure, the Sabine oral vaccine was quietly substituted in its stead.

The object of this commercial game is to market drugs, vaccines or whatever by creating a panic or hysteria around a "new" disease and then getting the populace to buy this drug forever after lest they contract the disease. As you can see the restitution of the diseases' original names effectively "wipes them out."

It is doubtful if even one thousand children per year were dying of meningitis. They, like so-called AIDS victims, are drugged and dosed with highly toxic drugs when they become sick. That these drugs do kill is self-evident for, when physicians go on strike, death rates plummet by up to 60%!

Our message is: Do not get caught up in these commercial voodoo games. They are deadly as well as costly. Healthful living always produces health. Vaccines and drugs are always disease-producing and deadly. When your child becomes ill, a little fasting and a nontoxic diet of raw fruits, vegetables, nuts and seeds will restore high-level health. There is no need for your child to suffer or die at the hands of a voodoo commercial monster with a voracious appetite for your dollars.

2

Do Antibodies Make Us Immune or Susceptible to Disease?

Why are vaccines and immunization shots given?

According to medical thinking, vaccines are an attenuated version of the viruses or germs that cause a given disease. By their rationale, the body uses the vaccinia as a training ground to fortify itself for an assault by the real thing. Antibodies are formed, that is, configurations are formed which are said to be evidence of the body's preparedness for an assault by the disease germs or viruses.

Whether these configurations are evidence of the scars of battle or readily developed recognition devices is open to question. Rationally, we should wonder if a body that can defend against a vaccine that is a newcomer could not also defend against the real thing when it came for the first time. This question hinges, of course, on whether germs or so-called viruses are capable of causing disease in humans in the first place.

Vaccines are supposed to cause the body to form antibodies which protect it from the disease. This is the rationale for injecting attenuated germs or viruses into the body. We are thus led to believe that the antibodies are protection against the disease for which the vaccine that caused their formation was given.

The Medical Rationale for AIDS Antibodies is Opposite to That for Vaccinations!

We now have a major panic over a disease called AIDS. The medical establishment, contrary to their immunization rationale, has done an about face. In their AIDS promotion campaign, they proclaim what they call antibodies to a so-called human immunodeficiency virus as indicative of imminent infection with AIDS. Antibodies are said to protect us against disease in the case of vaccinations, and they are said to indicate the presence of AIDS!

Does that make sense?

If we have active antibody immunity to a disease because of vaccination, then why don't the antibodies said to be evidence of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) protect us from AIDS rather than dispose us to it?

It is twisted logic like this that makes us realize more than ever that the drug establishment is treating us like unthinking ignoramuses. In foisting upon us terror and hysteria about a disease called AIDS, they are really promoting another hoax which they have conjured up to promote the economic well-being of drug firms, hospitals, medical personnel, research groups and government agencies.

The antibody rationale used in AIDS contradicts the rationale used to promote vaccinations. This is self-evidence of the error of one of the rationales and leads us to suspect both rationales.

3

Is There Such a Thing As Immunity?

This is a word used by the medical system to denote that you are made exempt from a certain disease. The word means "exempt from the consequences of wrong acts." Synonyms for the word are "invulnerable," "privileged," "exempt," "unsusceptible," "protected," "safe" and others.

In nature there is no such thing as being immune. All acts have consequences. All causes have effects. Nothing that humans do will suspend the laws of our existence. The idea of immunity in the physiological world is pure myth.

America's drug makers and purveyors, a part of the cartel that effectively controls our country and its institutions, can force or buy anything they want, including intellectual prostitution. And the invention and "scientific" sanctification of outright hoaxes is part of the game they play to control, manipulate, rip off and, the way it works out, to despoil us!

The word immune was given medical currency as an adjunct to the vaccination game which has long been a big moneymaker for pharmaceutical interests. The fact that a body can be progressively devitalized and does not often repeat a disease as it sometimes does with the flu or colds does not mean there is immunity. Saying that an act or vaccination ritual confers immunity is on the same order as claiming that the sun rises faithfully because prayers are faithfully offered up.

Our populace is constantly urged to be immunized for flu, measles, whooping cough, tetanus, diphtheria, polio and other diseases. The FDA, CDC, NIH, NCI and other government agencies, as well as medical practitioners under their thumb, are used as "errand boys" to give credence and clout to the drug cartel.

Remember, in nature there is no such thing as immunity. Just as wrong acts of mechanics will cause an airplane to malfunction, even crash, so, too, your wrong acts will cause your body to malfunction and "crash" with some debilitating illness.

Throughout this book you will find evidence that discredits the drug cartel's rationale for contagion, immunity and related concepts upon which they have structured the drug industry.

The AIDS Vaccine is Now Being Tested

As reported in the *American Family Physician* in 1987, there are now 81 volunteers receiving shots of the first AIDS vaccine.

If these volunteers do not show extraordinarily adverse reactions, the tests will be broadened.

The objective of the test is to determine side effects and to see if the AIDS vaccine will prevent infection by the AIDS virus.

I venture that these tests will "prove" extremely satisfactory and will in the near future cause the vaccine to be pronounced safe and needed. And the vaccine will proceed to add billions of revenues into the drug/medical coffers.

It is said that the antibodies the human body has already produced relative to the AIDS virus will not prevent the disease of AIDS. But the antibody in this vaccine will, of course, destroy the AIDS virus. This sort of telegraphs to us that the tests are just routine as part of the game. It seems a foregone conclusion the vaccine will be available in the future.

So you see that whether the virus, so-called, is vanquished or not, it really does not matter. The drug industry builds a strawman and imbues him with fiendish destructive powers. Everybody believes you and begs to be saved from the strawman. No matter what you do, you win over the strawman. Humanity is saved. And the drug saviors are hailed as heroes!

What is called AIDS is the lumping together of a lot of old immunodeficiency diseases. When the vaccines are introduced, they will be hailed and celebrated. There will be new Salks to be idolized as saints. The new post-vaccinal diagnosis guidelines will call for classifying what is presently called AIDS as what they once were—lymphocytopenia, leukocytopenia, agammaglobulinemia, neutropenia and other appellations!

Do not lament. That is the way things are done. Remove yourself and help others remove themselves from this vicious drug/medical racket.

5 An Article on the AIDS Virus from *Spin Magazine*

In early 1988, Spin magazine undertook a series of articles on the supposed cause of AIDS, the HIV virus. We are happy to reproduce one in its entirety. Spin was gracious enough to grant permission for its use.

A.I.D.

by Celia Farber

The HIV virus is thought to be the cause of AIDS, but there's strong evidence that it isn't. The frightening truth is that no one knows for sure, and few scientists are admitting it. Are precious time, money and lives being lost while we fight a harmless virus?

HIV is called "the AIDS virus," and medical experts have made it the keystone of their battle against AIDS, building all their efforts to fight the disease around it. But what if they're wrong? According to Professor Peter Duesberg of UC-Berkeley, years of time and millions in research dollars have been wasted on the belief that the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) is the cause of AIDS. The real cause of AIDS, Duesberg says, is still unknown, and HIV is just a latent, and perfectly harmless, retrovirus that most but not all AIDS patients happen to carry.

"To say that HIV is the cause of AIDS is to cast aside everything we know about retroviruses," says Duesberg, a member of the National Academy of Sciences who has been studying retroviruses for twenty years. The HIV theory, he says, is inconsistent, paradoxical and absurd—little more than a by-product of a decade-old search for a retrovirus that could be called the cause of cancer.

HIV was hastily postulated as the cause of AIDS in 1984, when a National Institute of Health (NIH) scientist named Robert Gallo identified it as HTLV-III, the third strain in a family of viruses believed to have originated in Africa. Gallo, who was made director of the National Cancer Institute's search for the cause of AIDS in 1982, was propelled by several provocative links between HTLV-III and the disease. Most strikingly, something was

stripping AIDS patients of their protective white blood cells, known as T-cells, and HTLV-III was found to attack these cells.

Gallo was unable to isolate the virus, however, because once it destroys a T-cell it mysteriously disappears. So he measured its presence by testing for HTLV-III's antibodies. Antibodies were detected in 80 to 90 percent of the AIDS patients tested. With no other plausible cause in sight, Gallo's virus, later called HIV, became "the AIDS virus" and Gallo was soon entangled in a lawsuit filed by the Pasteur Institute in Paris who also claimed to be the first to isolate it. While scientists raced to develop a test that would detect HIV, and people all over the world worried about whether they had been infected, important scientific rules and standards were completely ignored.

One such standard measure, used to determine whether a particular micro-organism is the cause of a disease, is a set of three laws known as Koch's postulates.

The first law says that the suspected micro-organism has to be present in all cases of the disease. HIV is not. Between ten and twenty percent of all AIDS patients show no traces of HIV whatsoever, not even its antibodies. Another law says that the micro-organism must be able to be taken from a host, animal or human, and further spread in pure culture. This cultivation can only be done in 50 percent of all AIDS patients. The third law says that inoculations of pure cultures of the micro-organism into animals must produce in them the same disease. HIV has been injected into thousands of laboratory animals, and not one has developed AIDS.

Peter Duesberg is so convinced that HIV alone cannot cause AIDS, that he told his old friend Gallo that he wouldn't mind being injected with it. His argument is compelling, and he has been waiting for almost a year for anyone in the scientific community to come forward and refute it. No one has. Many won't even speak with him. *Spin* did.

SPIN: You have defied the entire medical establishment by claiming that HIV is not the cause of AIDS. What convinces you?

DUESBERG: Koch's postulates were postulated at a time when we couldn't do what we can do now. Now we can detect things at lower concentrations and activities, and we are falling into a

trap where we are saying that they are critically relevant. The incidence of the virus HIV is so low that Koch would never have seen it. This is what they [today's scientists] are overlooking. That the "AIDS virus" is at incredibly low concentrations and activity. That's why I am saying that HIV can't be the cause of a fatal disease, because it is so inactive. In fact, HIV is found in far more healthy humans than sick humans. This is very embarrassing to many people. They'd rather ignore it.

For a parasite or a virus to be pathogenic, it has to meet three criteria:

One. It must be biochemically active. In other words it must do something to get something done.

Two. It would have to affect or intoxicate more cells of a host, an animal or a human, than the host can spare or regenerate. Stated otherwise, you would only suffer from influenza virus if it kills or infects a significant portion of your lung cells, the polio virus if it gets into your nervous system, or if the hepatitis virus takes hold of a large part of your liver. You wouldn't notice an infection that involves 0.01 percent of your cells. That would be what you would call a latent infection. We all get them. Most of us have a latent tuberculosis infection, for example.

Three. The host must be genetically and immunologically permissive. It has to let it happen, so to speak. It has to accept the pathogen. It cannot be immune to it.

The HIV virus, the so-called AIDS virus, does not meet one of those criteria. For instance, the virus is never active—not only in those who have no symptoms, but also in those who develop full-blown AIDS and die from it. Even in people who are dying of AIDS, the virus is hardly detectable, measured only by locating its antibody. An antibody to a virus is like a vaccination; it has been traditionally, and still is, the ultimate weapon against a virus. It is an indication of a past disease, not of a future disease. If you have antibodies, you should be congratulated. You are safe. You don't have to worry about it anymore. But somehow, they have convinced the public to believe that the disease is yet to come, which really makes no sense; it's absurd. Once the antibody is made, the show for the virus is over. The time for the virus to strike and cause disease is before immunity, not after immunity.

That's why vaccination works. Now, that's what we find in the patients. If you look for direct evidence of the virus, there is very little. It is only possible to isolate the virus in 50 percent of the patients.

SPIN: What is the difference between isolating the virus and detecting it?

DUESBERG: Detecting the antibody to the virus is what you can do in 80 to 90 percent of all cases. So, 10 to 20 percent don't even have antibodies. With polio or hepatitis, you can isolate copious amounts of virus. Here, it is different. You have to use techniques which were developed to detect or activate so-called latent viruses, viruses that are not active. It involves taking millions of cells from a host, in the form of tissue culture, an expensive method, and then when they are removed from the immune system of the host, you add some uninfected cells. Then you wait a couple of weeks and hope that during that time, something kisses awake the sleeping beauty, the resting AIDS virus. If one of them in that time becomes active, the whole culture will become infected, because now there is no immune system. By then you have amplified or multiplied the tissue culture enough to detect it in a cell culture, and then you can say "Aha! I have isolated the virus." All you have really done is, out of billions of cells, you have activated at least one virus. But in 50 percent of all AIDS patients, not even that much can be done. Even in millions of cells, you cannot activate one virus. That's how low the viral content is.

For direct biochemical evidence of the virus, we look for the so-called pro-virus, a DNA copy. Biotechnology has developed a technique of detecting one gene in a billion cellular genes. By this method, you find HIV in no more than 15 percent of the AIDS patients. And whatever virus is there is mostly inactive.

So then, HIV is only found in one of hundreds of thousands of cells. And even if the virus does kill those cells, its impact is minimal. The virus takes one or two days to infect one T-cell out of tens of thousands. That's 0.1 to 0.01 of your T-cells. But you normally regenerate about five percent of your T-cells every two days. They die and you get new ones. So the effect of HIV killing the T-cells is like poking a needle in your finger and losing a minute amount of blood every day. It's just totally inconsistent with what

we know about that virus that it could possibly explain the depletion of T-helper cells.

There's one more inconsistency—retroviruses need a division in order to replicate. Unlike all other viruses which kill cells when they replicate, retroviruses need the mitosis—the living cell. That makes it very difficult to explain how this virus could be responsible for the loss of T-cells. It is impossible already, on the basis of its inactivity, and the low numbers of cells it infects. Retroviruses like HIV need living cells in order to replicate.

SPIN: How is it possible for the entire scientific community, in the face of a serious epidemic, to turn their backs on scientific dogma and accept, without scrutiny, that this is the AIDS virus?

DUESBERG: It gives a lot of comfort to say here's the virus and this is the cause. If you say who's done it you'll feel much better, even if it's a monster. If you really want to talk about it, there is more behind it. There is a lot of vested interest behind it. Retrovirologists have generated a whole reserve army of people, thanks to the so-called virus cancer program which was generated in the Nixon era. Like polio, we thought, now we'll get rid of cancer.

There is a reservoir of people who have been looking for cancer viruses for the last couple of years and haven't found them. But they really have made names and careers for themselves, and have developed tremendous skills—and I'm one of them. We are the veterans of the virus cancer program, and we are looking for a cause—but we haven't really found one. So you show us a new windmill and we are marching. And that's what's happening with the AIDS virus. I have worked with retroviruses for twenty years and I came more and more to the realization that they are not quite as important as the retrovirologists would have liked them to be.

SPIN: How has your theory been received—or I should say not received—by the scientific community?

DUESBERG: Those who are really direct targets of this—who are working closely with it and making these major claims that HIV is what causes AIDS—have not responded at all directly. And indirectly, well, I know them. Like Bob Gallo, for instance, we are old friends. I spoke to him two weeks ago, and he said "With friends like you, who needs enemies?" And he literally runs away

from me. Usually when you challenge a major hypothesis, you get a rebuttal, but here it's total avoidance. They don't want to talk, they don't want to be seen by me. A few examples: I was at the National Institute of Health (NIH) two months ago, in the same building where Gallo works. We went to the movies, and I said "Look Bob, I really don't believe these claims. I am really convinced now that it can't be so. You have to find another explanation." He's certainly not a shy person, but ever since, he just doesn't want to be seen arguing or talking about it with me, not even at a party. There was a party with mutual friends of ours who invited us because they wanted to see us debate it, and he refused to come. We were both invited to a memorial meeting for a colleague. Gallo said, "Is Peter Duesberg coming? Because if he comes, I don't want to come on the same day." It's very strange.

SPIN: If it is not the virus, do you have a theory about what causes AIDS?

DUESBERG: First of all, when we say, what could cause AIDS, we should say, what could cause the multiple symptoms that are now all called AIDS? I think we are doing a major disservice by using that catchy word AIDS. Such divergent symptoms are all disguised under this one term. A lot of things can go wrong when you lose your immune system. They say that the AIDS virus kills the immune system and then you get all these opportunistic diseases. But one of the symptoms of AIDS is dementia, one is Kaposi's sarcoma, another one is lymphoma, or leukemia, or *pneumocystis carinii* or diarrhea. Some of these are consistent with immune deficiencies. But dementia is not caused by an immune deficiency, and certainly not lymphoma or Kaposi's sarcoma. Tumors are not known to be consequences of an immune deficiency. So, the first thing I would say is that I don't think we are looking at a disease entity. We are not looking at polio, or pneumonia, or hepatitis, or surgical cancer—all defined diseases. We are looking at a bank of old symptoms. Not one of them is new. And I think it is highly unlikely that they are all caused by this virus, particularly in view of how inactive it is.

So, I think we have to go back from the bench to the patient and see what AIDS is in the first place. And once we have a

better picture of what AIDS is, we can go back and figure out whether it is caused by a virus or even a germ. I for one doubt that it is even a germ, that is, a contagious agent—something that can be transmitted. Casual contact is not enough to cause this disease. To get AIDS, you need intimate contact, that is, contact that involves the exchange of human cells. Once you have exchanged human cells, you have exchanged as much as you can possibly exchange. And also, it has to be done many, many times before the disease is transmitted. This exchange excludes almost nothing, and it certainly doesn't prove the germ theory. A virus, by definition, is a "cell-free infection," something that can be transmitted without transmitting cells—from sneezing or towels or whatever.

SPIN: Has there ever before been a virus that depended on the transfer of cells?

DUESBERG: No. All viruses can be transmitted cell-free. The virus can sit and wait somewhere, on a toilet seat or something, or whatever you touch. Some are more difficult than others to transmit, like herpes virus, which you can get by kissing, or Epstein-Barr virus. But that is still not enough for AIDS. Other viruses, like polio, measles, or flu, can be transmitted in a swimming pool—highly cell-free.

SPIN: Do you think AIDS could be caused by environmental factors?

DUESBERG: I think lifestyle has a lot to do with it. AIDS stands for acquired immune deficiency syndrome, and most of us, being not trained to think in biological terms, immediately jump to the conclusion that it must be a virus or a bacteria. But "acquired" doesn't mean it is biologically transmitted. You can acquire lung cancer from smoking cigarettes, and a number of diseases, as well as immune deficiencies, from shooting up heroin, and even from anal intercourse. It's not great for your health to do that every day.

SPIN: But the puzzling question is, why so suddenly? Why are so many people getting sick and dying now?

DUESBERG: There are a few things that can make it look like all of a sudden you have a disease, but it may not be as new as it sounds. One thing is that homosexuality has become acceptable

and highly visible. Certainly in places where they concentrate and gain a lot of confidence, like New York or LA, it becomes more obvious. Fifteen years ago, they could have died, and the cause of death would be pneumonia or Kaposi's sarcoma or whatever. Now it is called AIDS. Whatever they were doing, the frequency went up. The drugs had become chic and much more readily available, the bathhouses had become more accessible and the whole lifestyle more concentrated. Once you concentrate it, you see things that you wouldn't see had they been scattered around. The definition of AIDS keeps widening, and now they count almost all infections as AIDS. You see, there is no such thing as a germ that would prefer Rock Hudson over Cheryl Tiegs. I think for a virus, they certainly would look exactly the same. But there is an absolute preference for boys here. [Female] prostitutes have the same number of dates as promiscuous homosexuals, I assume, but they're not getting it [from sexual contact]. There must be something else, something related to that lifestyle.

SPIN: Are there other scientists who are working with theories similar to yours, or are you the first?

DUESBERG: Well, judging from the letters I received, which were mostly from other scientists, there are many who agree.

I did not get one letter that said, "You are full of it," or "You're crazy." And I am waiting for it. I would welcome it. I would like to debate somebody who would be willing to challenge me. The editor of *Bio-Technology* called me and said, "I have read your work and heard about you, and I am beginning to believe now that you might be right. Write me an editorial. I really want to bring this out, and see whether or not anybody has a rebuttal." He had spoken to a number of people and never gotten an answer to my question. It's a funny situation. There are a lot of vested interests here. It's very difficult to move once you have such a huge machine going.

SPIN: And imagine the consequences of admitting that it was the wrong virus, or the wrong cause, after all these years. Everything would go right back to zero.

DUESBERG: Not only back to zero, we would also be at a considerable deficit of time and money. That is a very real contributing factor—money.

Scientists researching AIDS are much less inclined to ask scrutinizing questions about the etiology [cause] of AIDS when they have invested huge sums of money in companies that make money on the hypothesis that HIV is the AIDS virus. William Huseltine and Max Essex, for example, who are two of the top five AIDS researchers in the country, have millions in stocks in a company they founded that has developed and will sell AIDS kits that test for HIV. How could they be objective? Gallo stands to make a lot of money from patent rights on the virus. His entire reputation depends on this virus. If HIV is not the cause of AIDS, there's nothing left for Gallo. If it's not a retrovirus, Gallo would become irrelevant.

The stakes are too high now. Ten years ago, when they were lower, theories could be exchanged and examined more rationally. This cannot be done now. Gallo's lab works so closely with the news media. Every progress report from their laboratories is discussed by Dan Rather and Barbara Walters, *Newsweek*, and *Time* magazine. Every little observation is in all newspapers. To say that now, maybe, the antibody wasn't worth committing suicide for or burning houses for, would be very embarrassing.

SPIN: Obviously, you must consider the mass testing for HIV antibodies to be an absolute farce.

DUESBERG: Oh yes, of course. The whole thing is a hoax. A group of reporters from England came here to do a documentary about dissenting AIDS theories, and they were told that Gallo would not discuss HIV.

SPIN: Did you really tell Gallo that you wouldn't mind being injected with HIV? Has anyone tried to take you up on that?

DUESBERG: Oh yes, we joke about it, and I say, "Give it to me. As long as it's not from Gallo's lab, I'll take it."

Despite *SPIN's* repeated attempts to reach Gallo, he was unavailable to respond to comments by Duesberg and others concerning his research and personal ambitions. A research associate in his office, who asked not to be named, said that Duesberg's

statements regarding Gallo's royalties are unwarranted, and that claims that Dr. Gallo was avoiding Duesberg are "ridiculous."

Researchers like William Haseltine and Max Essex are angered by Duesberg's insinuation that their objectivity might be swayed by their financial enterprise. "I deeply resent the implication that my business investments have affected my work," says Haseltine, although he confirms his and Essex's business arrangement with Cambridge Bio-Science, a company that sells HIV testing kits.

"Clearly HIV causes AIDS," Gallo has said. "Anyone who says it doesn't, doesn't know the facts."

The question is, whose facts? And why are certain facts integrated and others ignored?

A recent study published in the *Journal of the American Medical Association* showed that of all AIDS cases registered in New York and San Francisco after 1985, 93 percent were never confirmed to be HIV positive.

(The above article has been reprinted with permission from *SPIN* magazine, Volume 3, Number 8, published by Camouflage Publishing Inc., 6 W. 18th St., New York, NY 10011-4608; (212) 576-1010.)

SECTION VI

AIDS and Sex

1

An Update on the AIDS Scam

This is a second printing of the book, **THE GREAT AIDS HOAX**. A lot has transpired in the past year since we submitted the book for its first printing.

The article which comprised this chapter was rather impertinent to the subject, hence we have discontinued it and inserted here some articles that reveal dramatically just how the hoax continues to be perpetrated, and, equally, how fallacious is the "evidence" adduced.

Most of us realize that a widespread disease called syphilis (named after the poet who, in 1539, described the results of treatment of the first two stages of syphilis with mercury and blamuth). The latter two stages, tertiary syphilis and quaternary syphilis (neurosyphilis) are today called AIDS and AIDS dementia. Primary syphilis is today called herpes genitalis and the second stage AIDS-Related Complex or ARC. Of course syphilis is in a big grab bag of other affections that are included under the AIDS banner.

Perhaps, in trying to account for the so-called dormancy of a so-called Human Immunodeficiency Virus, the medical establishment has committed one of the biggest frauds of all. You decide for yourself.

2

Discovering Where The Aids Virus Hides

The above headline appeared in the July 21, 1989 issue of **USA TODAY**. This article is an inquiry into:

Does a Virus Hide and thus stay "Many Years in the Dormant Stage?"

This article is not printed because we're concerned with the

phony virus called HIV. This article is published to expose how monstrous are the lies printed in the name of science and how an unsophisticated reading public is sold anything "done in the name of science."

Significant quotations from the article are as follows:

"The hide-out used by the AIDS virus to lie dormant in the body for years before causing symptoms has been found by a team of scientists from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases and Georgetown University."

The study was published in the prestigious magazine, SCIENCE, for July 21, 1989.

Where does the perfidious AIDS virus (HIV) hide? According to the article in SCIENCE:

"The AIDS virus uses the immune system's chief infection fighting cells—T-4 lymphocytes—as its Trojan Horse or reservoir. Most AIDS researchers suspected that was true, but this study proves it."

This quotation from USA TODAY reveals a blatant stratagem to underpin some of the "loose ends" of really wild claims made in behalf of AIDS.

As lies go, this is perhaps one of the biggest and easily shown up lies of all! If you know a little physiology the fly in the ointment on this claim stands out like a sore thumb! As the average American does not know physiology, he or she is likely to accept this impossible statement as another great scientific finding.

Why is this "finding" impossible?

Because T-4 lymphocytes have an average life span of just a few weeks! Any physiology text treating the subject tells us so. White blood cells are perhaps the shortest living cells in the human body, some living only one to four hours!

So how can a virus or anything else lie dormant for years in an organism that has a life span of only a few days or weeks?

This is but a further fabrication in support of the monstrous fraud called AIDS that has been perpetrated upon us.

3 Scientist Maintains Virus Not the Cause of AIDS

Dr. Peter Duesberg, a molecular biologist from the University of California (UC), Berkeley, gave a talk to about one hundred medical educators at the UC Medical Center in San Diego on November 4, 1988.

In his talk he cited that one virus could not cause the 20-odd diseases now called AIDS. He also pointed out that the medical system had done a flip-flop on the issue of antibodies. The medical system claims that antibodies are a sign that one will die within two years of AIDS, but it's really a sign that the body has successfully dealt with the virus pronounced to be the cause.

Dr. Duesberg was taken to task and berated by an M.D. at the meeting. The M.D. angrily denounced Dr. Duesberg. He bade Dr. Duesberg to go home and stop giving these talks and inexcusable distortions.

Dr. Spector has a vested interest in AIDS. He is the director of the UCSD research program funded by the National Institute for Allergy and Infectious Diseases. [Nothing riles the system and its beneficiaries so much as simple truths which threaten their racket's destruction.]

4 Did Chimpanzees Prove Useless As Guinea Pigs in AIDS?

In the November 1988 issue of *Discover* magazine an article stated that chimps were useless as guinea pigs in AIDS research. The reason: No matter how much they were injected with the "virus" they never developed any symptoms.

As the chimps body chemistry is practically identical to ours, what does this prove?

Well, it proves for one thing that Dr. Peter Duesberg, the noted microbiologist at the University of California, Berkeley, was right. He stated that the so-called HIV or "AIDS virus" not only did not cause what is called AIDS but could not cause it. He offered to be injected with the virus.

The truth is that what is called a virus is dead organic debris from cellular death and subsequent break-up. This material is easily managed by the body and is mostly recycled. Some 300 billion cells die daily and perhaps ten quadrillion mitochondria, all having genomes (called viruses), are involved.

So why didn't the chimps develop AIDS? Very simply because "viruses" cause nothing. Being dead organic debris, these genomes called viruses are incapable of any action whatsoever, either hostile or friendly. The body providently recycles them for their valuable nutrients.

AIDS is caused by immunosuppressive drugs like antibiotics, chemotherapy, Prednisone and a raft of others. Even such substances as cocaine, poppers, marijuana, alcohol, nicotine and other poisons that get into the body fluids are "immunosuppressive" because, in apprehending them for expulsion, the white blood cells which comprise "the immune system" are themselves destroyed.

If these experiments prove anything, it does not prove that chimps are useless but, rather, that viruses do not cause diseases or anything else!

5 **Did Sex Avoidance Lower the Incidence of AIDS in Homosexuals?**

June E. Osborn, M.D., dean of the School of Public Health at the University of Michigan and a member of the Institute of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences, has served as a vaccine observer and advisor to the U.S. Public Health Service

for the past 15 years.

Recently Dr. Osborn cautioned that, should a vaccine for AIDS put in an appearance, it will not do much to stem the tide of the AIDS epidemic. But, in making that statement, she made an admission that shows the AIDS campaign is really coming unglued.

Dr. Osborn said, "The remarkable recent drop in infection rates among homosexual men in San Francisco and New York proves the power of behavioral avoidance."

This would lead us to believe that the homosexuals in these two cities, which have the largest homosexual populations in the U.S., have suddenly dropped off drastically in their sexual activities. Nothing could be further from the truth! Life Science researchers as well as other reports bespeak a different picture.

What Really Causes AIDS

The word has gotten around the gay community that the following practices placed them at high risk (anything said to be a risk is actually a cause) for developing immunodeficiency: Poppers which may be either amyl nitrite or isobutyl nitrite (nearly 100% of the gays with AIDS used them); intravenous drug injections primarily of heroin (even government reports say that 70% of AIDS sufferers have been intravenous drug users); cocaine, marijuana, antibiotics, barbiturates, LSD, amphetamines, Quaaludes and, of all things, cytotoxic drugs such as AZT prescribed by physicians.

Many Gays Now Leading a Healthier Lifestyle

What the gays are more and more avoiding are the drugs, not sex. And those who get off drugs, even those administered as medicine, are not dying of AIDS either! The word has gotten around to discontinue the drugs, all drugs, to improve the diet, to exercise and to lead a healthier lifestyle. Not even three tenths of 1% of the homosexuals in this country have been mortally affected. Does that sound like a raging epidemic to you?

The establishment is still trying to churn up hysteria about a campaign that is going awry. The gays are continuing their sexual practices—their sexual behavior remains substantially unchanged. The discontinuance of the deadly drug habits that actually

caused the problems broadly referred to as AIDS is responsible for the great decline in AIDS sufferers among homosexuals.

This also points up another significant fact: Diseases are not transmissible any more than health is transmissible. This is a slap in the face to those who would like to believe that disease, suffering and death are the badge of iniquity that must be borne for homosexual practices.

6 Is AIDS Sexually Transmissible?

Is AIDS contagious? Is it sexually transmissible?

Apparently not! The big hullabaloo about transmissibility is coming apart!

In the January 1, 1988 issue of the *Journal of the American Medical Association* there appears a report of a study which involved 80 known carriers of the AIDS virus who had spouses. After hundreds of sexual relationships between each couple, only 12 spouses were found to be "infected."

The whole concept of contagion is based upon transmissibility of causative agents in some manner. The so-called AIDS virus has already been declared nontransmissible by all the "established" standards of viral transmissibility as in colds, flu and other supposed viral diseases through aerial transmission, common use of articles, foods, etc.

Chances of Catching AIDS Almost Nonexistent

The above cited research does not refer to AIDS, actually, for not one case of the syndrome was involved. All that was involved was the positive viral criteria which were said to cause AIDS. As pointed out elsewhere, even as a positive virally infected subject, chances of developing AIDS is only one in 600!

Koch's postulates say that, if an agency is causative of a disease, it must always be present during the disease (the so-called AIDS virus is not present in over 50% of what are declared to be AIDS cases) and, likewise, if it is a causative agency, its presence must always cause the disease. As it is said to be in one and a half to

two million people, it is obvious that it does not cause the disease.

As you can see, some simple rules of science show the viral concept of AIDS causation to be nothing but a sham.

Herpes Genitalis Not Sexually Transmissible Either!

This reminds me of another "sexually transmissible" disease called herpes genitalis. I appeared before a group of 75 individuals who had "social diseases" in Houston, Texas. I asked how many had herpes genitalis. Every hand went up. I asked how many were married and again, virtually every hand went up, a shock for those who want to put the herpes genitalis badge of iniquity on swingers.

Then I asked how many had spouses with herpes genitalis. Two hands went up. When asked who gave it to who, it developed that the two were married to each other and had met in this very group.

The leader of the group then made a remark that went for all the herpes genitalis sufferers who were married: "I've had herpes genitalis for more than five years and I just can't give it to my wife."

Diseases Are Specific to an Organism

Diseases are specific to an organism and are a biological process of that organism. Diseases cannot be transmitted to another person any more than health can be transmitted to another person. What species of thinking says that only the bad is positive and that good is not?

If a hundred people were lined up, given an emetic, and 100 people vomited, we might say that vomiting is very contagious. But all we have demonstrated is that like organisms respond similarly to like causes.

In the case of AIDS, the causes are undeniably immunosuppressive drugs and/or poisons.

The idea of contagion originated in the days when, very frankly, people subscribed to what we now call voodooism. Can't we just leave it there?

7

Think About It, America!

A book has recently appeared on the scene entitled *Maximum Immunity* and has been authored by Michael A. Weiner, Ph.D.

While the material Dr. Weiner uses is quite standard, it does not become him as a critical and knowledgeable writer to include the establishment lines as if they were gospel!

For instance, he lists active homosexuals as being at high risk for AIDS. The high-risk first entry is said to be: "exposure and reexposure to many semens, which are known to suppress immunity."

When this disease was focused almost exclusively at homosexuals, I knew it was an establishment line. But doesn't anyone think?

The sigmoid colon is where this exposure takes place in per anum acts which are supposed to be predominant by many multiples over per os (by mouth) with homosexuals. It is my understanding that the "immune" system consists of white blood cells that circulate with the blood and fluids, not in the anus and mouth. How can there be immunosuppression there?

If there is not and, indeed, that is impossible, then how does semen get into the bloodstream and fluids?

Through the anus? Dr. Weiner has to be kidding. According to my physiology textbooks and common knowledge, that is the place things come out, not get absorbed, except: water, ions and extraordinary and highly abnormal poisons such as caffeine, cocaine, mustard oil, caustic substances, etc. While semen consists of sperm cells and their carrier fluid, sperms are colossi compared to bacteria which teem in the colon and are themselves barred from absorption!

Through the mouth? Again you must be kidding. Secretions into the mouth are usually spit out but, if swallowed, it gets acted upon by the digestive tract. Under digestive processes the whole character of these substances are changed to amino acids, mineral salts, vitamins and glucose. So pray, tell me how extraneous substances can destroy exclusively internal faculties?

8 Do Reused Needles Cause AIDS Transmission in IV Users?

When you sift through all the fallout from AIDS authorities, you begin to ascertain where the truth is.

Dr. Don C. Des Jarlais, the coordinator for AIDS Research at the New York State Division of Substance Abuse Service, has added his bit to the AIDS deception.

He notes that heterosexual IV drug users are now the second largest group of persons nationwide to have developed AIDS—this even in view that CDC's releases have consistently noted that 70% of all AIDS cases were IV drug users! As AIDS sufferers are nearly 100% drug users, IV and otherwise, that is obviously the largest group. Dr. Jarlais' jaundiced eye, of course, breaks down the figures according to sexual practices, that is, homosexual and heterosexual, as if that were the criteria for determining the cause of AIDS.

Dr. Jarlais says that homosexuals have reacted responsibly to the AIDS crisis by changing their sexual habits. That is not true! Homosexual males still perform their acts with men although not as promiscuously as heretofore, as if that had anything to do with AIDS. What gays have really done is to get off drugs more and more, especially poppers and intravenous heroin. And they have begun to avoid physicians and hospitals like a plague! The gays are telling each other the truth as they know it, for they have each other's interest at heart rather than the bottom line of pharmaceutical companies, hospitals, researchers, bureaucrats and medical practitioners. That the gays have found the answers is evidenced by a drastic reduction amongst them of AIDS cases and deaths in 1987 as compared with 1986.

Actually the concocted birth of AIDS as a homosexual's disease has a heavy influence on his thinking: AIDS was contrived with a view to destroying the gay rights movement. It was introduced as a highly contagious sexually transmitted disease for the purpose of alienating homosexuals from the mainstream.

Barking Up the Wrong Tree

Now comes Dr. Jarlais and tells us how to save heterosexuals from the dangers of sharing needles in IV drug use with the obvious implication that the AIDS virus is thus transmitted. He tells us clean needles are the answer. His and other outreach services of the states of New York and New Jersey as well as of the city of San Francisco are teaching IV drug users how to sterilize their needles with bleach.

Why Drugs Are Carefully Omitted As a Cause of AIDS

The one thing that is noticeably omitted in all the releases and palaver about IV use are the effects of the drugs injected, as if that were of no consequence or irrelevant when, in fact, that is the crux of the matter! A little organic matter incidentally transferred from person to person by needle has little effect upon an organism. Alien protein effects are the only significant ones. An organism handles alien tissue with dispatch by dissolving and ejecting it.

The "experts" are not telling us that it is the drugs that damage the body's defensive faculties, the white blood cell components (miscalled the immune system). Like computers, they only spew the rote learning with which they have been programmed.

The drug-pushing establishment does not want any unfavorable reflection upon their commodities. The odium for "bad" or "illegal" drugs could carry over to "legal" drugs.

The ravages that drugs wreak upon the body's white blood cells are presented elsewhere in this volume.

SECTION VII

Promoting a Successful Panic

I

Let's Prevent a Major AIDS Plague! Let's Err on the Side of Caution

There have been millions of people persuaded that AIDS is for real and that a deadly plague is in the offing that will doom most of us.

The plea is to throttle the gays and drug addicts who are spreading this horrible disease. And, of course, to force anybody who tests positive for the AIDS viruses or antibodies to undergo treatment which, today, means deadly cytotoxic drugs that can cause AIDS all by themselves!

Our constitutional rights that proclaim and guarantee everyone to be secure and inviolate in their person would be trampled under the iron boot of mandatory testing and treatments. While this is admitted to be legally wrong, it is argued that it is better to err on the side of caution than risk a major plague.

Because what is called AIDS is really a creation of the Centers for Disease Control and cohorts to cover at least a dozen old diseases for, first, the destruction of the gay rights movement and, later, the promotion of drug, research and medical interests, the spreading of scare propaganda is part of the scheme. And those who have swallowed the hoax whole are plumping for repressive measures despite the fact that the "epidemic" is a dud. In 1987 fewer died of this collection of maladies than in 1986.

What those who advocate dictatorial methods seem to forget is that they usually fall victims to their own devices!

2 Are You Safe From AIDS?

I ran across an ad in a tabloid newspaper stating: "AIDS is a death sentence with no appeals. The press has not told us the truth about AIDS. Deliberate lies have been told the American people about this deadly killer." A book, *AIDS—You Just Think You're Safe*, is being marketed with this scare statement.

"This book may well be your means of survival," says the ad. It says the press has deliberately told us lies to cover up the all-encompassing threat of this deadly killer.

How is that for putting someone into a panic even greater than the nation's media has succeeded in doing? The only lies the press has been guilty of all involve deliberately promoting a ragtag collection of old diseases under the banner of AIDS. The press is guilty of carrying off the AIDS hoax for powers with vested interests in drugs and research which really thrive upon the invention and promotion of new diseases. The media is doing its duty by its cartel mates in the drug and medical industries when they ballyhoo their scams.

This advertising is as big a lie as it deems our press to be. Just how deadly is a disease that has, in seven years, affected only three tenths of 1% of the gays, 100% of whom are admitted heavy drug users, and only one in 300,000 of the heterosexual population, again most of whom were confirmed heavy drug users?

Obviously the pusher of these books has a vested interest in selling the books quite aside from personal persuasion. This has led to the gross misrepresentation and implication that there is an imminent AIDS plague and that it may affect you if you do not buy the book and take the survival steps which it enunciates.

Neither you nor anyone else will suffer what is called AIDS, or any of the many diseases lumped under this acronym, unless you cause it! Causes are so poisoning yourself with drugs that the white blood cells which constitute your "immune" system are substantially destroyed. Of course there are other causes, but they all involve poisoning.

Panic not. Live healthfully and worry not. Understand what vested interests are up to. Do your part to enlighten your fellow beings in their marketing tactics.

3

Soon Everybody Will Have AIDS!

The AIDS campaign has at times shown signs of coming to an end. When the big hoax needs bolstering, the Centers for Disease Control, among others, have propped up their promotion by new hoopla, especially by ominous implications that anyone can suffer this disease. One of their gambits is to widen the guidelines by which more victims can be ensnared with a diagnosis of AIDS.

Now you need no longer test positive for the presumed AIDS virus or antibodies. You will be diagnosed under new guidelines as having AIDS if you exhibit symptoms that accompany AIDS. That constitutes quite a long list which, of course, includes pneumonia, certain types of fevers, diarrheas, lethargy, weight loss, delirium, dementia and a lot of conditions not spelled out in the publicity release.

CDC is edging in on making AIDS a rampant disease. All this is done, of course, for the greater glory of the bottom line of the pharmaceutical cartel, research organizations, involved government agencies and the rest of the medical establishment.

CDC has redefined the guidelines for AIDS statistics so that any physician's diagnosis is given credence. Further, the emaciation typical of tuberculosis or dementia may now be included as an AIDS statistic.

By these methods the CDC can issue AIDS statistics considerably above what they presently are. They can self-fulfill their predictions of a steadily escalating disease. They will have no more 1987s where AIDS deaths were actually less than the previous year!

Why should CDC do this in behalf of the drug cartel?

Of course the Centers for Disease Control have always taken up the cudgels for the pharmaceutical industry in promoting diseases and, thereby, the drugs that the industry produces. When one finds practically all the top officials of government agencies such as CDC, FDA, NIH, NCI, etc., staffed by personnel from the drug industries and the medical establishment, one must be suspicious as to whose interests these agencies serve. Obviously their bread is buttered by the industries that sponsor them. And

It becomes very plain that these agencies are really government-supported auxiliaries of the medical/pharmaceutical establishment.

Now comes the CDC with this ploy to enlarge the base for a disease which they introduced by fraudulent means in the first place.

The gays upon which the CDC heavily relied for AIDS statistics are declining in numbers of AIDS cases! The gays are cleaning up their acts. They are eating more wholesome foods. More of them are discontinuing their drug habits, especially amyl nitrite, isobutyl nitrite (poppers), marijuana, heroin, etc. Poppers, which the gays used for their sex-crazed highs, has declined in usage, especially since amyl nitrite has become a controlled substance.

(Drugs, not some dead organic matter called a virus, causes what is called AIDS. The proof of this comes, on the one hand, from the decreasing number of AIDS cases among gays when the prognosticators would have us believe the number of AIDS cases are multiplying geometrically, and, on the other from researches that show HIV, said to be the cause, does not cause anything and cannot cause anything.

We have been sold a bill of goods about the some ten million gay males in the U.S.A. AIDS was introduced as a terrible new disease that was an onerous badge of iniquity for unnatural sexual behavior. The suffering visited upon sexual deviants was righteous wrath for their transgressions.

Now that the prognostications are not materializing, CDC is desperately beefing up their baby. During the past seven years not even 30,000 have died of the AIDS label. That is about the number who die in two years from sleeping pills.

When you realize there are ten million gays in the U.S.A. and, in seven years, only a small fraction of 1% have been affected, there is really not much concern for homosexuals and far less for heterosexuals—235 million nondrug-using people stand a chance of about one in 300,000 of suffering from the disease in the next seven years!

Be wise to the stratagems to get you roped into the AIDS arena. Be aware that they are merely commercially inspired moves to increase business for the medical establishment, especially the drug industry which is really behind the horrendous AIDS fraud.

4 Making AIDS a Bigger and Bigger Epidemic

Ever since introducing AIDS, the Centers for Disease Control have been issuing ever broader guidelines for the diagnosis of AIDS.

Just about any lymph system affection is now diagnosed under the AIDS umbrella. Almost any lung problem may now be diagnosed as AIDS. Almost any tuberculous condition is now listed as an AIDS statistic.

Can you imagine an old-time disease called scrofula (now called tuberculous lymphadenitis) being included as an AIDS statistic?

X Today cases that formerly would be called Hodgkin's disease are more likely to receive the AIDS label.

Why should continually broadening guidelines ensnaring ever greater numbers of AIDS victims be introduced?

Elementary, my dear Watson! The name of the game is money. It is impossible to fill the maw of an ever-more rapacious appetite for money.

When you have scrofula, you do not worry very much. It is an old disease of many centuries in the medical literature. But if you are told you have AIDS, with the fear and dread built up about it, you go in for heroic treatments. You submit to chemotherapy, x-rays and a whole host of costly therapies that will, most likely, make you a death statistic. Certainly, you will be a cripple for life.

Would you be a victim of AIDS or a victim of a calloused and highly exploitative system that has an infinitely greater regard for its bottom line than for human well-being?

When treated for scrofula, you might spend hundreds or a few thousand dollars. But, if you are diagnosed as having AIDS, you might be stampeded into modalities that might run into one hundred thousand dollars!

Hygienic institutions in America are serving cases of "AIDS" who refused to go the medical route. They would have formerly

been diagnosed as scrofulous, tuberculous or Hodgkin's disease sufferers. It was routine to put these sufferers on a fast and have them make a "remarkable" recovery.

Today Hygienic institutions are still getting these cases well despite the medical change to a most terrifying diagnosis.

Why not start living healthfully? You can live so well that you will never suffer a problem, not even so much as a cold, much less a lymph, lung or white blood cell deficiency problem.

Why go to physicians who will, in the confidence game in which they have been trained, stampede you into some costly medical corral? Why be congratulated upon the good sense in coming as if, had you waited a day more, you would be on your death bed? Why become frightened into an expensive round of tests and treatments that will exhaust your pocketbook and bankrupt you of your health? Remember, physicians are not at all timid about laying heavy trips on you under grave implications of imminent death or a disabling condition. Take heed!

5

Everyone Should Be Tested for AIDS!

With the announcement by the *Wall Street Journal* that a new and more reliable test for the AIDS virus (or antibodies to it) has been developed, we are urged to get tests for AIDS lest the virus quietly multiplies and ravages our bodies—the virus can damage our bodies for up to three years before it becomes detectable by current methods of testing.

This gives you a three-year headstart, for it is said that it takes the virus three to ten years to develop AIDS and kill you.

AZT is suggested as a way of defending ourselves against this killer disease. And we have got to do this, for AIDS is no longer just a disease of homosexuals!

Do You Fall for This Kind of Hype?

This scare propaganda is designed to stampede Americans into the medical/drug corral. For instance, if you are found positive, you will be urged to get into treatments, most likely, of course, with AZT. AZT is a cytotoxic drug which, according to the physician's bible, *The Merck Manual*, will cause, among other things, immunodeficiency disease which is now called AIDS!

Further, if you undergo the medical round, it will be a big nightmare in your life! Leave the drug/medical/hospital/research/bureaucratic interests to their devices. You do not have to fall prey to their warnings and blandishments.

6

Nursing the AIDS Baby Along

As I write this there is being conducted in Stockholm, Sweden, the Fourth International Conference on AIDS. As might be expected, there are a lot of fireworks in the air to keep the AIDS baby healthy and thriving.

Since 1985, AIDS sufferers have more than tripled. But that is largely due to the enlargement of the diagnostic guidelines. Anyone having AIDS Related Complex, roughly the equivalent of stage two of syphilis, is classified as an AIDS statistic. Further, certain types of tuberculosis have been added to the AIDS umbrella.

For instance, the ominous threat hung on the American people at the Stockholm conference goes like this: Dr. James Curran says that the number of Americans originally estimated to have the AIDS virus was probably exaggerated. But about that number now have the AIDS virus and the virus is spreading more rapidly than ever. Dr. James Curran was one of the original conspirators and orchestrators of the AIDS panic.

Now comes his sidekick and fellow conspirator at the Centers for Disease Control, Dr. Harold Jaffe with his report to the conference. He said that of the one to one and a half million Americans infected with the virus almost all will get the disease even if they presently appear healthy. There is a time bomb ticking in them and it is going to go off!

Then Dr. Jaffe purports to show, per the researches of Dr. George Rutherford of the San Francisco Department of Public Health, that 48% of the people infected in 1978 to 1980 have suffered AIDS. And a colleague of Dr. Rutherford, Dr. George Lemp, said that people carry the AIDS virus for an average of 11 years before getting sick.

Another report presented at the Stockholm conference from Dr. Robert Redfield of the Walter Reed Institute of Research in Washington who conducted AIDS research shows that more than 75% of those who had the virus developed AIDS.

But, for U.S. home consumption, the Centers for Disease Control gave a different version. As reported in the *Daily News* of New York City on Friday, June 3, 1988, the AIDS virus was 99% fatal within an average time of 7.8 years after infection.

That's pretty strong stuff when we recall that the so-called AIDS virus was purportedly discovered only in 1984. AIDS as such was not even around in 1978-80. Of course they have the original blood samples to work from. But if that means anything, then the blood frozen back in the early 40s which has been thawed and tested positive for AIDS means something too!

Of course Dr. Curran and Dr. Jaffe are bolstering their baby. All the syphiletics of the second, third and fourth stages are now classified as AIDS victims. They and their cohorts are taking this concocted disease as far down the financial road as they can—the country has already spent untold billions and it is estimated the new AIDS industry will gross about \$15 billion in 1988. That is quite a hefty take.

7

The Big AIDS Boom

The AIDS "epidemic" is spawning a boom! Not only has it unleashed a boom in drug, medical and hospital businesses, but it has infected so-called health food businesses as well. xx

Perhaps the most spectacular harbinger of what the AIDS panic is expected to accomplish for drug manufacturers was the recent increase in the market value of the stock of Bristol-Meyers by over a billion dollars in less than a week after it became known they were entering the AIDS vaccine sweepstakes.

Medical researchers and laboratories are now realizing over two billion dollars of additional business per year as fallout from the AIDS hysteria. The demand for monkeys and other animals upon which to conduct experiments is also enjoying a boom. Budgets of government agencies, if they are involved in AIDS research, monitoring and promotion, have increased dramatically, especially that of the Centers for Disease Control. This has brought added prestige, income and power to bureaucrats in these agencies.

State and city governments have added personnel to help cope with the "problems" created by the AIDS scare manufactured by the press, TV, radio and other media. As I write this, the state of Illinois has just passed some stringent legislation that not only takes on gestapo-like traits, but is bound to make Illinois state and city payrolls grow rapidly.

Certain rubber goods manufacturers, those producing condoms, have seen their business skyrocket out of sight! +

AIDS Decreasing Among Gays!

As the gays learn more and more that drugs which they had used so much (like butyl nitrite, amyl nitrite, uppers, downers, marijuana, Quaaludes, other recreational drugs, antibiotics and other immunosuppressive prescription drugs) are the real causes of their AIDS problems, they are cleaning up their acts!

As a result there are less cases of AIDS among gays. The increases today are among heavy drug users, regardless of their sexual preferences.

AIDS Causes Boom in "Health Food" Industry

Perhaps the unlikeliest beneficiaries of the drug establishment's promotion of the AIDS scare are imaginative entrepreneurs in the "health" business. A recent issue of *Let's Live* magazine carried such articles as "Immunity" by Dr. Arnold Fox; "Your Immune System and Addictions—Any Link" by Dr. John Yacenda; "AIDS: Egg Lecithin Offers New Hope" by Beverly DesChaux and "Feeding Your Immune System" by Dr. Arnold Pike.

Following this trend are ever new products to protect and enhance your "immune" system. Advertised in this same issue were products called "Advanced Immune Defense System" featuring a conglomeration of herbs and minerals which, if anything, strain the body's defensive faculties in expelling its normal wastes as well as the toxic components absorbed from the herbs and inorganic minerals.

Then there is another line of vitamins advertised as "Immunlife." It is supposed to "aid your immune system." Another product is called "Immun-N-Sure." It is a catchall concoction of vitamins, herbs, condiments and such unlikely things as wheatgrass, propolis, spirulina, myrrh, licorice and osha. How this mishmash combines to help the body become "immune" is not explained.

But the ads that take the cake are those of products advertised on the same pages as articles that promote their use. For instance, "Egg Lecithin Offers New Hope" is accompanied by an ad for EGGSACT. The article on "Feeding Your Immune System" is adjacent to an ad for "Maximum Immune Support" which contain Japanese "super nutrients."

The fallout from the AIDS scam is evident.

Now clearly an AIDS-fearing population that believes in immunizers will give more favorable consideration to products that enhance "immunity."

Of course the "health food" crowd's incidental good fortune is chicken feed compared to the billions that promoters of AIDS expect to reap.

Dr. Jonas Salk Gets Into the Act Too!

As a last note it is fitting that Dr. Jonas Salk has entered the AIDS vaccine sweepstakes too! After all, he was a winner in the polio vaccine game, a hoax that our populace bought with the same credulity as they are giving to the AIDS scam. Never mind that the vaccine he developed was quickly withdrawn because it was, in its day, more disastrous than the later scam called the "swine flu farce." The drug cartel does not unmake their heroes when the public does not ask serious questions.

And that is just the point. Learn to think for yourself. Ask critical questions. Do not take the establishment's word for anything! If you let others do your thinking for you, remember that it is invariably in their favor!

8

Mothers with AIDS Antibodies to Be Treated During Pregnancy

"Scientists" plan to test the drug, AZT (azidothymidine) on pregnant women who have the so-called AIDS antibodies in the hope of preventing infection of their unborn babies.

According to the Washington Post Service of October 4, 1988, in an article by Susan Okie, women who test positive for the antibodies to what has been called the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) will be treated with the deadly drug, AZT.

Ms. Okie says: "Administering such a powerful drug during pregnancy, risking possible side effects in mother and fetus, is an unprecedented break with the medical tradition that holds drugs are to be avoided in pregnant women."

When you have a drug system with a ghoulish rapacity that cares not about human well-being but puts its bottom line above everything, this is what you will get.

Babies born of mothers treated with AZT are bound to have serious defects. Twenty percent of babies born now have serious defects, very little of which is directly attributable to drugs medically administered—evidently the profession learned its lessons with thalidomide and accutane. But they have not yet reckoned with the overzealous quest for profits of an inhuman drug cartel member. Human health takes a back seat.

The "possible side effects" should have read "absolutely certain poison effects." All poisons are always 100% poisonous and always occasion poison effects. And among the foremost effects in pregnant women, whether the poisons be tobacco, alcohol, thalidomide, accutane or AZT, are fetal developments that are impaired in one or several ways.

Those "scientists" should more properly be called calloused salesmen working in behalf of the drug cartel that are pushing their drugs regardless of the lives they destroy. Their bread and butter come from the largesse of drug companies. Doing their master's

blidding is far more important in their scale of values than the welfare of babies and mothers.

With the pretext that they are out to keep "viruses" from spreading, they are actually drug peddling. For AZT itself is an immunosuppressive drug! It causes the very problem it is supposed to protect against.

So-called viruses of any description do not cause disease and, concerning AIDS, Dr. Peter Duesberg, a prestigious microbiologist and researcher at the University of California, Berkeley has said: "HIV viruses do not cause AIDS and cannot cause AIDS."

The predatory drug monster is seeking pregnant women who test positive for the antibodies to the so-called AIDS virus. Never mind that their previous rationale about antibodies is that they make the body immune. So pregnant women will be persuaded to undergo a round of AZT treatments to prevent the mother's "viruses" from reaching the baby. As if they could prevent it or even if "preventing" it is important. The only sure thing in the equation is the damage the highly toxic AZT will cause for the mothers and the babies.

9

Is Pushing Drugs for AIDS Scientific?

Where is the science when a science magazine is involved in pushing drugs? Is it scientific to hold that people can be poisoned into health with drugs?

In *Science News* of August 8, 1987, there is a headline as follows: "AIDS Vaccine: Research on Target." Obviously the article praises "the remarkable progress" researchers are making to come up with a vaccine which will protect us from "the AIDS virus."

The vaccine, of course, according to the script, is made for the purpose of exorcising the so-called AIDS virus. Not that the virus causes what is called AIDS in the first place nor, in any event, that the vaccine will destroy a genome (called virus) that, by medical evidence, never was alive in the first place!

The whole ballyhoo in articles of this nature is that the development of a vaccine will overcome AIDS. Since the panic and the hysteria are communications media products, that will be an easy thing to do. God giveth and God taketh away.

If a similar fuss was raised about sleeping pills which claims more than twice as many lives, the sleeping pill business would have long since been dead. But the idea is to build a new market in the drug business, not destroy an existing one.

If the polio scenario is any guide, coincident with the introduction of the vaccine, there will be new diagnostic guidelines from the Centers for Disease Control in Atlanta. What is now called AIDS and AIDS Related Complex will again be called by their old designations, like lymphocytopenia, SCID, agammaglobulinemia, leukocytopenia, and a whole list of other penias, lymph adenopathy, syphilis, toxic dementia and others that have been invoked under the AIDS label.

Of course the AIDS vaccine, like the polio vaccine, will effectively "control AIDS." But, in accord with the scheme, it must be administered periodically from now to kingdom come. For, after all, the name of the game—the panic and all—is to market

drugs. Megabucks is what disease panics, hysterias and drugs are all about.

The value of the stock of the company that comes to market with an "AIDS vaccine" will skyrocket. We know that because, merely upon the announcement that it was in the AIDS vaccine sweepstakes, Bristol-Myers' stock went up over one billion dollars within a week.

So instead of being scientific in its publishing, *Science News* is but a sucker or cartel mate in the diabolical AIDS scam.

SECTION VIII

AIDS Lies and Dirty Tricks

1

Why Do You Call AIDS a Hoax?

“You’re calling our doctors, government and newsmen liars and schemers!”

The word hoax means deception, fraud, sham, swindle, rip-off, flimflam and yet other appellations. Long before you finish this book, you will see ample incontrovertible evidence that AIDS is all these descriptions combined! There was and is a diabolical conspiracy to put this one over on Americans as well as the people of other countries for the greater glory of the bottom line of drug companies, research interests and self-aggrandizing bureaucrats who orchestrated the plot to begin with. Physicians, per se, are dupes and tools in the swindle. They are manipulated and controlled like a herd of sheep, though, to be sure, there are some M.D.s in on the conspiracy—the ones in control positions in government and pharmaceutical concerns.

The originating agency of record in the matter of AIDS is the Centers for Disease Control.

The first major hoax I am personally familiar with is the polio hoax. This “disease” was introduced in the late forties with the combining into a single package diseases that were before known as infantile paralysis, aseptic meningitis, viral encephalitis and other meningeal affections. The disease was “terminated” as polio, for practical purposes on May 12, 1955, with the introduction of the Salk vaccine when the CDC introduced new guidelines for the diagnosis of polio, the same ones as hitherto existed for infantile paralysis only. The other diseases were again diagnosed as before their inclusion under the catchall scam palmed off as polio. Polio was thus “wiped out.”

This swindle worked just as it was intended! Vaccinal interests sold billions of dollars of polio vaccine afterwards and continue to do so though the vaccine they placed their bets on, the Salk vaccine, was quickly withdrawn for it was more disastrous than the subsequent swine flu fiasco.

When an agency of government or a group of conspirators "create" a new disease and they prevail upon cartel mates (the press and communications media) to present their scheme in the infamous tradition of the Hitlerian big-lie technique, it is, indeed, a hoax—a most horrible one!

X The Centers for Disease Control have promoted several hoaxes. Among them were polio (a big success for the promoters), swine flu (which did not fly because it was exposed by the nonestablishment press as a scam), Legionnaire's disease (which was good for research interests, but the public never bought it) and herpes genitalis (which bowed out to a greater sham, AIDS).

And the system is not above exploiting very real diseases too! In the late sixties and early seventies they had us all thinking we were going to die of cancer (and your chances here are several times greater than getting involved in AIDS) merely for the privilege of getting an indefinite annual billion dollars appropriated for cancer research to declare a massive war on cancer and come up with a cure. Now, seventeen years later and about 150,000 more cancer deaths per year, there is no real pretense of coming up with a "cure" anymore, but the billion per annum continues to be shelled out by the taxpayers.

The biggest part of the lie is in pretending that AIDS is a new disease. It is neither new nor unique! First described in the medical literature in 1539, the end pathology called AIDS was parallel to what was then and has been since called tertiary and quaternary syphilis. The first stages of syphilis are the same as herpes genitalis and the secondary stages roughly tantamount to ARC or AIDS-related complex. Incidentally, whatever happened to syphilis? It used to be one of our most awesome and feared diseases!

There are many other diseases lumped under the label of AIDS! And new ones are being added including certain types of tuberculosis! Some of the previous designations now called AIDS are:

Agammaglobulinemia, agranulocytosis, alymphocytosis, aplastic anemia, Di George syndrome, granulocytopenia, Kaposi's sarcoma, leukocytopenia, lymphocytopenia, neutropenia, pancytopenia, splenic deficiency syndrome, thrombocytopenia, thymic alymphoplasia and toxoplasmosis.

And acronyms for the disease are not in short supply. Since 1952 various ones have been used such as CID for combined immunodeficiency disease, CIDS for combined immunodeficiency syndrome, SCID for severe combined immunodeficiency, GRID for gay related immunodeficiency and finally, AIDS for Acquired Immunodeficiency syndrome.

Further, the use of the word acquired is not new in the literature. You see the term was employed in the medical literature from the beginning in this order: If you were born with it, you had congenital immunodeficiency disease and, if you got it after birth, it was said to be acquired. Which is by no stretch of the imagination to say that it is contagious as represented by CDC and the press. You see, there is not much monetary mileage to be gotten out of a disease unless it is virulent, deadly, contagious and of threatening epidemic proportions.

The term "immune deficiency" itself has been in every *Merck Manual* since 1952. (*The Merck Manual* is one of the "bibles" of the medical profession.)

When a list of long-known diseases are lumped together and presented under the umbrella of a frightening new disease, in behalf of bureaucratic, research and drug interests, don't you think it should be called a hoax?

2 Things Equal to the Same Thing Are the Same Thing

In geometry we learn axioms and postulates. One of them says that: "Things equal to the same thing are equal to each other." That is a self-evident truth.

In physiology there are also axioms and postulates, though not spelled out as are the ones in geometry.

Isn't it painful to read description after description and see picture after picture of "viruses" and their makeup in microbiology and virology texts and find out, by some sheer coincidence, the makeup of genomes of mitochondria are the same?

Isn't it disconcerting to learn that "viruses" are only genomes and nothing but? And then be told they perform malevolent acts like destroy our "immune" system?

Isn't it disconcerting to learn that AIDS causes brain damage and dementia? And, upon researching the subject, find that the identical symptoms were at one time called neurosyphilis, toxic dementia and neuropathy?

We want to acquaint you with a new axiom that applies in the world of physiology in this age of misrepresentation: Things equal to and like the same thing are the same thing!

In this circumstance that means the medical system has imposed upon us a scapegoat, called it a virus, and settled upon genomes from the cellular debris of dead cells as the culprits in disease.

It means the medical system is likely to discontinue one disease and reintroduce it with much fanfare, as a deadly epidemic.

3

Centers for Disease Control's Bag of Tricks

How do you promote "a major epidemic" while seeming to be a disinterested statistician?

How do you lay the groundwork for a panic while cultivating the image of a noninvolved party?

One propaganda tactic is to seem to oppose the very objective you seek to achieve! And, of course you clandestinely take all the steps necessary to achieve your aims. The tactic is to seem uninvolved in inciting the goals that are set.

Dr. James Curran of the Centers for Disease Control seems to have employed these tactics par excellence!

There were a multitude of interests who wanted to "sock it to the gay rights movement" and their opportunity appeared when so many homosexuals were being affected by what is now called AIDS. An acronym, GRID, was devised (standing for Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease). Dr. Curran, perhaps because he had in mind a disease that could be enlarged to the whole population, disapproved of GRID and settled on AIDS. Then he took steps that suggest he was directing the new acronym against the homosexuals just as if it had been GRID!

The fine hand of malice aforethought is detectable in the use of the letter "A" for acquired in the AIDS acronym, especially when it was introduced as meaning contagious. Before CDC's deployment of the term, in the medical literature it meant only that the immunodeficiency was developed after birth, thus being acquired rather than being congenital.

The tactics employed by CDC in developing and promoting the paper epidemic called AIDS would have won Hitler's admiration. His big lie technique has been immensely improved upon in America. I do not believe young Dr. Curran had much propaganda expertise; I think he had plenty of guidance from the old pros at perpetrating and perpetuating a hoax of this magnitude.

4

Why We Call AIDS a Conspiracy

The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) is the primary agency responsible for originating, perpetrating and perpetuating one of the most villainous and evil conspiracies ever concocted!

- (1) Officials of CDC deliberately and callously introduced in fearful and frightful terms, as a new disease with the acronym AIDS, a collection of immunodeficiency diseases that had long been in the medical literature!
- (2) Officials of CDC knew all the time that the pattern of symptoms they elected to introduce as AIDS was drug-caused and had previously been called certain stages of syphilis, Kaposi's syndrome, lymphocytopenia, pneumocystis carinii pneumonia and numerous other diseases. This was the import of numerous statements made by Dr. Harold Jaffe and Dr. James Curran originally together in the Center for Prevention Services, the Sexually Transmitted Diseases division. Now they head a division under the Center for Infectious Diseases dealing specifically with AIDS.
- (3) They knew that 100% of the homosexuals suffering from what they elected to call AIDS (Kaposi's sarcoma, lymphocytopenia, "opportunistic infections," pneumocystis carinii pneumonia and late-stage syphilis) were on the drugs amyl and/or butyl nitrite. They knew these drugs were classed as immunosuppressant drugs sold out from under regulation as "deodorants." They knew that a member of the pharmaceutical combine, Burroughs-Wellcome, produced these drugs, among others and they knew that other drugs were often involved too.
- (4) They knew from the beginning of the conspiracy that the pattern of symptoms they chose to publicize under the catch-all acronym AIDS was being called GRID (Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease) for the purpose of throttling and derailing the gay rights movement. They elected to go with the acronym AIDS, for it had implications that could apply to the

general population as well as accomplish objectives of destroying the gay rights movement.

- (5) They discontinued mentioning early on that 100% of sufferers of the pattern of symptoms they chose to call AIDS were on recreational drugs or medically administered immunosuppressive drugs such as antibiotics, corticosteroids (prednisone, ACTH), alien blood products, and numerous other immunodestructive drugs. In fact, they did not usually point their fingers at any of the several immunosuppressive drugs the homosexuals were taking except heroin which was administered intravenously.
- (6) They knew that numerous cases of the affection they labelled as AIDS previously existed in the U.S. among the heterosexual white and black communities of drug addicts. But they chose to introduce AIDS in a most horrendous fashion, citing Haitian homosexual heroin addicts, taking care to emphasize the deadly and highly contagious character of this "baffling" new disease. They characterized the disease as having originated in Haiti when they knew better. It originated in CDC!
- (7) From the beginning Dr. Jaffe and Dr. Curran knew that no virus was involved in AIDS. They knew it was a drug-caused problem. They knew it was not contagious, being peculiar to those who committed acts of self-depredation with drugs. They knew that the disease they labelled AIDS existed among people with kidney transplants who received immunosuppressive drugs, among those who suffered sexual diseases who had received massive doses of antibiotics, and among those who, having tumors, had received X-rays and chemotherapy (all immunosuppressive).
- (8) They angled their propaganda from the beginning of the conspiracy to hint that a virus or some single infectious agency caused the disease when they knew it was caused by immunosuppressive drugs and products.
- (9) Dr. Robert Gallo purportedly discovered the causative virus when, in fact, the so-called virus he "discovered" as HTLV (human T-cell lymphotropic virus) was really a dusting off of a "virus" he and his colleagues at the National Cancer

Institute had introduced in 1980 as HTLV (human T-cell leukemia virus).

Yet, with this backdrop, officials at CDC chose to serve their own bureaucratic interest, research interests and, most of all, their pharmaceutical masters in staking out new markets for them.

They devised the acronym AIDS knowing that the disease was not contagious nor transmitted. They did this with malice and evil intentions aforethought!

Yes, AIDS is the product of a conspiracy. The evidence and the actions bespeak this and only this.

5

AIDS Conspirators Complain People Don't Take Them Seriously Anymore!

Under the headline of "Experts Fret Over Apathy About AIDS" we are treated to a release by the Centers for Disease Control lamenting that the populace is not taking "the AIDS epidemic" very seriously anymore. In the Monday, March 7, 1988, newspapers, Dr. Harold W. Jaffe, chief of the AIDS epidemiology, said the public has gone from fear to indifference.

This state of matters was characterized as frustrating to the "experts" such as Dr. Jaffe and Surgeon General C. Everett Koop. General indifference was condemned as dangerous. Dr. Jaffe said that it seems the pendulum has swung from "lock up your daughter" to "everyone is safe."

Cosmopolitan magazine was lambasted for publishing an article showing that chances of getting AIDS were so small that it is practically unlikely that any woman would ever suffer it. This is, of course, consonant with the fact that almost all sufferers have been males who dosed heavily on drugs of various kinds prior to the conditions called AIDS.

This "crying" on the part of the promoters of this concocted disease is evidence that their campaign to maintain the panic and hysteria is in a gear too low for them, or else the campaign is coming unglued.

After all, how many people do you know personally that have suffered AIDS? Most of us know of none! This "rampant" disease is almost always happening to someone outside our circle of acquaintances.

This release attempts to shore up the sagging fortunes of the AIDS hoax by citing the researches of Masters and Johnsons. Their research purports to show that "swingers" had a 5 to 7% chance of contracting the AIDS virus versus practically nil for a single partner control group.

This is supposed to make us fearful. When we learn that only a fraction of 1% of those “infected” with the virus ever develop the symptoms lumped together as AIDS, and these are drug users, indeed there is little cause to be concerned.

Humans may be stampeded like cattle into the medical/drug corral—it is done so often—but the business of living and enjoying life takes over, especially when the conjured nightmare seems only a baseless apparition.

6

The Methodology Whereby You Are Ruled and Held in Subjection

How You Are Brainwashed, Manipulated, Ripped Off and Despoiled

Perhaps you are familiar with some of the tactics employed by the economically powerful to rule the economically weak.

First, of course, is to set up instruments that reflect the economic power on the political plane. This consists in largely subsidizing and buying "comers" and candidates of promise in advance of their rise to power. All of them are aided as long as they are of value to the powerful.

The governments are thus in the pocket of the powerful and, in effect, operate for and in behalf of the economically powerful.

Illusions and delusions are fostered on a grand scale. For instance, the delusion of self-government is advanced when, in fact, the election process is a choice between candidates who are, in advance, in the same pocket.

Triviality is cultivated so as to direct people's energies away from the nerve centers of power.

In Roman times this was "bread and circuses." Today there are a multiplicity of "bread-and-circuses" acts to distract us. Among these bread-and-circus acts are wars, foreign problems, meaningless entertainment and numerous other distractions.

The technique for taking control is this: Engineered problems are given great moment by the communications media with an importance attached to them that really is only fiction.

Techniques employed may be called thesis, antithesis and synthesis. Rapacious economic interests are unconscionable in their pursuit of power and economic aggrandizement, trampling humans and human rights in the process.

In thesis there is the creation of a problem that is said to be dire and earth-shaking in its consequences.

— In antithesis there is the generation of fear, panic and hysteria concerning the problem by what we call the Hitlerian “Big Lie Technique.”

In the final stage, antithesis, a “solution” is found which a preconditioned populace embraces with relief and indulgence.

The Hitlerian Big Lie Technique consists in telling a lie big enough, often enough and long enough to have the populace accept it as if it were gospel truth.

By these techniques our people are as rigidly manipulated and controlled as if they were ruled by thought control police and dictatorial powers. When “Big Brother” was postulated in George Orwell’s *1984*, big brother was already here!

Yes, AIDS Was Really a Conspiracy

At the time Dr. James Curran and other CDC officials of the Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) division were gathering data on what was then called GRID, Dr. Curran said: "Forty of the first 200 cases were linked by sexual contact." He reckoned this was a proportion too high to be coincidental.

This, of course, gave substance to the scapegoat mentality the medical and drug establishment have studiously nurtured for more than a century. What makes this 20% link so suspicious was the fact that 100% of all homosexuals coming down with AIDS were heavy users of poppers (amyl nitrite and isobutyl nitrite) and many other immunosuppressive drugs including antibiotics. About two thirds of AIDS sufferers were also intravenous drug users. Dr. Curran knew this and acknowledged it in several of his statements, some of which are printed herein.

But, as a knowledgeable official of CDC, Dr. Curran knew that many other affections they were calling symptoms of a mysterious new disease to be called AIDS were also symptoms in the medical literature under such appellations as immunodeficiency diseases—about 15 in number—plus syphilis, neurosyphilis and so on.

In the STD division of CDC, he had to know all about syphilis. He had to know that neurosyphilis, neuropathy, toxic dementia and the dementia of what was to be called AIDS were all substantially the same thing.

Dr. Curran and his CDC cohorts as well as the media and the medical establishment knew that many were recovering from the symptoms of AIDS under alternative methods of care. They knew that alternative approaches discontinued the deleterious drug habits of the sufferer as part of the program of recovery. Further, Dr. Curran and those involved in foisting this hoax on the American people knew that alternative care practitioners did not administer immunodestructive drugs such as chemotherapy, AZT and others which physicians prescribed with disastrous results. They knew

that the 100% death rate from AIDS was a blatant lie and knew that recovery was possible under gentle methods of care.

Yet, to this day, they continue to proclaim AIDS as being 99% deadly and that it is a rapidly spreading disease when, in fact, homosexuals are experiencing less deaths from immunodeficiency because they are discontinuing drugs and other medical modalities.

As a responsible and knowledgeable official of CDC's STD division, Dr. Curran had to know that the lymphadenopathy of syphilis was not different from the same affection listed as a symptom of AIDS.

All the evidence is that this scheme called AIDS was carefully concocted, planned and worked out in detail to achieve the plausibility, fear, hysteria and panic that have come to pass.

Were Government “Scientists” Really Baffled by AIDS?

Do you remember the blaring headlines about AIDS in the 1981-1983 period of its infancy?

Do you remember headlines that went like this: “New Disease Baffles Medical Community;” “Scientists Surprised by Virulent New Disease;” “Enigmatic New Disease Hits Homosexual Men;” “Mysterious Malady Spreads Among Homosexuals;” “Highly Virulent New Disease Threatens Plague;” and “Strange New Allment Strikes Gay Community”?

Do you recall how AIDS was characterized as a sexually transmitted disease of unknown cause? How, in screaming headlines, bisexuals were said to be spreading this terrible and little understood malady to the heterosexual community?

Did it not seem odd to you how the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) could, in good conscience, pass out press releases that described AIDS as a baffling new disease of unknown cause, yet had the temerity to say it was sexually transmitted?

How could they, in advance of introducing the disease, devise an acronym that specified the disease as contagious?

Obviously this bespeaks malice aforethought. Especially is this evident when we recall that they rejected the acronym GRID. GRID, meaning Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease, was tailor made to destroy the gay rights movement. Obviously Dr. Curran (head of CDC) and his superiors had bigger things in mind.

The CDC professed ignorance, yet gave a very positive acronym that could only be appended *after* profound study and research had established that the disease was, indeed, contagious. Only after a very thorough study and a positive determination had been made could such an acronym be appended.

That this acronym could be used coincident with the introduction of the disease could come only from prior deliberations; in short, a conspiracy is evident. That it was deliberate and well planned in advance is the fact that they lumped together some

diseases that were obscure, yet present in the medical literature all the way back to 1539. The bafflement pretense set the stage not only for panic and hysteria, but also hero stuff a la Jonas Salk.

The bafflement pretense was phony all the way through. CDC and fellow conspirators knew what they were up to and what their targets were. All one has to do to see that the whole thing is concocted is to look up immunodeficiency diseases in *The Merck Manual* issued in 1982 and before.

Medical dictionaries and other literature abound with the term lymphocytopenia which was the actual disease embellished upon in setting up the AIDS conspiracy.

— Pretending to be baffled when they actually are not makes it self-evident a big conspiracy was afoot in the CDC.

9

The Right of Might

In America and many other countries, an all-powerful, multi-trillion-dollar cartel controls about all there is to control that is of significance. In this country that cartel owns and/or controls virtually all the newspapers and magazines, all the TV and radio media, owns the chemical and drug industries, controls our governments, both state and federal, controls the medical/hospital system and, I repeat, about everything else that assumes economic/political importance. carded

Principal always overrides principle. Hence it has been aptly said that might makes right.

The system can put anything over on us it wishes! It has the power and the resources to make us believe anything it wants us to believe to better exploit us for its greater power and profit.

In the manufactured AIDS panic, all the cartel members coalesced to fool and scare our populace until it was in a frenzy of fear. All this was done so that a few more billion dollars would accrue to the medical/drug/hospital/research/bureaucratic interests.

The scam has been successfully pulled off, unlike the swine flu farce, and, indeed, billions of dollars have flowed into the coffers of those interests. In addition, much power and "prestige" has been conferred upon those instrumental in perpetrating and perpetuating such a monstrous hoax.

The technique employed has been called the Hitlerian Big Lie Technique. This technique says: "If you tell a lie big enough, tell it often enough and tell it long enough, people will accept it as gospel truth."

We see this as being precisely the case with the deliberate concoction of AIDS from a complex of symptoms called by more than 20 other disease designations.

10

Is AIDS a Major Public Health Problem?

Billed as the most serious epidemic to confront modern medicine in the 20th century, AIDS is now called "Public Enemy Number One" by the president.

The surgeon-general has mailed every household in the U.S. a booklet called "Understanding AIDS." Obviously this booklet is designed to get us worked up about AIDS and to induce us to undertake "responsible behavior."

In the nation's press AIDS is treated as "Public Enemy Number One." Government agencies, pharmaceutical interests and research organizations have, indeed, made it an epidemic—the biggest paper tiger in the history of disease promotion.

Would you call 250% as many deaths from sleeping pills an epidemic? Would you call 7,000% more dying from cancer an epidemic? Or would you call 15,000% more dying from cardiovascular problems an epidemic?

The real epidemic is one of publicity. The epidemic of over-publicizing AIDS is calculated to create markets for new drugs, additional funds to the government agencies involved and research interests who love gravy trains.

11 If He Isn't Dying of AIDS, Then What is He Dying Of?

Recently in the *Wall Street Journal*, there was a story of a man dying of AIDS. My question is: "If AIDS is a phony disease, if he isn't dying of AIDS, then what is he dying of?"

—Charles Snell
Providence, RI

RESPONSE: I have had dozens of queries in this vein, especially as regarding Rock Hudson and Liberace whose deaths were credited to AIDS.

Apparently it does not occur to our citizenry that whatever caused so-called AIDS in the dying may well be causing their deaths too. We stand in awe of those who invoke the aura of science. They seem totally dependent upon the latest publicity releases from our "laboratories" for their information and opinions.

And what do you think happens to sufferers who get a diagnosis of AIDS? Could extraordinarily heroic drugging by chemotherapy, itself consisting of immunosuppressive drugs, have anything to do with their dying?

As a rule, AIDS sufferers submit themselves to physicians who commit them to hospitals. There they undergo rounds of immunosuppressive drugs which further destroy their immune system and add to the toxic loads which the sufferers are already under.

When chemicals (they are actually drugs and poisons) are injected into the bloodstream or absorbed from the intestinal tract or lungs, the white blood cells, like suicide squadrons, begin surrounding and engulfing the chemicals for removal from the body. In the process of doing this, the white blood cells die, victims of the very poisons they are removing. As a result, these bouts with chemicals, whether recreational drugs or medically administered drugs, impair the body's ability to create new white blood cells as fast as they are destroyed. The chemicals accumulate along with uneliminated body wastes. The body, threatened with being overwhelmed by these deadly substances, initiates emergency

detoxification crises which may manifest as fevers, diarrheas, pneumonias or any number of other illnesses. Under continued drug use or chemotherapy, the sufferer goes on downhill until death.

Almost all those suffering what is called AIDS have heavily indulged immunosuppressive recreational drugs such as poppers (isobutyl nitrite or amyl nitrite), uppers, downers, marijuana and a host of others, or have been heavily immunosuppressed as with hemophiliacs.

In cancer, more than 50% of those diagnosed die under medical care. Under Hygienic care more than 95% survive! In so-called AIDS, nearly 100% die under medical care. None have died under Hygienic care! All have recovered. For, when the poisoning stops, the body cleanses itself and rebuilds normal faculties and functions to the extent of residual potential.

AIDS? I assure it has been around a long time. In 1539 medical literature first describes tertiary and quaternary syphilis. The symptoms are the same as that described today as AIDS. It is instructive to note that syphilis was described in the Grecian medical literature and the Bible. Only the first two stages were described. However, after the introduction of mercury and bismuth, now known as immunosuppressive, as treatments of choice for syphilis, stages three and four put in their appearance! Up until recently, what is called AIDS was diagnosed as tertiary or quaternary syphilis. In 1868 Moritz Kaposi Kohn described what has since been known as Kaposi's sarcoma, recognized as part of the AIDS syndrome.

Yes, indeed, what are AIDS sufferers dying of?

12

New York Times and *Reader's Digest* Tell Us What We Must Do About AIDS

On May 28, 1987, the *New York Times* printed an article telling us what we *must* do about AIDS. The September 1987 issue of *Reader's Digest* reprinted a synopsis of the article under the title: "AIDS: What Must Be Done Now."

Calling AIDS a peril and plague such as never been before visited upon our nation, A. M. Rosenthal, who authored the article, presumed to tell us what we absolutely must do.

The article, in effect, calls for the renouncement of extramarital sex in the lives of Americans. For the purposes of this article, I am not concerned with that. I am concerned with the fear and the lies perpetrated and perpetuated by such influential publications.

First, Mr. Rosenthal gives credence to the figure of 1,500,000 Americans having the so-called AIDS virus and he says that eventually, all of them will die. From another section of this book you will learn that this number *does not* have the virus. Instead we learn they have what is presumed to be an antibody to the so-called HIV which, also well-established herein, is not the cause of the problem in the first place. And we also learn that antibodies are evidence of immunity to a disease, not a predisposition to it.

By invoking medical vaccinal rationales, a vaccine can do no more than cause the formation of antibodies which 1,500,000 people already have. By vaccinal reasoning, these 1,500,000 people are the safest ones around with respect to AIDS.

As to a peril and a plague, why not call sleeping pills a peril and a plague? Twice as many people are dying of them as are dying of so-called AIDS!

When you realize that AIDS is, a big farce invented and fostered, firstly, to destroy the gay rights movement and, secondly, to stake out huge new economic reserves for our pharmaceutical and chemical industries, then you begin to perceive the truth. The

sleeping pill business is safely in hand. The drug promoters want to develop new business. Of course they are seconded by power-hungry bureaucrats, opportunistic researchers and institutions, and a medical system that stands to benefit greatly.

Ironically, the article opens with, "We all know the truth, every one of us." The truth is that almost no one, including Mr. Rosenthal, knows the truth even though practically all of us feel we have the absolute unimpeachable truth. When he puts it in this manner, this implies that your worst fears are true. As most of us are given to believing the worst scenario portrayed, this carries us along with the theme: AIDS is with us and will destroy us.

The sexual warnings are a deceptive ploy: the originators of the scheme well know they are promoting a fraud. Under the guise of cautioning Americans on their sexual practices, this article left-handedly turns the screws so that fear and panic are all the more heightened.

What must be done about AIDS now? You should inform yourself about the atrocious plot to foist a bunch of old diseases on us under the guise of a new label for the greater financial glory of its beneficiaries. And, of course, do not be taken in by its fears or ensnaring webs.

13

Why Do Hemophiliacs Come Down With AIDS?

Our medical establishment, its representatives and flunkies studiously avoid mentioning any drug or medical procedure as cumulative of human problems.

Consider this! Statistics show that sufferers from iatrogenic (physician-caused) diseases admitted to hospitals number about five million per year and about 200,000 of these admissions die. Thus 10% of all annual deaths are caused by physicians. But the deaths caused by physicians are actually much greater than this! When they go on strike, death rates drop as much as 60%! Of course drugs and physicians are not listed as causes in death statistics. Deaths are attributed to the disease for which they were treated.

Do you think they list deaths from so-called AIDS as being caused by chemotherapy and the raft of other heroic drugging measures undertaken? Not on your life!

When you pick up a physician's desk reference and start looking at some of the iatrogenic diseases caused by drugs—they are euphemistically called side effects—you would think, and correctly so, that all drugs have toxic effects.

Hemophiliacs are transfused with new blood frequently. Blood transfusions are fraught with problems in themselves. First, the so-called immune system starts destroying the transfused hemoglobin and white cells wholesale. The liver likewise gets heavily involved in their destruction to the point that it can become inflamed, that is, suffer hepatitis. The body will not tolerate alien proteins. They are treated like poisons. Alien proteins in themselves are immunodestructive.

To enable hemophiliacs to clot their blood in the event of bleeding, an extract called Factor VIII is added. This too, is suspected of immunosuppressive action. Additional immunosuppressive drugs are likely to be added, drugs like cyclophosphamide.

Hemophiliacs suffer a multitude of problems. Worse, Factor VIII and immunosuppressive drugs become cumulative to a great

extent in their systems with continuing transfusions. Thus it should be no surprise that they develop what is called AIDS!

The establishment has been very careful to blame what they have dubbed as AIDS on a virus that has been thoroughly discredited as the cause of anything. They will blame anything but the causes—in this case medical procedures in transfusion and the drugs they routinely administer.

The drug cartel and their products must not be implicated or indicted as being causative of diseases or suffering. The image of drugs must always be beneficent and life-saving!

14 A Reader is Suspicious About Our AIDS Expose Campaign

I just finished reading your literature regarding the possible writing of a possible best-seller, and wonder who is creating a fraudulent situation; you, or our major corporations. Clearly, you have provided just enough of the truth to convince many of the unsuspecting, and may indeed receive sufficient funds to create this lie called a book.

Your pamphlet eliciting funds misrepresents several important points: principally, medical science has moving pictures of a virus injecting its genetic material into a live cell, and that same cell subsequently reproducing this same virus's destructive genetic material. So, your principal argument is already full of holes. While I might agree that the federal government may ultimately cause the whole population to undergo AIDS testing (knowing that the tests are incredibly inaccurate), I agree that it really ought to be done.

In the first place you have overlooked the Biblical implications of the AIDS problem. God's word has always said that homosexuality is abominable to Him. Our word "sodomy" has its derivation from the city of Sodom that God destroyed for its free attitudes towards homosexuality. Should we expect Him to change His word now? I think not.

Secondly, you have created the idea that AIDS is a "different" disease. A friend of mine recently died of AIDS and it was unlike any disease that I have seen, and I've seen a number of them at work. Do not presume that AIDS is the same as any other disease that's been renamed. It is, and shall remain, a disease peculiar to the people that continue to violate God's word.

Finally, I believe that the concept of AIDS antibodies is relatively accurate, not unlike the example you've given of polio. I do, however, believe that any blood used for transfusions that is either infected with, or has AIDS antibodies should be given exclusively to those already diagnosed as having the disease. If, as you have

stated, the AIDS antibodies present in AIDS “carriers” is indeed an actual immunity, then that blood should be given to those people who actually have the virus working in their bodies so as to help their bodies develop the same immunity. After all, this is the same process by which we are vaccinated against for many other diseases.

So, if your thesis is correct, and I obviously do not believe it is, then the whole population should be exposed to this disease in order to prevent a total infection of the entire population from occurring. Rather, I believe that God has a purpose in allowing this disease to exist, which is to wipe out the very people that He has always said He detests.

So, who am I to believe, God, or you? Clearly, I would prefer to believe God. If it is in God’s will that your proposed book be written, if for no other reason than to continue to deceive the people who will ultimately fall from this disease, then it will be written. I do not, however, believe that it will be written.

Clearly, I am not including any financial help in this letter because I do not believe in the purpose of your book. I really hope that you read and understand this letter.

—Lloyd M. Jeffords
New York, NY

RESPONSE: That you should wonder about my possible fraudulence—in the face of what I labelled irrefutable evidence, without even investigating that evidence—ill becomes you. This destroys any credence in you immediately, for it reveals that you are not a responsible or a sophisticated thinker. It reveals you to be a person more in love with what you want to be rather than what might be.

What you cite as medical science’s moving pictures of a virus “injecting” itself into a living cell is an outright fraud for those who know physiology. I saw Dr. Robert Gallo’s photos of what was said to be an AIDS virus injecting itself into a live cell. The virus was a round bit of dead material doing nothing whatever. What we saw was a cell in the process of creating an impromptu mouth and enveloping the supposed AIDS virus. The cell performed *all* the action. From the beginning to the end the so-called virus was without action. This process is well described

phagocytosis in any standard physiology or cytology text. That you should give this credence bespeaks your station.

Inasmuch as dead organic material of this nature cannot possibly cause disease (no matter how you figure it, it is the body's own waste material!) or anything else, what is called a virus cannot be a cause. The drug establishment propagandizes us about viruses, blaming diseases upon them with the clear implication that their drugs will kill off these viruses, thus making us well. A three-year-old's instincts are more to be trusted than someone peddling their product. The pharmaceutical, medical and junk food industries try to blame AIDS and other diseases on anything but toxic materials which are the real causes. The average American poisons himself from 20 to 40 times a day with recreational drugs like tobacco, coffee, alcohol, soft drinks, cooked foods, fried foods, condiments and a whole host of materials unfit for human consumption. Only good air, pure water and raw foods of our biological adaptation should ever enter our bodies. Everything else is pathogenic.

AIDS testing is a fraud. First, antibodies which they test for should by their own logic mean the positive testing subject is immune. Second, 50% of those who have what is called AIDS do not have the so-called HIV or AIDS virus. As offered up in the circular you are responding to, the most prestigious medical reference book, *The Merck Manual*, says that immunodeficiency diseases are caused by drugs, chemicals, immunosuppressants and a host of other etiological substances, chemotherapy, for instance.

You try to lay on me the stance of the medical establishment. I have not tried to say that AIDS is a different disease—it is a new name for a whole complex of deficiency diseases named in our circular. Did you not catch on that Kaposi, over one hundred years ago, described a condition now called AIDS?

Have you not become aware that AIDS is a successor name to GRID (Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease) that was aimed squarely at the gay rights movement? Did you not know that this appellation, GRID, was withdrawn by Centers for Disease Control because, at that time, the gays had a strong lobby? Did you not know that CDC came back with the acronym AIDS and hung it on the gays anyway? Have you not caught on that it

accomplished what GRID was meant to do, that is, destroy the gay rights movement? Have you not caught on that the drug industry seized upon this opportunity and, with the abettance of its fellow cartel members in the media, hung the disease on the heterosexual population too?

God's word has nothing to do with AIDS being a sin of iniquity as you would have me believe. AIDS is, indeed, the wages of transgression, that of violating our biological integrity. Drugs and other poisons are the causes, something our pharmaceutical establishment does not want us to know.

About 10% of our population are homosexual. Most do not arise from antecedent homosexuals! And most homosexuals are the only one in their family that way! This is to say that the condition is caused by something in our lifestyle—that it is not an inherited trait. So, if God intended gays to be wiped out, he must wipe out the causes, not the homosexuals! Homosexual practices beget no progeny. Further, if only about 5,000 gays are dying of AIDS per year, it will take about 5,000 years to kill them all off. Would you lay upon God the accusation of not being effective?

I have documentary evidence that AIDS is a hoax perpetrated and perpetuated by the CDC, other government agencies and a phalanx of pharmaceutical companies who stand to profit heavily from this big promotion.

Do you not believe it a duty incumbent upon us who have evidential knowledge to share it with those who do not know?

15 The AIDS Campaign Strikes a Rich Mother Lode!

Talk about hitting pure gold! The Centers for Disease Control have been laboring hard and long to make their AIDS campaign of fear, hysteria and panic go over big with the American population so that they will really go in big for drugs that will, purportedly, cure the problem.

Now they are hinting around at making 22 million more Americans at high risk for AIDS!

Dr. Scott Holmberg of the Centers for Disease Control has found that those with herpes genitalis are two to three times more likely to get the AIDS virus!

Herpes genitalis is the successor disease to the first stage of syphilis when CDC changed disease fashions. Spirochetes, a form of bacteria called treponeme pallida, were left out in the cold as causing the papillae and lesions of the genital area and herpes virus was pressed into service as the cause—it offered better mileage as people are far more scared of inscrutable “viruses” than common bacteria. Of course herpes virus is the same that is said to be responsible for cold sores, mouth sores, shingles and a long list of other ulcers or lesions.

Herpes genitalis has receded into the dim background since AIDS has taken over the spotlight. Now, with this entree, it appears they are dusting off this in-limbo disease to give AIDS more oomph in the marketplace and especially for drug/research/medical/hospital/bureaucratic interests.

Yes, it is true that those who already suffer an affection are greater candidates for what is called AIDS. You see, most herpes genitalis sufferers are on acyclovir, a highly immunosuppressive drug (highly destructive of white blood cells). And, of course, they are on the poison habits which begot the genital lesions as extraordinary outlets in the first place.

Further, all acute diseases are symptoms of a body whose cup runneth over with toxic substances which the regular defensive faculties, the white blood cell complement, cannot cope with. The

body thus resorts to extraordinary elimination through outlets other than its regular ones to cope with the impairing toxins.

Leave it to those fellows at CDC. They have the world in a dither now and they intend to fan the flames until a cauldron of fear, panic and despair are generated.

Rather than get enmeshed in these games, keep in mind that healthful practices *always* produce health.

16 The AIDS Campaign is Showing Signs of Weakness

As a model in how to promote a complex of old diseases as a new and fearsome one, the AIDS campaign is unexcelled—even the polio hoax never reached the heights of success the AIDS campaign has.

Vaccines? Their success is assured in advance and they are coming! They will generate as much for the bottom line of pharmaceutical firms in perpetuum as the polio vaccine.

AIDS business is already booming as the polio campaign never did. Huge government grants to power-crazed bureaucracies, researchers and, indirectly, the drug companies, have been good for the pharmaceutical and medical trades.

But there are a few chinks here and there showing in the hoax's armor. Not that the establishment TV, press and other media have not been discreet—it is just that the truth pops up here and there.

Do you remember back to 1976 when the biggest scam ever exposed and publicly discredited occurred? This was the swine flu farce.

Do you remember J. Anthony Morris? He is the FDA member who was fired for exposing the government/drug industry conspiracy and scam. The whole thing was a lie and had nothing to do with any possible epidemic. That was a concerted attempt to foist a new vaccine on the populace which could have generated a lot of revenue for drug companies for an indefinite time. However, Dr. Morris shot it down.

Well Dr. Morris recently labelled the panic created over the AIDS furor just another scam, something I have pointed out in print since 1982. And Dr. Anthony Morris is armed with bundles of credentials and evidence.

Dr. Morris has had the gall to point out that the "new" virus sprung on us by Dr. Gallo is not new at all. He pointed out that frozen blood from more than 50 years ago had the same virus in it that is said to cause AIDS!

Then there is Dr. Peter Duesberg, the famed viral researcher from the University of California, Berkeley, who says that the so-called AIDS virus, now referred to as HIV, does not cause AIDS and cannot cause AIDS! Of course the press is not telling us about this. Dr. Duesberg even challenged Dr. Gallo to administer this virus to him to prove his point. Dr. Gallo did not accept the challenge. Unfortunately, the National Cancer Institute to which Dr. Gallo belongs made the rare mistake of giving a research grant to an honest man.

Despite the mighty power of the cartel that brainwashes, manipulates, rips off and despoils us, the truth sometimes gets through.

As our press, radio, TV and other media are under the control of the same rapacious group that controls the drug/hospital/medical establishment, you can expect little if any splits or chinks in the front they present to the public. Our government and educational institutions are subject to the same nefarious cartel which controls the press and the drug/medical complex.

Unfortunately, most of our populace are not aware that they are being brainwashed, manipulated, ripped off and despoiled. But many are honest and some who have awareness are not about to keep quiet. Dr. Morris is one of the more vocal scientists who does not hesitate to speak out.

The Origin of AIDS

Articles galore appear conjecturing upon the origin of what is called AIDS. Among the many causes posed are these:

- The viruses were created by the Biological Warfare labs in Fort Detrick, Maryland.
- The viruses came from simians (green monkeys). Africans acquired the disease from monkeys and passed it on to Haitians who spread it to Americans.
- AIDS started in Africa because of the tremendous number of smallpox vaccinations there. Even the (in)famous Dr. Gallo told a European "scientific" conference that one of the side effects of smallpox vaccinations was AIDS! He will not say this for publication in America for, after all, he is claiming credit for discovering the so-called AIDS virus.
- The origin of AIDS was originally laid on homosexual Haitians. The Centers for Disease Control has apologized to Haiti for this misrepresentation.
- The AIDS epidemic has been attributed to a homosexual jet steward, Gaeten Dugas, who spread it among many other gays in the U.S. and Canada in 1979.

In most of the above citations viruses are blamed as cause and their transmission was blamed on homosexual acts. Now the propaganda line is that anyone can become infected with the virus by any kind of sex act, contaminated blood or anything else that gets HIV into our body fluids. The fact that we blame a virus for a disease causes us to suspect something, for if there is a hoax greater than the AIDS hoax, it is the virus hoax. Likewise the notion that people can pass along their diseases dates from barbarian times when evil spirits, demons and spells were blamed for maladies.

The Real Beginning of AIDS

While the diseases lumped together under the catchall term AIDS have been in the medical literature for nearly 450 years, the real beginning of AIDS was the coining of the acronym and its introduction by the Centers for Disease Control back in 1982. The disease

came full blown with a name when introduced! That gives the whole show away.

Actually, the disease was introduced in a short-lived attempt to introduce it as GRID—Gay Related Immunodeficiency Disease. Centers for Disease Control never went for that—they had not wanted to use that acronym in the first place. AIDS was almost called ACIDS, patterned after the name right out of *The Merck Manual* (called SCID or Severe Combined Immunodeficiency). As Acquired Combined ImmunoDeficiency Syndrome it would have been fearsome. But Dr. James Curran, who heads CDC, did not go for that one. Finally ACIDS was accepted minus the “C.”

AIDS, as you can see, was a concocted name for a set of disease complexes that have been around for a long time!

18 *Los Angeles Times* Seeks to Help AIDS Sufferers

The November 28, 1986, issue of the *Los Angeles Times*, "Metro" section editorial pages, carries pleas concerning an AIDS project to help those who have the disease.

The report stated the project sought foodstuffs, among other things, for the "victims."

With pride the project reports that it has received supplies of surplus government flour, powdered milk, cheese and rice. And, through these pleas, lets the public know that it is seeking the following foods:

bottled juices, canned tuna, breakfast cereal, peanut butter, canned fruit, chunky soups, ketchup, mayonnaise, macaroni and cheese, beef stew, tomato sauce, coffee and tea, and nutritional supplements.

To anyone versed in nutrition it sounds as if they are out to put AIDS sufferers into real trouble! Modern farmers would not feed this glop to their pigs!

That anyone should eat this at all in whatever kind of health is unthinkable! Not only does it fail to furnish needed nutrients, but it is loaded with toxic matters directly and results in toxic bacterial decomposition by-products indirectly. Anyone eating this cooked and otherwise toxic junk would suffer crisis after crisis of elimination called disease—even opportunistic infection!

In short, this type of food would help kill those suffering the disease called AIDS rather than help them recover. Better that the AIDS sufferers have no food at all than this! At least, on a water diet, the body will recover from AIDS in almost all cases!

SECTION IX

The Government and AIDS

1 Will This Extra \$1.5 Billion Win the AIDS War?

*President's Commission Chairman
Obviously Blames Drugs as AIDS Cause!*

There is a request from yet another source for additional funds to fight the "war" against AIDS.

Admiral James Watkins was appointed chairman of the President's Commission on AIDS. He has just submitted a 60-page report to the president requesting \$1.5 billion additional to all other requests.

Admiral Watkins would conduct the war against AIDS substantially differently to the way other agencies are spending their billions. He would spend two thirds of that—\$1 billion—on drug treatments. While Admiral Watkins did not say that drugs cause AIDS, he would spend over one billion dollars each year in treating intravenous heroin addicts, who constitute 70% of the sufferers of what is called AIDS.

This obviously means that Admiral Watkins holds drugs responsible as primary causative factors in the AIDS statistics. I applaud him for that though he was not explicit about it.

But something we cannot admire him for: He wants to give most of this money right back to the drug companies!

He supports the "drugs on demand" approach. He advocates recognizing the addicts' problem and supplying them with clean needles if necessary. But what he really wants to do is give the addicts narcotics! Not heroin, mind you, but a pharmaceutically made heroin substitute called methadone.

The rest of the monies he requested would go down the usual research rat-holes. Of course research monies are really disguised subsidies for drug companies for, frequently, new drugs are developed which drug companies manufacture and distribute.

What all this really signifies is that the AIDS scam conspirators and bandwagon buddies reward themselves with huge jackpots.

Seeking funds for expenditure that will not produce an answer makes the whole thing phony.

With that kind of money all of America could be made aware that AIDS is caused by drugs and other poisons put into the body. All Americans could learn that healthful living will produce sickness-free health.

2

The Real Cause of AIDS is Bared by a High Official

"Go gingerly on drugs" seems to be a general policy in this country. It would appear that the only really bad drugs are illegal drugs, and even their pathogenicity is downplayed.

But Surgeon General C. Everett Koop was in England on March 10, 1988, and he was more forthright there than he is in this country. Of course he spoke in the general terms of gobbledegook which, when you interpret it, reveals a lot.

The report of his speech was headlined: "AIDS Advice Missing Drug Abusers." The complaint was that drug abusers (drug abusers are those taking "illegal" drugs, of course), as a high risk group for AIDS, are continuing to abuse drugs. They would have us believe that AIDS viruses really thrive on illegal drug users and are not very fond of "legal" drug users. That is the impression given.

Dr. Koop told his audience, the First International Conference on the Global Impact of AIDS, that male homosexuals as AIDS sufferers were declining and that heterosexual drug users are now the foremost spreaders of the disease. He attributed the decline in homosexual AIDS sufferers to a positive change in homosexual behavior. He did not mention that the effective bases for their behavior was the widespread dropping by the homosexual community of poppers, uppers, downers, Quaaludes, cocaine, marijuana and heroin.

In saying this and noting that drug users are suffering AIDS regardless of their sexual disposition, he is really telling us that drugs cause AIDS. As the nation's number one legal drug pusher, Dr. Koop does not expect us to read between the lines, but to take him literally.

And by reverse reasoning, it follows that if drug users are spreading the disease, then if drug use were discontinued, the disease would cease. Which effectively ties the disease called AIDS to drugs and not to some dead little hobgoblin called a virus.

Remarkable how the truth slips out of the mouths of these officials in their less-guarded moments.

3 Like Humpty Dumpty, The AIDS Scam Cannot be Put Back Together Again!

We have noted here and there that the AIDS scam is coming apart in some areas. There are other forces that are chipping away at it.

On February 21, 1988, the newspapers announced that 30 major employers and unions have endorsed an AIDS "bill of rights" for employees. These employers have actually taken steps that possibly preclude some very expensive impositions that could devolve upon them in behalf of the great AIDS hustle.

- They have emphatically rejected mandatory testing.
- They will strive to reassure employees that they cannot get AIDS at the workplace, that common use of tools, washrooms, dining facilities, etc., is not fraught with dangers.
- Every employee's medical file is strictly confidential.

These are some of the salient guidelines that will render the AIDS scam less effective in bringing in revenues, power and prestige for its promoters.

Among the signers of the AIDS "bill of rights" are such prestigious organizations as IBM, AT&T, ITT, National Urban League, Dow Jones, Chemical Bank, Johnson & Johnson, Warner-Lambert, The Salvation Army and Rockefeller Brothers Fund.

Strange bedfellows, it would seem, for some of these signers are beneficiaries of the AIDS bounty.

“Don’t Let Us Die”

The Presidential AIDS Advisory Commission heard this plea from a 35-year-old father of three who has what is called AIDS. The sufferer, Doug Segal, in his statement to the Commission, implied that death faces those who cannot afford expensive medical treatment.

Another sufferer, 36-year-old Patrick Haney, asked the Commission to convince the president to declare an immediate all-out war on AIDS.

An spokeslady for NOW (National Organization for Women), Fran Bohnsack-Lee also urged swift and decisive action: “...put money into AIDS and come up with a cure.”

These statements reflect the success of the scare campaign conducted by promoters of the AIDS hysteria. Our peoples have unquestioningly accepted the propaganda and fear which our media has printed and broadcast daily in behalf of pharmaceutical interests, primarily, and many others secondarily.

Examining Doug Segal’s Statement

Doug Segal implied that AIDS sufferers are dying because they cannot afford expensive medical care. This is 100% opposite to the facts!

Those who are dying of AIDS are those who are under medical cure! They are receiving what is called first-class treatment. The death rate of those who are subjected to chemotherapy and other medical procedures is nearly 100%!

The truth is that chemotherapy is so deadly that few can survive its administration on top of an already drug-damaged body. Chemotherapy, as well as all cytotoxic drugs, causes what is called AIDS!

The truth is that nearly 100% of AIDS sufferers overcome their problem by discovering and discontinuing its causes, namely drugs. All drugs are, more or less, “immunosuppressive.”

Drugs of all categories tend to cause what is called AIDS, whether they be recreational drugs such as opium, heroin, morphine, marijuana, tobacco, cocaine, alcohol or coffee; whether

they be cytotoxic drugs from the pharmaceutical industry (all drugs are cytotoxic!); whether they be condiments such as vinegar, salt, oregano, hot peppers (capsicum), etc.; whether they be over-the-counter drugs or prescribed drugs; or whether they be putrefactive or fermentative poisons of bacterial generation absorbed from the intestines. What is called AIDS is caused by the inability of the body's primary defensive faculties—white blood cells—to cope with the poisons that course through the bloodstream and body fluids. Most of this inability arises because of the suicidal result of apprehending drugs in the bloodstream and fluids. A shortage of white blood cells thus occurs.

Let us review that: The body's legions of white blood cells are killed off and depleted by the very poisons they seek to engulf and remove, thus resulting in their deficiency which leads to the organism being overwhelmed by poisons. This event leaves the body only one way to save itself—extraordinary elimination through fevers, diarrheas, pneumonias and other affections or illnesses.

Patrick Haney's Delusion

Obviously Mr. Haney wants an instant solution to the AIDS problem inasmuch as he suffers grievous white blood cell deficiency.

A solution does exist already. This volume presents it elsewhere. However, the drug/medical industries do not want a solution. The whole panic was initiated to destroy the gay rights movement but later converted by the "researchers," government agencies, and the pharmaceutical/medical industries into a gravy boat for power and profits.

The president and government agencies have really already declared an all-out war on AIDS. AIDS is already a multi-billion dollar affair which is the bottom line its promoters sought in the first place. Over one billion dollars was spent by the U.S. government with researchers alone in 1987. About \$2 billion was spent in 1988 on further research. That is already bigger than annual cancer-war expenditures that have been going on for 17 years.

The search for a "cure" is already on. Of course, as in cancer, no "cure" will ever be found. For, you see, no solution is wanted by the drug establishment or "our" government which it controls.

It is estimated that about \$10 billion was spent in 1988 for treating AIDS sufferers (at \$150,000 per death produced), for nongovernment research to create vaccines, and to "prevent" AIDS.

The delusion is that the answers to AIDS lie in research and the vaccines and medications that will result. When a vaccine is introduced to solve the problem, the syndrome is diagnosed as one of its many prior designations, and the media stop promoting it. AIDS will be dead except for reminders to get your AIDS shots lest that nightmare ruin your body.

A war on AIDS will produce about the same result as the "War on Cancer" which began in 1971 when we had 350,000 deaths per year attributed to cancer. Now that the war has been waged vigorously with over one billion dollars per year for 17 years, we now have nearly 500,000 cancer deaths each year.

You see, Mr. Haney has really been deluded into believing the answers to AIDS lie in those agencies and industries who have perpetrated and promoted the hoax in the first place. The research itself is one of the perpetual boondoggles that is eagerly sought—it is a scam that is part of the AIDS promotion. Mr. Haney suffers from delusions as well as drugs.

Fran Has Been Duped Too

In urging that the government pour massive amounts of money into AIDS to come up with a cure, Fran Bohnsack-Lee is falling into the very trap set by government agencies, researchers and drug industries. These powerful interests are not out to kill the goose that lays so many golden eggs for them. Their bottom line is the lavish flow of cash into research coffers, into expanded government agencies, and ever-greater revenues from pharmaceuticals and medical/hospital treatments.

As long as the absurd belief is fostered and followed that the "cure" lies in drugs which are the cause of the problem in the first place, there can be no solution.

AIDS is a problem with multiple causes that toxify the body. The only way out is to discontinue all practices which beget intoxication and adopt a healthful regime which, alone, can restore health.

5

There is Some Pie in AIDS for Everyone!

In the *New York Post* for January 18, 1988, there appears an announcement that a \$19 million grant has been made for Clinical and Behavioral Studies of AIDS sufferers by the National Institute of Mental Health. The grant was made to the New York Psychiatric Institute, a part of Columbia-Presbyterian Medical Center.

Among the objectives to be assessed by this research is to find out if depression and stress weaken the "immune" system enough to predispose someone to AIDS.

The fact that this aspect is being researched, of course, is, perhaps an indication that such causes are probable. On the other hand, an unhealthy body is more disposed to be depressed and stressed—these, like white blood cell deficiency, are the results of a common cause, usually drugs. Further, all these conditions can aggravate the others.

When the causes of problems are discontinued, the body can gradually regain vitality and reassert its prerogatives.

This grant to the New York Psychiatric Institute is more evidence of the excessive gratuities created by contrived panics such as AIDS.

6 U.S. Government Squelches an AIDS Researcher Who Goes in the “Wrong” Direction

Perhaps you read a column in which Jack Anderson and Dale Van Atta, the nationally syndicated columnists, reported that a researcher in this country was squashed for trying to follow up on a British report, in the April 1983 issue of *Lancet*, that pork-eating caused symptoms identical to those of AIDS.

Dr. John Beldekas has been censored and hampered in his search to find a connection between pork-eating and what is called swine fever which has the same symptoms as AIDS. At that time, both AIDS and swine fever were widespread in Haiti, which, you may recall, was named by the Centers for Disease Control as the pathway country for the introduction of AIDS into the U.S.A. from Africa.

The occasion for Jack Anderson's report is the opposition of various agencies of the U.S. government that made it impossible for Dr. John Beldekas to carry out his intended research.

In trying to pursue research along the lines that pork-eating caused symptoms that were similar to AIDS and were perhaps being diagnosed as AIDS, Dr. Beldekas was derided and threatened by U.S. government agencies. They did not want any research to occur that did not conform to the causes then proclaimed to be current, namely homosexual acts and a scapegoat virus.

Also, they did not want the meat trust upset. If pork-eating caused ill health, so be it! Our pork industry is more important than keeping our people healthy.

7

AIDS Panel Complains About Federal Efforts to Curb AIDS

A prestigious panel of scientists of the National Academy of Sciences and the Institute of Medicine complained that the federal government was not doing enough to stop the spread of AIDS. The panel's complaints appeared in newspapers on June 2, 1988.

The subheadline to the article stated: "Scientists call for more programs to curb intravenous drug abuse."

If a virus is the cause of AIDS, then what does drug use or abuse have to do with AIDS?

Well, you really do not find out from the publicity release. They are decrying "the magnitude of drug abuse and AIDS" which seem to go together for some strange reason.

What would a panel of scientists recommend? Well, for one thing, they want far more than the measly \$1 billion a year that the government has thrown at the AIDS problem. Further, they want large-scale treatment programs for drug users—like clean needle programs. (Another chapter in this book examines the "clean-needle" versus "dirty-needle" issue.)

Was this really a plea for curbing intravenous drug use? Like curbing the billions of annual doses of intravenous drugs by physicians and nurses?

Oh no! They are not calling that drug abuse. Drug abuse means taking "illegal" drugs—like heroin—intravenously. Clean-needle programs call for giving to drug users drug-industry-created narcotics instead—like methadone. That is supposed to be very constructive and is supposed to "solve" the problem of drug users coming down with AIDS.

We gather from the publicity that these distinguished and prestigious great minds of science want precisely what our drug companies want. I'll bet that is sheer coincidence.

8

A Fake Protein for AIDS Instead of a Vaccine?

The biggest pharmaceutical rush in history is on to exploit the AIDS scam they, their cohorts and henchmen (fellow cartel mates of the media, hirelings, government agencies, "researchers," etc.) have perpetrated.

According to the *Wall Street Journal* of June 5, 1988, several pharmaceutical concerns are trying to develop a synthetic protein perhaps an alternative to an AIDS vaccine.

"Researchers" say they have found a particular protein to which the so-called AIDS virus binds. This will thus spare cells the depredations of the virus according to the theories being advanced by the commercial progenitors of this route.

Hopefully this will supplant the need for a vaccine or, at least, be a part of the AIDS prevention program.

When the *Wall Street Journal* publicizes something, you can be sure there are high financial stakes involved. Like the nearly instant advance of over one billion dollars in the stock value of Bristol-Myers with the announcement they were creating a vaccine for AIDS.

After much suspense and manufactured panic, it does not matter what the drug establishment comes out with. Whatever it is, it will work! Because, once the modality is established and the revenue secured for now and hereafter, the gloating of success comes and the disease is conquered—if the populace is diligent about undergoing the new preventive procedures, that is. AIDS then becomes history. New diagnosis guidelines return the disease back to the approximately 20 old prior classifications.

Since the "virus" is irrelevant anyway—since it does not cause and could not cause the disease complex called AIDS, it really does not matter whether the drug establishment comes up with fake proteins or vaccines or something else.

In the case of what is called AIDS the problem will continue on as it has always been (syphilis, lymphocytopenia, immunoglobulinemia, leukocytopenia, etc.). AIDS has already

perked up bottom lines and the economy by about \$15 billion per year and the insatiably avid drug industry is looking forward to government budgets alone which will add this much.

The whole show is for the purpose of enhancing the bottom lines of its participants and has nothing to do with overcoming disease. The establishment is not about to solve a problem that yields such golden nuggets in perpetuity.

The cancer problem is a neat example of this. A "cure" has been "around the corner" for over 60 years. In the meantime the disease has gone from less than one hundred thousand deaths per year to 500,000 annually!

I suggest you live as healthfully as you can and not worry about the scams the drug establishment dreams up and springs upon us.

9 A Drug Company is Ruthlessly Pushing a Highly Toxic Drug

What would you think of a company that manufactures amyl nitrite, an immunodestructive drug that is one of the foremost causes of AIDS in homosexuals and then pushes another drug, even worse than the first, as a cure for that disease? And, better than a cure, it is characterized as a drug that will prevent AIDS in those who have the so-called AIDS virus.

In the newspapers of December 28, 1987, is an article lamenting that a very vital drug for treating AIDS sufferers needs FDA approval in a hurry. The language of the article is written in a vein that this drug is absolutely one of the most needed drugs of our times.

A quotation from the publicity reads as follows: "More than a year after it was conceived, the largest and most important clinical trial of an AIDS drug in the United States is lagging, with only half the needed patients enrolled."

Drug companies want us to redouble our resolve and efforts to get the AIDS virus carriers (really antibody carriers) right down to the AIDS clinics for an immediate test. They would like us to think that the carriers who are so reluctant to participate are inhumane, selfish and inconsiderate in holding up progress on one of the most crucial projects of our time! They would also like us to think that we must stop the "AIDS epidemic" at all costs, and that this is the key to a great breakthrough in conquering AIDS.

The objective of the tests is to get about 1,500 Americans that are believed to carry the virus to participate in this trial. The test is of a drug called AZT (azidothymidine). This is the drug that AIDS sufferers are taking at a cost of about \$10,000 per month. AIDS sufferers are declining AZT after taking it for a while, declaring they would rather die of AIDS than suffer the disastrous effects of the drug.

10 Are You Taken in by Drug Company Propaganda?

When drug companies and their government-paid representatives hand out press releases promoting drugs and drug tests under the guise of humanitarian considerations, you can be sure the public is being manipulated to accept and even clamor for drugs. These releases say that both physicians and patients are eager for the trial. Obviously, this is untrue. If patients were eager, would they not be overwhelming the AIDS clinics?

The releases did not say who the manufacturer is, but we know that AZT is a product of the Burroughs-Wellcome people.

Burroughs-Wellcome is one of the powerful and rapacious drug companies that has advocated mandatory testing of our population for the AIDS virus and its antibodies. Those who test "positive" would face mandatory treatment with AZT. The fact that AZT itself is highly destructive of defensive faculties called white blood cells is completely ignored. The fact that it kills a substantial number of those who start taking it is not mentioned.

Should it not be obvious to any thinking person that the so-called AIDS virus does not cause the condition called AIDS? When there are said to be from 1½ million to 2 million with the virus and only 5,000 to 6,000 get AIDS in a year, then it is rather obvious that the virus is not cause effective, especially when more than half of those suffering with AIDS do not have the so-called AIDS virus! When virtually all the AIDS sufferers have been heavily using drugs, is it not more likely that drugs are to blame?

Is a three-tenths of one percent rate of casualty high enough to draft everyone into a drug program? That rate is even lower—over 50% lower because less than half of AIDS sufferers have the virus anyway. So there is a better chance of contracting AIDS without the virus than with it!

From our knowledge we know that almost 100% of those AIDS sufferers who submit to chemotherapy die whereas nearly 100%

of those who give up recreational and other drugs, and make the needed improvements in their lifestyle, recover.

What do you think of promoting death-dealing drugs merely for the profit and aggrandizement of drug companies, research interests, government bureaucrats, medical interests and hospital interests? What about their indifference to the fates of those who are persuaded to submit to AZT? At the rate of death from those who take it, AIDS is less deadly. Is not this really ghouliness?

11 **How to Get Billions Out of the U.S. Government**

There was a drive in 1986 to get the government to appropriate \$2 billion per year to fight AIDS. A panel of "prominent scientists and health officials" urged our government to spend this much to "curtail the catastrophic spread of the AIDS virus."

Now this panel is called the "Institute of Medicine," an arm of the prestigious National Academy of Sciences. Like the FDA and other drug-industry controlled organizations, they exist primarily for the purpose of promoting drugs, restricting competition and, as in this case, enlarging the take of the drug industry and related interests.

We would have to have very short memories not to recall the role of this same "distinguished panel" in advocating that over one billion dollars each year be spent for a "massive war on cancer." Now in 1988, 17 years later, more people than ever are suffering and dying from cancer. (In reality, they are suffering and dying more from the heroic "treatments" of chemotherapy and radiation than the disease of cancer—most only have tumors.)

The fine point that everyone seems to miss is that no one need suffer tumors or cancer in the first place and, in the second place, the adoption of a life program in accord with our biological imperatives will cause "spontaneous remission" in most of the afflicted and give all a happier life that is practically pain-free and sickness-free.

So you see that a solution for cancer was not what was wanted—the objective was the "research" money which, at this late date, still continues to flow freely, with more cancer than ever.

When it comes to milking money from our government, the methodology is to have a "distinguished panel of scientists" to urge it upon us as an absolute must lest we fall into grave dangers. The press plays this up and a "popular demand" is thus generated as well as fear, hysteria and a cry for a solution which the "distinguished panel" has already posed.

While cancer is a very real disease that results from a life that is heavily involved with carcinogens from foods, condiments and other recreational drugs, AIDS as portrayed to us, is not. What is called AIDS was previously called lymphocytopenia and a long list of other disease designations, many in the syphilis category.

I have been receiving letters from readers who tell of those who "came down with AIDS" but, instead of going the medical route of chemotherapy, fasted and went on a diet of fruits and vegetables. I have also advised about a dozen AIDS sufferers. They became AIDS free while their compatriots who went the medical route are dying at a rate of almost 100%.

12

AIDS Concentration Camps Disapproved by California Voters!

Lyndon LaRouche succeeded in getting a proposal on the ballot known as Proposition 69. It would quarantine all who had AIDS away from the general population. Many Californians labeled this the concentration camp proposition.

While it is to their credit that 68% voted in favor of civil rights for all Americans, it is surprising that 32% would vote for such a Hitlerian measure. If AIDS were for real, you can believe me that people would protect themselves with even worse measures. But what can you expect from some old diseases that, though played up by the media as horrendous during their hey day, had sunk into relative oblivion?

SECTION X

Physicians and AIDS

I Does This Hygienist Have AIDS?

Some time ago a young Hygienist of many years called me up. He was quite desperate and distraught. He was terrified because a physician told him he had AIDS. He was afraid his new employer would discharge him.

This man, in his mid-thirties, had been required by his new employer to have a physical for insurance purposes. His white blood cell count was only 2,900 per cubic millimeter of blood. The minimum norm is considered to be 4,300 on the low side with a count up to 10,800 being considered in the normal range.

Knowing that the "norm" for the average American is pathologically high I congratulated the young man on having such pure blood! He was flabbergasted.

I explained that the body is frugal but adequate. It does not over-perform or under-perform. It marshals its resources according to need.

I told this young man some of the things he could do to get his white blood cell count up to "normal." He could eat a cooked meal, with everything being overcooked, and add some salt and condiments too. This would drive his count up to as high as 10,000 white blood cells per cubic millimeter.

I told him that only the number of white blood cells are in circulation as needed at the moment. The body, during a resting state when the blood has been purged of extraordinary metabolic wastes and absorbed toxic materials, stores the white blood cells in bone marrow, capillaries and lymph organs. They are not circulated needlessly. The body calls out "the janitors" when there is a need to cleanse the blood.

This young gentleman was an athlete, a runner, a tennis player and a raw foodist. He was totally asymptomatic of any condition called AIDS. Yet the physician had insisted that he was immunodeficient, a condition called AIDS.

I reassured this young man that the physician had never dealt with healthy people before and that, in fact, almost all the medical criteria for health are a pathological norm rather than a healthy norm.

This young man was not about to submit to treatment to bring up his white blood cell count. He has been in employment with his new employer now for more than three months.

2

Warning to Hygienists Who Patronize Physicians

Despite knowing that physicians cannot help them, that, at best, physicians can only harm them, there are many who call themselves Hygienists who still go to physicians. Some go only for examinations "to see what the score is."

All visits to this profession are laden with grave dangers. Physicians are ever hanging a heavy trip on their patrons to stampede them into the medical corral (expensive tests and modalities). And even some Hygienists are not proof against this nefarious medical "scare-the-wits-out-of-them" approach.

Almost every Hygienist and vegetarian who visits a physician for tests find their vitamin B-12 levels are too low. Their pulse is too low. Their blood pressure is too low. Their hematocrit is too low. Hygienic women perpetually have amenorrhea (the cessation of menstruation). And the white blood cell count (WBC) of Hygienists is too low! This last assessment is especially terrifying for Hygienists who do not know what the score is. An "abnormally" low white blood cell count today can automatically earn for you a diagnosis of AIDS!

99% of Americans Are a Pathological Normal

Remember that 99% of the people in this country are in some stage of pathology! Healthy people are rarely witnessed by M.D.s. They are always dealing with people of a pathological norm, and probably have never seen a truly healthy person during all their practice.

The "normal" range of white blood cells in a person who has not eaten in five or six hours is from 4,300 to 7,000. Below 4,300 is regarded as an immunodeficiency condition. Hygienists are often far below 4,300 and are being told they have AIDS!

White blood cells are the sanitation engineers that maintain the purity of the blood, fluids and tissues. If poisons, bacteria, fungi (yeast), body wastes, cooked food debris or other materials are in the bloodstream, the white blood cells recognize and engulf them.

If unusable (as in the case of poisons, cooked food debris and a small part of the body wastes), white blood cells carry them to the nearest exit if the white blood cells are not themselves destroyed in the process. They tolerate nothing that should not be in the body. A lot of what they apprehend is recycled as food, especially body wastes and organic materials including alien blood introduced by transfusion.

The body is stingy. It does not maintain faculties beyond need. In the aftermath of eating a cooked meal or taking a drug, the white blood cell count of an individual is likely to rise to between 12,000 and 20,000 "janitors" per cubic milliliter of blood! (Janitors are granulocytes called eosinophils, neutrophils and basophils, and monocytes are called lymphocytes, and plasma cells.) Physicians know about WBC proliferation. This condition is called leukocytosis which, quite literally in this case, means you have white blood cell proliferation.

Healthy People Have Lower White Blood Cell Counts Too!

After cleaning up the toxic debris or poisons, the white blood cell count decreases back to the "normal" resting range. Excess janitors are kept in reserve in the bone marrow, capillaries and lymphoid tissues. The normal resting WBC count for a pathological person who continually eats junk food, cooked foods and condiments, drinks soft drinks, teas and coffee, and uses tobacco, fermented and rotted foods (cheeses, etc.), is over 100% greater than for a healthy person who puts into his body only air, water and mostly or all raw fruits and vegetables.

Another Hygienist Diagnosed As Having AIDS

I recently met a second Hygienist diagnosed as having AIDS! This lady works with AIDS sufferers in the San Francisco area. She became a Hygienist in 1987. She was later persuaded to take a blood test for the HIV (human immunodeficiency virus) inasmuch as she was working with those suffering with the condition called AIDS. She tested HIV positive! And she already had a WBC lower than the minimum "normal" range.

On the advice of another Hygienist she fasted. She was more diligent with her diet. Then she went back to a physician to see if her white blood cell count had normalized. She was shocked! It had gone down even more, considerably lower. Moreover, her fasting and raw food diet had caused a considerable weight loss which was characteristic of AIDS sufferers too. Though she felt great and her energy levels soared, she was depressed. Later she went for yet another WBC test and the count was still lower! She told her Hygienic advisor and friend, "I have AIDS which is a one-way street to the grave."

Truth Proves to Be a Liberator

Yet she had hope. She wrote me a letter. She went on another fast. I visited her during the course of a trip to San Francisco at a retreat where she was still fasting. In the company of others I explained to her that the body is parsimonious, that it does not, in the case of white blood cells, field a work force greater than needed to maintain peace and harmony in the blood and fluids. And her need had been progressively lowered with the higher levels of health she had been achieving.

I told her that there are only two times the WBC is lowered: (1) When the need for them is lowered, and (2) When they are destroyed by the poisons they are endeavoring to remove from the vital fluids.

I also told her that, if she wanted a "good" HIV test, eat a cooked food meal with plenty of condiments about an hour or two before the test. Her WBC would shoot way up! In an AIDS sufferer it goes up little if at all—the sufferer's blood work force has been totally depleted by drugs. The janitors literally commit suicide in trying to clear the body of ingested and administered poisons.

Was she relieved! You would think she had just safely reached the valley from an extraordinarily hazardous mountain descent in the midst of a blizzard. She was overjoyed to find that everything was just fine after all.

The load off her shoulders was even greater when she learned that the so-called HIV she was supposedly infected with was totally irrelevant! I also explained that she really did not have the HIV, that she merely tested positive for the "antibodies" to the virus

which meant, if it meant anything, that she was now "immune" to the virus and the disease it supposedly causes! Antibodies, so-called, are really opsonins or specialized protein molecules that recognize nonself materials, attach to them, and, if electrically negative, render them of a positive charge so that white blood cells will readily engulf them.

If you visit a profession trained in the art of drug dispensing in behalf of a drug-peddling cartel that creates new drug markets by creating "new" diseases as in the case of AIDS, you are in danger!

Remember Dr. Shelton's sage advice: If you want to know what to do to be healthy, go to a physician. Whatever he advises, do just the opposite and you'll be just fine.

3 Massachusetts Attorney General Attempts to Ban AIDS Book

Ann Wigmore, who claimed in a book, *Overcoming AIDS and Other "Incurable" Diseases the Attunitive Way Through Nature*, that AIDS could be overcome, found herself enjoined by the attorney general of the state of Massachusetts from distributing the book.

She appealed all the way to the Massachusetts Supreme Court who found in her behalf: We still have freedom of the press in this country!

Ann Wigmore's program has merit even though a few of her practices are on the minus side. Most of her program for living and eating earns our plaudits. She advocates a raw food dietary as part of a health regime.

Yes, an individual who has AIDS can overcome his or her disease by discontinuing its causes and adopting a healthful program of living. The mighty power and intelligence that developed us into magnificent individuals from fertilized eggs always remains with us—unto death. That is all the power it takes to restore health to the body if we cease to overwhelm it with drugs, potions, cooked foods, condiments and other toxic substances.

Obviously the drug/medical dynasty does not appreciate anyone getting their customers well.

4

Is an AIDS Diagnosis a Death Sentence?

An editorial in *USA Today* of September 22, 1987 makes the statement that "AIDS is a death sentence. Everybody knows that."

As a knowledgeable person in this field I agree that getting a diagnosis of AIDS is, indeed, a death sentence. The irony of the situation is that the diagnosis itself, right or wrong, is tantamount to being condemned to death. For, with this diagnosis, medically oriented individuals submit to medical treatment.

As medical treatment is not saving anyone, then why submit to it at all? This is a most appropriate question, especially in view that those who do not submit to medical treatment and who "clean up their act" are still alive and well!

Those who submit to medical treatment invariably die! Why should this be so?

Medical treatment consists of round after round of drugs called chemotherapy. As chemotherapy will, of itself, cause AIDS (see page 288 of the 14th edition of *The Merck Manual* listing cytotoxic drugs as a cause), it is no wonder that the sufferers of conditions called AIDS are dispatched.

What is called AIDS is an end-point pathology wherein the body is unable to cope with the poisons in its fluids, due, of course, to an insufficiency of white blood cells known as lymphocytes, leukocytes, monocytes and granulocytes (neutrophils, basophils and eosinophils) and yet others. The addition of even more toxic immunosuppressive chemicals in treating the sufferer places an additional burden on the already depleted white blood cells and all the sooner causes death.

Some spectacular recoveries from AIDS are surfacing. Homosexuals are wising up to the fact that they are causing their own problems, especially with their heavy use of uppers, downers and poppers.

The recovery formula is simple. Discontinue drugs! Not just recreational drugs, but all drugs from all sources. Enable the body

to detoxify itself through fasting, a juice diet, or a raw diet of mostly fruits.

When causes are discontinued, the body can detoxify itself, repair its deranged white blood cell production faculties, and restore its white blood cell count to normal. A detoxified body has no need to conduct extraordinary eliminative crises called opportunistic infections such as pneumocystic carinii pneumonia, fevers, diarrheas, etc. When cleansed of its toxic load, the body functions normally again.

AIDS need not be a death sentence. No one need develop AIDS in the first place. And, if the disease does develop from chronic immaturation with drugs and other poisons, the discontinuance of drugs and deleterious practices with the simultaneous adoption of health-building steps will invariably restore a much higher level of health.

5

An M.D. Frankly Discloses Some Causes of AIDS

Some of the causes of AIDS were disclosed by Dr. Cory SerVaas. His revelations came during the course of advising people how to save their lives in view of the AIDS threat. The advice given is supposed to lessen the risk of AIDS, but, in reality, it will tend to cause AIDS! A copy of Dr. SerVaas's 21 steps to avoiding AIDS can be had from: Cory SerVaas, M.D., The Saturday Evening Post Society, P.O. Box 567, Dept. A, Indianapolis, IN 46206.

We print some of his 21 precautions for your information:

2. Avoid live vaccines, such as those for measles, mumps and rubella. (Ed. Note: Another precaution which says, in effect, vaccines tend to cause AIDS.)
3. Get a doctor's recommendation about pneumonia and flu vaccinations which are often recommended. They use killed-virus vaccines. (Ed. Note: Which is to say live-virus vaccines place you at greater risk of AIDS. Anytime you see the word risk in medical parlance, substitute the word cause.)
6. Do not use aspirin or other painkillers without consulting your doctor. Aspirin is slightly immunosuppressive. (Ed. Note: This is another way of saying that which suppresses pain also destroys the body's primary defense system—the white blood cells—thus leading to AIDS.)
7. Do not use illicit drugs, including marijuana and heroin, as they are immunosuppressive. (Ed. Note: Immunosuppressive means they destroy the white blood cells, thus begetting the condition called AIDS. What this stricture does not say is that licit drugs also are immunosuppressive.)
17. (Ed. Note: Salmonella infections are a danger. Do not risk them by eating rare meats or unpasteurized milk. The advice in precaution number 17 says that the decomposition by-products of bacteria tend to cause or exacerbate the condition called AIDS. Salmonella bacteria in themselves are readily destroyed.)

20. To protect your immune system, do not use alcoholic beverages or tobacco products. They are immunosuppressive. (These recreational drugs also destroy white blood cells, thus causing or tending to cause AIDS.)

Dr. SerVaas also gives some advice. Most of it has to do with hooking out physicians, taking tests and submitting to treatments, especially to AZT, a deadly cytotoxic drug that is immunosuppressive, that is, it destroys white blood cells in wholesale lots. But this very revealing and valid bit of advice is also given:

18. It is especially important that AIDS-antibody-positive persons exercise regularly, maintain a proper diet, get adequate rest, and avoid stress to help maintain the immune system. (This is to say that the so-called AIDS virus is thwarted in causing AIDS if healthful practices are observed. This is like saying that the virus is powerless against healthy people, or that unhealthful practices beget AIDS while healthful practices protect against it.)

These precautions let the cat out of the bag, don't they? They not only indict drugs as a primary cause of AIDS but they tell us that the true cause of AIDS is unhealthful practices.

6

Medical Ethics

Who said crime does not pay?

As reported in *Parade* magazine on August 16, 1987, 48 doctors in Pennsylvania and Virginia billed Medicaid for physical examination of nursing home patients whom they never examined. The most they did was show up at the nursing home.

But the cake was taken by one doctor who billed Medicaid for 127 such "examinations" in a single day.

If you think that is a serious crime against those patients, I assure you it is not. If all that the medical profession did was bill us for services never performed, the country would be a lot better off! Pity the populace of this country whom they do examine. They are always stampeding them into some operation or modality that will prove harmful or disastrous. So they were really doing these oldsters a favor by not examining them!

Like Medicaid subscribers upon whom they commonly perform surgery "to prevent strokes." A bare 8% of deaths are from strokes. Eleven percent of those submitting to such operations die as a result (per a study performed by the Rand Corporation of Santa Monica, California).

Indeed the practice of medicine is a fraud and a crime! Physicians are trained in prescribing and administering drugs. You cannot be poisoned into health with drugs they label as medicines. But you can die from them!

Health is produced only by healthful living. Even the physicians, themselves dupes of the drug/medical cartel, practice or advise, if indeed they know, properly meeting our needs for good air, pure water, foods of our biological adaptation, regular exercise, sunshine, adequate sleep and the other needs of life.

7

Are Raw Foods Dangerous to AIDS Sufferers?

In the April/May 1988 issue of *Healing AIDS*, under the byline of Keith Barton, M.D., is an article entitled "Raw Foods and AIDS." After reading this article, I am persuaded more than ever of Dr. Herbert M. Shelton's sage advice about how to live healthfully: "If you want to know what to do to live healthfully, go to a physician and get his advice. Whatever he advises, do just the opposite and you'll be fine." S. 114

In "Raw Food and AIDS," Dr. Barton warns us against one of the common infections of AIDS sufferers called MAI, an acronym for mycobacterium avium intracellular complex. This bacterium is said to be responsible for a form of tuberculosis that is often a part of the AIDS complex. Dr. Barton condemns the eating of raw foods because they are apt to contain this bacterium. Further, he recommends drinking only boiled water since high temperatures destroy the MAI bacterium and commends eating cooked foods (cooked by heat, not a microwave!). He even recommends that water used in brushing the teeth be boiled!

As thorough as he is, Dr. Barton totally neglects to mention any protective methodologies against MAI getting directly into the lungs through the air that is breathed. After all it is the lungs that are affected in tuberculosis and, as the air which is breathed contains billions of bacteria, including MAI, you would think the doctor would be thorough and suggest a way to guard against inhaled bacteria too. Perhaps we should also pasteurize our air!

Dr. Barton's scapegoat mentality and orientation sends him looking for answers where they will not be found—like looking in a dark room for a black cat that is not there. Leave it to the good doctor—he will find it!

Dr. Barton proclaims the problem in AIDS to be a weakened "immune" system. Because of this, he believes the bacteria can successfully invade and do their damage. But, instead of giving guidance to strengthen the "immune" system, he gives advice that

will further weaken it! And he leaves open the most invasive avenue for tubercular bacteria, the air passages!

5/12/87
Page
Cooked foods are a part of the problem, not the solution. Cooked foods stress and weaken the "immune" system! In healthy individuals the debris of deranged heated foods caused leukocytosis, the proliferation of the white blood cells of the immune system. In AIDS sufferers, the white blood cells do not proliferate because the white blood cell population is nearly nonexistent! Because white blood cells do not proliferate to apprehend and remove the toxic debris of cooked foods, the debris floats in the system to adversely affect the organism!

About the real causes of AIDS Dr. Barton says nary a word. He does not pinpoint nor condemn as culprits poppers (amyl and butyl nitrite), heroin, cocaine, marijuana, uppers, downers or Quaaludes, commonly used by AIDS victims, so-called. Neither does he condemn antibiotics, AZT, chemotherapy, Tylenol, Inderol, Tagamet, Lasix, Prednisone nor any of the dozens of other drugs that destroy the "immune" system.

Can Tuberculosis and AIDS Be Overcome?

About overcoming AIDS, Dr. Barton leaves us out in the cold. That cooked foods and boiled water prevent the entry of MAI into the digestive tract and thus by-pass tuberculosis is absurd. Air is easily the port of entry. Just one bacterium in the lungs with an unhealthy polluted environment will proliferate until its toxic food supply is exhausted. In the absence of "soil," bacterium does not proliferate, regardless of the condition of the immune system. This clearly reveals that internal filth is the cause of bacterial proliferation, not the bacteria! If the organism effectively eliminates its toxic wastes, the bacteria will not and cannot proliferate and cause harm.

Dr. Virginia Vetrano and I conducted the Kaesong Health Demonstration Project in Korea that involved 16 chronic sufferers of tuberculosis (TB). They all fasted. By the sixth to eighth days, the fasters were getting negative sputum readings. As far as they were concerned, they had just cured their TB. No mycobacterium, no TB, was their and the medical doctors' reasoning. The M.D.s were astounded that long-term sufferers who had lost most of their

lung capacity and who had constant high mycobacterium counts should suddenly have no mycobacteria. How could fasting kill the bacteria? In truth this weak form of scavenger life cannot exist without toxic debris to live on. Bacteria thrive on dead organic materials, such as those exuded through the lungs of TB sufferers. While fasting, the body is able to eliminate toxic wastes through the regular channels of elimination. Thus the "soil" is no longer available to the mycobacteria, and they disappear from the scene.

Dr. Barton obviously belongs to the drugging craft. He would not dare cast a shadow at anything that might jeopardize the precious drug trade of which he is a part, nor even condemn "illegal" drugs in which the system has no interest. Drugs are "good" and wholesome food is "bad," and anything like fasting which can quickly resolve the problems of both TB and AIDS is "a curse"! This is to say that the medical profession and the drug cartel have a vested interest in the sick and suffering. If everyone were to make themselves healthy, the whole medical system would crumble for lack of business, would it not?

8 Do You Have Proof that Your Diet is Superior to the American Diet?

I am reading your publications. I find them very interesting. Do you have proof positive that your fruit-and-vegetable diet regime is superior to the average American diet? I would appreciate having this evidence.

—Richard Kelly
Ronceverte, WV

RESPONSE: Yes, we do have proof positive!

First, you can prove this by your own senses! If you were in a state of nature, where would your instinctive endowments send you for food? To the grass for its small seeds and/or blades? To the weeds? To the cow's teats? After fishes, rabbits or other animals? Or would you go after grapes, melons, apples, plums, peaches, figs, berries, pears and other fruits? What would your senses dispose you to eat? Remember, in nature, you have no containers. You are not equipped with stoves or ovens. Your senses of beauty would impel you to seek that which is good for you. Your senses will unerringly direct you to your natural diet.

In nature we do not have to prove anything to the animals, do we? They naturally go for the diet to which they are biologically adapted. Only humans are perverted.

When you adopted the diet Americans eat by and large, did you ask for proof positive that it was the best diet for humans? Does the nearly universal condition of disease among ourselves prove anything to you? Does cancer, caused by carcinogens we put into our bodies, mostly in the form of cooked foods, recreational drugs such as alcohol, coffee, soft drinks, condiments, etc., prove anything to you? Do cardiovascular problems, caused primarily by animal products, especially animal fats, cholesterol and the mineral debris from cooked foods, prove anything to you? Does diabetes, a degenerative disease of the pancreas due to protein poisoning, prove anything to you? Do 56 million sufferers of

hypertension (high blood pressure) due to salt and fattening foods prove anything to you?

One thing for sure, the proof is all against the basic American diet (B.A.D.), isn't it?

In South Africa back in 1970 "scientists" calling themselves nutritionists and dietitians at the University of South Pretoria wanted to prove just how dangerous this burgeoning fad for the fruit-and-vegetable diet was. They undertook double-blind experiments and managed to include in their observations Essie Honiball who was one of the leaders of the move to fruits and vegetables with some nuts as a way of eating.

Can you imagine their surprise when those they put on the diet overcame their problems, had more energy and turned out healthier? This South African experiment was published in the *South African Medical Journal* in their February 1971 issue. You can locate the article in that issue in most large American medical libraries. You can find it listed in the Index Medicus.

SECTION XI

Book Reviews

***1* Can AIDS Be Overcome?**

Healing AIDS Naturally

(Healing AIDS Naturally by Laurence Badgley, M.D. Publisher: Human Energy Press, Suite D, 370 West San Bruno Avenue, San Bruno, CA 94066; \$14.95.)

This book cites a number of nonmedical modalities AIDS sufferers participated in and invariably became well.

Dr. Badgley's book is upbeat and positive. His message is that AIDS is a disease that is remediable. He cites case after case that undertook "alternative methods of health care" and became AIDS free.

Dr. Badgley proceeds with the theme that the sole healers are the powers within the body. He says that infections, pain and suffering are but a body crying out for a change in lifestyle so that it can do its thing naturally.

The thing that seems to be universal to the recoveries Dr. Badgley writes of is the fact that drug usage and other impairing practices were discontinued by the sufferer.

Dr. Badgley advocates good nutrition. He states that it builds a strong immune system. He advocates a medley of foods including herbs, wheat grass and mushrooms. He believes that body wisdom will use these foods to solve its problems.

To Dr. Badgley's credit he explores the role of mental attitude in health and healing. Negative and pessimistic attitudes which predominate in our country's populace contribute to our diseases and suffering.

This book is very interesting reading even if it explores a multitude of schools and disciplines and gives credence as curative substances to many things that, in and of themselves, exacerbate problems.

Especially to be commended are the illustrations throughout the book which, with some pearls of wisdom quoted from great thinkers, set a frame of mind that is positive and give much hope.

There are many entries and recommendations in the Appendix which include recipes, food tips, video tapes, gardening ideas, books to read, “Magic Potions” and so on.

Dr. Badgley performs the salutary service of telling us we *can* get well and he, as best he knows, tells us *how* to get well. Best of all, it puts the responsibility where it belongs—on our shoulders.

2 Can AIDS Be Overcome?

Roger's Recovery from AIDS

(*Roger's Recovery from AIDS* by Bob Owen. Publisher: Davar, Box 6310, Malibu, CA 90265; \$14.95.)

This book by Bob Owen relates the dramatic recovery of an M.D. suffering from AIDS—in fact, the M.D. was a terminal case.

Dr. Roger Cochran of San Francisco had been diagnosed as having AIDS. He had lost 65 pounds and was hardly able to walk.

In desperation, Dr. Cochran turned to an old friend in West Los Angeles, Dr. Bob Smith. He and Dr. Smith were physicians in the same medical unit in Vietnam. Before that, both had graduated together from the U.C.L.A. Medical Center.

Dr. Smith was a general practitioner who knew exactly nothing about AIDS. Nevertheless he succumbed to the pleadings of his old buddy for help.

Roger had all the typical symptoms: drastic weight loss, low-grade fever, congestion, diarrhea, swollen and painful lymph glands and fatigue.

Roger had been heavily using drugs—recreational drugs. He became hooked on them while under the great stresses of the Vietnam War.

Dr. Smith, from the beginning, undertook a deep study of the subject. He banished all preconceived notions from his head and avidly gave audience to all the information he could dig up on the subject. One thing became rather evident immediately to Dr. Smith: Do not send Roger to the hospital, something Roger did not want to do anyway. The death rate there was virtually 100% of AIDS patients admitted.

What was going on?

Dr. Smith did a study on the political side of the issue. He found AIDS was played up all out of proportion to its incidence and other deadly diseases were practically ignored.

One of Dr. Smith's first conclusions: AIDS is not a disease epidemic at all. AIDS does not destroy the immune system. The combination of symptoms called AIDS are the result of a ravaged immune system. "Opportunistic infections or diseases" are the body's way of getting rid of the crud a normal immune system would have disposed of.

A diagnosis of AIDS under the grave fears and threats that have been blared by the press causes profound depression along with the toxic dementia that inevitably occurs. Most such sufferers simply give up and resign themselves.

To help an AIDS sufferer there are three hurdles he must surmount:

1. Facing up to the fact that the sufferer is responsible for the condition and is responsible for effecting a recovery.
2. Ascertaining all the contributory causes of the syndrome and removing them.
3. Deciding to undertake a course that will enable the body to recuperate its vitality and to restore its immune system.

Dr. Smith turned to "forgotten lore" in *Toxemia Explained*, a classic by John H. Tilden, M.D., written in 1926. Dr. Tilden presented an irrefutable case that a single cause of disease was a chain of pathology beginning with body saturation of toxic matters which are usually dammed up body wastes and other poisons taken in but uneliminated from the system. What is called disease is but a body effort to free itself of these impairing substances.

Among Dr. Tilden's great themes: "Law and order rule the physiological realm. Disease is a body effort to restore normal function. If causes of toxemia and subsequent pathology continue in our lives, pathology proceeds from enervation, toxemia, irritation, inflammation, ulceration and induration to an endpoint—cancer. The medical world is struggling to find the cause of cancer when it is due to wrong eating and putting outright poisons into our body, many of them medically administered."

Dr. Tilden's conclusion and recommendation: "A fast, rest in bed, and the giving up of enervating habits, mental and physical, will allow nature to eliminate the accumulated toxin. Then, if ener-

vating habits are given up, and rational living habits adopted, health will come back to stay. This applies to any so-called disease.”

Dr. Smith also turned to the works of Dr. Herbert M. Shelton who had conducted more fasts than anyone else in history. From Dr. Shelton’s writings he learned how to monitor and conduct a fast.

Dr. Smith read nonmedical books on diet and their effects on health. He found much evidence to indicate the medical profession was led around by drug manufacturers and what they had to offer was hurtful and deadly rather than helpful.

In his searches Dr. Smith discovered the works of Airola that proved rather inspiring and disposed he and Roger to try natural methods.

Roger went to Smith’s home for total bed rest in a sunny room with lots of fresh air. He began fasting. He alternated between water only and fresh fruit juice. For 35 days he did nothing but drink, urinate, and sleep. His body’s energies were almost totally available for detoxification and restoration.

At the end of 35 days on a water and juice diet, his blood pressure was normal. His pain was gone. His white blood cell count was up to par. His diagnosis was symptom free. His self-esteem had returned. And Dr. Cochran’s hopes were high.

Now both Dr. Smith and Dr. Cochran are rendering aid to those who have the symptoms diagnosed as AIDS.

This volume gives the details of Dr. Cochran’s brush with death and his gradual recovery by drugless, natural methods.

I thoroughly enjoyed this book. It reads like an adventure story. In its pages we are on a nerve-tingling voyage of discovery along with Dr. Smith’s probing and reasoning mind.

AN UPDATE AND REVIEW OF AIDS FROM 1988 TO EARLY 1992

Since updating *The Great AIDS Hoax* in late 1989 for its second edition, much has transpired. While little has occurred to enhance the fortunes of the AIDS promoters other than an unceasing barrage of publicity—especially that attending the sensational announcements of Magic Johnson and Arthur Ashe that they had the AIDS virus—much has occurred on the scientific front that reveals that AIDS is, indeed, a monstrous hoax—a \$100 billion plus scam so far.

The AIDS hoax has spawned a whole new medical industry! Its impact has upped medically related revenues in our country to over \$700 billion per annum, over 12% of our gross national product.

What are the origins of AIDS?

How did AIDS get started?

At this time several drugs are muscling their way into the AIDS marketplace, notably DDI and DDC. AZT dosage was so deadly that it has been halved since 1988.

Many sources say that the Human Immunodeficiency Virus came from Africa where it is said to infect 50% to 60% of some populations. Many maintain that HIV was created in a biological warfare branch of the U.S. Army in Ft. Detrick, Maryland. Yet others blame the virus on monkeys.

I agree on one thing: AIDS was made in America by the CDC. It was launched through the Sexually Transmitted Disease division of the CDC headed by James Curran and Harold Jaffee.

What they did was to reintroduce syphilis and other old

immunodeficiency diseases with new names and in terms of terrifying import.

An AIDS Hero Becomes Tarnished

The so-called HIV was discovered by Luc Montagnier of France and introduced in America as having been discovered simultaneously by Dr. Robert Gallo even though Gallo's "discovery" came nearly a year later.

HIV was foisted onto the world without any clinical proof that it caused anything at all! Likewise, the so-called HIV test settled on specialized opsonins or antibodies of a certain configuration as being the body's response to HIV when, in fact, not a single clinical proof was made to confirm the claim.

Subsequently, the AIDS industry hero, Dr. Gallo was charged by reputable scientists and researchers as a charlatan, a fraud and an opportunist. The powerful cartel that brought him to prominence has now rescued him on the popular level. The charges against him were too well based with specifics to be refuted. But he was exonerated by the government and the press. After all, HIV is too valuable a virus to be lost merely because of fraud.

This Update Is Not a Revision

This update is not a revision of the body of the book. I knew from day one that AIDS was a fraudulent promotion of the CDC just as was herpes genitalis, legionaire's disease and others. All were easy to spot, especially AIDS, because, from day one:

1. The CDC introduced AIDS as a baffling new disease when, in fact, they had already named it AIDS, meaning, in representation, that it was contagious. They also introduced it as very deadly as well as repugnant. To do this they had to know a lot, like...

2. IDS (Immuno Deficiency Syndrome) was already long since listed in the Merck Manual. Even "acquired" which was added to the front of the acronym was in the Merck Manual for nearly 30 years! Acquired in the Merck Manual meant "acquired after birth" versus "congenital" or being immunodeficient at birth.

3. The CDC introduction followed the same pattern with which other farces like swine flu were introduced.

Hoaxing the Public: An old CDC Tactic

The CDC has a long string of hoaxes since successfully promoting some old diseases as polio. One, the swine flu, blew up in their faces. Lately they've been pushing others in addition to AIDS. They're currently promoting Lyme Disease and CFIDS (Chronic Fatigue ImmunoDeficiency Syndrome-note the similarity to a proven winner, AIDS!). Before Lyme Disease, it was tick fever. Before CFIDS, it was hypoglycemia, candidiasis, Epstein-Barr virus and chronic fatigue syndrome. Now, they've got a new promotion going: Tuberculosis!

AIDS Was, Indeed, A Conspiracy!

We writers in the health field with long memories were assured in the late 70s by CDC press releases that syphilis had been conquered by antibiotics. Little did we know that this was a prelude to the introduction of a horrible and deadly new disease. About the same time we were acquainted with a burgeoning Gay Rights movement. A new disease was introduced about this time, being promoted by powerful religious interests as GRID or Gay-Related-Immunodeficiency- Disease. This was their counterattack against the gays.

When, a little later, herpes genitalis was introduced, I spotted it as the first stage of syphilis being renamed. I wrote a few articles on the startling conversion. The new disease

had an instant 22,000,000 sufferers! As it was said to infect about half a million newcomers a year, it was obvious that the disease had been around quite some time.

In 1981 I started writing a book, *THE CRUEL HOAX CALLED HERPES GENITALIS*, wherein I pointed out that the same symptoms were previously called syphilis. I was roundly condemned by social disease groups. But before I published the book, AIDS was introduced by the CDC. From the weird way in which AIDS was announced, you wouldn't guess it was the third stage of syphilis done over in mystical garb. But its phony trappings were, nevertheless, evident.

Basically, AIDS is a redo of Syphilis

Syphilis, as such, was named after a poet who, in 1539, described the horrors of a terrible disease that manifested from about 1502, the time when the medical fraternity started using bismuth and mercury in the treatment of what is now called herpes genitalis and AIDS Related Complex (ARC) or lymphadenopathy.

The third stage of what came to be called syphilis is now called AIDS. The fourth stage, neurosyphilis, wherein the sufferer was losing his mind and endured the tortures of the damned—symptoms that Mr. Syphilis so well described poetically—has now come to be known as AIDS Dementia.

Just as the latter two stages were caused by bismuth and mercury up to about 140 years ago, so, today, the latter two stages are caused by drugs, among them being poppers (amyl and butyl nitrite), antibiotics, heroin, cocaine, marijuana, AZT, chemotherapy, cytotoxins in general and many other deadly drugs pushed by pharmaceutical interests.

Lots Of News About AIDS, But Nothing New

When we read the news, there's nothing new despite the reams of AIDS news in print everyday. It's just more of the

name old brainwashing, mostly misinformation, disinformation and, where it counts, no information.

For instance, we get scads of releases and announcements concerning AIDS conferences—of establishment approved “scientists,” that is. But did you read a single line anywhere about an AIDS conference in Amsterdam, in May of 1992?

It was in the Sunday Times of London and many other foreign newspapers. But in the U.S.A? Neither I nor any of the AIDS lookouts that I have checked with have seen a single line about it, not even in nonestablishment publications.

Talk about a monstrous scam and a thoroughgoing conspiracy, AIDS takes the cake! (There are more horrendous conspiracies and rip-offs being perpetuated in the good old USA!)

About The Holland Conferees

Two of the foremost virologists in the world attended the Amsterdam conference. These were Professor Luc Montagnier and Professor Peter Duesberg (Professors in Europe, but Doctors in the U.S.A.) With them in conference were dozens of scientific notables.

What These Scientists Are Saying About AIDS

The British Broadcasting Company created a video presenting the case against AIDS. Among those presented are some of the following scientists.

Professor Walter Gilbert said “There is no proof that HIV causes AIDS. The media publishes what the establishment wants published.”

There was Professor Harry Rubin who said “AIDS does not have a single neatly packaged cause.”

There was Prof. Gordon Stewart who cited many former sufferers of AIDS that are now free of AIDS. He further stated that many HIV positive people are no longer so. He said that HIV has nothing to do with AIDS. “Obviously, AIDS is caused by drugs.”

Professor Duesberg scorned millions of dollars in research funds to tell the simple truth as he saw it. He states that the Centers for Disease Control have dusted off 25 different immunodeficiency diseases, have called them AIDS and attributed a single cause, HIV, to them all. He asked the very pertinent question as to why sufferers of AIDS are 92% men. He has also pointed out that only 1.5% of those said to be HIV positives ever develop AIDS, even disregarding newly diagnosed positives. At the rate that HIV positives develop AIDS, it will take over 400 years for all current HIV positives to develop AIDS at the current rate after taking into account that about 60% of AIDS sufferers do not have the so-called HIV!

Luc Montagnier, the first who announced HIV as a possible cause of AIDS, stated "HIV is an insufficient factor to account for the disease."

Dr. Alvin Friedman points out that those with Kaposi's Sarcoma are never HIV infected. That HIV infection equals death is promoted by the establishment-controlled press. When challenged by scientists that HIV does not cause death in the untreated, there was no response from the CDC or establishment media.

Dr. Robert Root-Bernstein states: "AIDS has been around over 130 years by medical testimony." He also stated that researches have demonstrated that poppers are immunosuppressive.

From the above statements we may gather that the body of this book, written mostly prior to 1988, is correct in that:

1. AIDS is a ghoulish con game that victimizes all of us.
2. There is no scientific evidence that AIDS is an invariably deadly disease or that it is even deadly at all if causes are discontinued.
3. Financial interests, primarily research and pharmaceutical, dominate the AIDS scene.
4. "Scientists" who compromised themselves by cooperating with the AIDS establishment are aggrandizing

themselves richly whereas those who were honest have been blundered.

These scientists were critical of the unscientific attitude of the CDC and the AIDS establishment. If we comport ourselves as Dr. Robert Gallo demanded, we are supposed to look upon establishment "scientists" pronouncements as gospel and bow at their feet.

These nonestablishment scientists are saying that AIDS never was scientifically sound and that all research grants are self-serving. The whole hoax is kept alive by CDC, big money and the media.

Dr. Rene Durazzo who was on the videocassette stated that AIDS is a drug user's disease and is not sexually caused or transmitted.

Dr. Andrew Moss stated that AIDS is not spreading as predicted and that current statistics are manufactured for the most part.

These scientists are also saying that AIDS is not contagious in any sense. That the body is "invaded" by HIV and remains dormant for 7 to 11 years is sheer fabrication. Truly infectious agents cause problems in two days to a few weeks, not a few years.

John Lauritzen, perhaps the most logical, reasoned and scientific of all writers on the subject, states flatly that AIDS was always and still is a drug users' disease.

Dr. Ching-Lo said that AIDS is too selective of male homosexuals (who are heavily into drugs) and drug users to be a concern to nondrug using heterosexuals (and Lesbians!).

And still more AIDS scare tactics!

On June 4, 1992, the nation's newspapers front-paged the projection:

Up to 110 million will be infected by AIDS virus by 2000

The World Health Organization released a report compiled by the Global AIDS Policy Coalition at Harvard University. That report warned that the AIDS pandemic was "spinning out of control" and that the billions of dollars we're annually throwing at AIDS still leaves a gap that "is widening rapidly and dangerously."

When you learn that only 13 million are said to have the so-called AIDS virus now, virtually the same as eight years ago when HIV was introduced, 110 million seems quite a flight of fancy in making predictions. But concern yourself not! Establishment predictions are self-fulfilling, especially where only strokes of pens and reams of publicity are involved.

The announcement was peppered with this implication: if you have the so-called antibody AIDS virus, you're going to be dubbed as being "infected with AIDS." Soon we may have 110 million people taking AZT, DDI and whatever by the year 2,000, all because "they're infected with AIDS." Burrows-Welcome will make billions!

A VACCINE FOR AIDS GETS IMPETUS!

Among the never-ending stream of news releases designed to keep the AIDS panic going was the announcement that a successful vaccine for the AIDS virus has been developed. Of course the article spoke with finality that AIDS was caused by the so-called HIV.

In a weekly issue of the highly-regarded magazine, *Science*, for June 19, 1992, there was published details about the development of a vaccine that kept monkeys "immune" to the Human Immunodeficiency Virus for a whole year!

According to authors of the study, three chimps were inoculated with the new vaccine. Then all three chimps were injected with blood cells from yet another chimp infected with HIV. One year later, two surviving chimps were still free of

the virus, oops, *immune* to the AIDS virus.

Unless you know the game being played, the objectives subtly implied may elude you:

1. If you want to escape the AIDS threat, assure yourself of immunity by getting vaccinated with the AIDS vaccine. This should ensnare millions--perhaps most of the population. Who knows, schools, colleges, government, workplaces and institutions may make vaccination for the AIDS virus compulsory! x

2. The vaccine will be declared at least 99.6% effective. That's easy because more than that percentage of Americans do not have the so-called AIDS marker, the antibodies to HIV, anyway.

3. The vaccine will not be a long term vaccine. Every year you'll need a new booster injection! x

Stop the AIDS world! I want to get off. This writer was never in that world in the first place! But, indeed, you should mentally get yourself out of this monstrous scam.

AND, WHO KNOWS.....

Those folks at the Centers for Disease Control may yet come out with newer diagnostic guidelines that will hook us all into AIDS or TB!

They've now enlarged the diagnostic guidelines on tuberculosis. And there are new and more effective ways of testing for TB! And there are new ways of treating it! And very expensive ways too!

While TB is presently a part of the AIDS complex, it may assume its own identity and spawn more cases than AIDS did! And, like AIDS, they'll taunt us with: "Your Money or your Life." And, with the expensive and deadly new treatments in the offing, it will probably be BOTH!

Wake Up America! How Long can we afford to be suckers?

ANOTHER HYGIENIST DIAGNOSED AS HAVING AIDS!

Warning To Healthy Individuals Who Patronize Physicians!

Despite knowing that physicians cannot help them, that, at best, physicians can only harm them, there are many who call themselves Hygienists who still go to physicians. Some go only for examinations "to see what the score is."

All visits to medical professionals are fraught with grave dangers! Physicians often hang a heavy trip on their patrons to stampede them into the medical corral for expensive tests and modalities. And some Hygienists are not proof against this nefarious medical "scare-the-wits-out-of-them" approach. Almost every Hygienist and vegetarian who visits a physician for tests find their B-12 levels are too low. Their pulse is too low. Their blood pressure is too low. Their hematocrit (red blood cell count) is too low. Hygienic women perpetually have amenorrhea (the cessation of monthly blood flow). And the white blood cell count (WBC) of Hygienists is too low. Especially is this last assessment terrifying Hygienists who don't know what the score is. For an "abnormally" low WBC today will automatically earn you a diagnosis of AIDS!

99% of Americans are a Pathological Normal

Remember that 99% of the people of the U.S.A. are in some state of pathology! Healthy people are rarely witnessed by M.D.'s. They're dealing almost totally with people of a pathological disposition. Most physicians have never seen a healthy person during all their practice. Imagine their reaction, therefore, when, after witnessing and being assured that a normal pulse count ranges between 60 and 80, they get a Hygienist with a strong pulse of only 38?

Thus, when they've perpetually seen clients with a white blood cell count ranging from 5,000 to 7,000 as an average, conceive of

their reaction to a Hygienist with a WBC of only 2,900.

If a person hasn't eaten in five to six hours, they expect a WBC no lower than 4,300 in the "normal" range. Below this count the person is automatically in an immunodeficiency condition. Hygienists are often far below 4,300 and are being told they have AIDS!

White blood cells are the sanitation engineers that maintain the purity of the blood and fluids. If poisons, bacteria, fungi (yeasts), body wastes, cooked food debris or other materials are in the bloodstream, the white blood cells recognize, through the agency of specialized opsonins, nonself materials and engulf them. If unusable, as in the case of poisons, the body carries the toxic material to the nearest exit for expulsion. The same goes for unusable body wastes, cooked food debris and bacterial/fungal excreta that gain initial entry into the bloodstream from the intestinal tract and get through the liver and macrophages too.

But when white blood cells apprehend poisons, they may not succeed in getting them out of the vital fluids before they, themselves, are destroyed. White blood cells tolerate nothing that shouldn't be in the body even if it means suicide.

Being very provident, the white blood cell complement recycles, as food, usable materials that are engulfed. Especially is this the case with lots of body wastes which usually have lots of reusable proteins, minerals, etc.

The body is stingy or parsimonious. It does not maintain faculties beyond need. In the aftermath of eating a cooked meal or taking a drug, the white blood cell count may rise to 12,000 to 20,000 per cubic millimeter of blood! This doubling and tripling of the blood's janitorial forces is the body's way of dealing with an injurious influx of harmful substances. I will call these white blood cells "*janitors*."

The "janitors" in the blood are designated as granulocytes called eosinophils, neutrophils and basophils, and as monocytes called lymphocytes and plasma cells. Physicians know about WBC proliferation. This condition is called leukocytosis which, quite

literally, means you have white blood cell proliferation above what is considered normal.

Healthy People Have Lower White Blood Cell Counts Too!

After cleaning up the toxic debris or poisons, the white blood cell count decreases back to the resting range. Janitors exceeding the requirements of the moment are returned to reserve status in the bone marrow, capillaries and lymphoid tissues. The normal resting white blood count with a pathological person who continually eats junk food, cooked foods, rotted milks (cheeses), rotted soy and other products, condiments, soft drinks, teas and coffee, and uses tobacco, alcohol, and other drugs is over 100% greater than for a healthy person who puts into his or her body only air, water and mostly or all raw fruits and vegetables with some nuts and seeds.

Another Hygienist Diagnosed As Having AIDS

I have just come across my second Hygienist diagnosed as having AIDS! This is written in late summer of 1989. I've just released the book and I'm sorry this could not be in it for it is illustrative.

A lovely young woman worked with AIDS sufferers on a voluntary basis in San Francisco. She had become a Hygienist in 1987. She had lost weight, undertaken an exercise program and was a picture of radiant health. After two years of volunteer work she was, along with other volunteers and staff, given a blood test for the HIV (human immunodeficiency virus). She had the antibodies typical of those who are pronounced HIV positive even though no HIV was detected.

This diagnosis disheartened and demoralized her. She went the next step and had her blood tested: only a 3,400 white blood cell count. She was urged to begin treatment immediately. She cringed at the thought of taking AZT.

She went to her Hygienic mentor. She fasted for two weeks trying to get rid of the "bug." She was more diligent with her diet, even turning down steamed potatoes and veggies.

Two months after the first test, she was tested again. She was still HIV positive! And her white blood cell count had gone down to 2,900. Moreover, her raw diet and fasting had brought down her weight from a vivacious 120 to 108. She was urged to get treatment immediately as she was told she was worse and the day of reckoning not far away, even though she was feeling great and exceptionally active!

On the advice of her mentor she fasted again and stuck with the raw food diet of mostly fruits. Her energy levels soared and she felt she had licked the problem. But in August of 1989, she, along with all other volunteers, was tested again.

This test was a disaster for her. She was shocked to learn that she was still HIV positive and that her white blood cell count had declined to 2,600. She said to her mentor: "Let's face it. I have AIDS. I'm on a one-way street to the grave."

Truth Proves to be a Liberator!

Despite all the prognostications and depression, she wrote me a letter. She went on yet another fast. I visited her during the course of a trip to San Francisco at a retreat in Napa Valley where she was still fasting.

In the company of other fasters I explained to her that the body was parsimonious, that it does not, in the case of white blood cells, field a work force greater than is needed to maintain cleanliness and harmony in the blood and fluids. As she had progressively purified her body and upped her health quotient, her need for white blood cells was correspondingly reduced.

I told her that there are only two times the white blood cell count is lowered:

- (1) When the need for them is lowered, and
- (2) When they are destroyed by poisons beyond the body's ability to replace them—when the drugs come on so continuously and in such amounts the body is overwhelmed.

X I also told her that the HIV tests were phony and that:

(1) There was no clinical proof whatsoever that the so-called HIV caused anything and that, according to Dr. Peter Duesberg in Berkeley, it did not and could not cause anything! And,

(2) There was not an iota of laboratory proof that the so-called antibodies to HIV were in anyway related to HIV!

(3) Even though she tested "positive," that was irrelevant because HIV had been conclusively demonstrated incapable of T-4 Lymphocyte cell destruction, much less of destroying the whole white blood cell complement.

Further, I told her that if she wanted a good test that would please the hospital staff, she should eat a heavy all-cooked meal with plenty of condiments about two hours before the test. Her WBC count would shoot way up into the "normal" range. I explained that in an AIDS sufferer, the defensive faculties are so impaired that such a meal would send the white blood cell count up little, if at all—the sufferer's "janitors" have been so depleted by drugs, and the faculties for WBC procreation have been so impaired, that the system could not respond to provocation.

She learned, too, that the white blood cells were the body's janitors specializing in keeping the fluids pure. When poisons in profusion came into the fluids, the white blood cells proliferated to the extent of residual ability to eject the offending drugs even though this was suicidal.

Was she relieved! You'd think she had just safely reached the valley from an extraordinary hazardous mountain descent in the midst of a violent blizzard. She was overjoyed to learn that everything was just fine after all.

She was happy to learn that antibodies, if they meant anything at all, meant she was immune to HIV! For that is all that vaccines are supposed to do—confer immunity.

Take heed! If you visit a professional who has been trained in the art of drug dispensing in behalf of a mighty drug cartel—if you

patronize moronized medical dupes who believe that you can be poisoned into health with drugs euphemistically called medicines— if you patronize these medical pawns in a game where new diseases are created ever more frightening so that ever more spectacular and costly technological modalities can be employed—you are in grave danger!

Remember Dr. Shelton's sage advice: "If you want to know what to do to be healthy, go to a physician. Whatever you are asked to do, do just the opposite and you'll be fine!"

T. C. FRY

BILL LOEWENSTEIN, Editor
West Hawaii Today
75-5629R Kuakini Hwy
Kailua-Kona, HI 96740

Editor:

In late December, 1989, you published a letter from Richard Franey, Executive Director of the Big Island AIDS project.

Mr. Franey makes many statements which are askew of scientific facts. I hope you will indulge me a bit on preliminary material that, in 1981, set the stage for the introduction of AIDS as a new disease when, in fact, it's a rehash of a number of old diseases that have been in the medical nosologies (the medical dictionaries among others) for many years.

That "AIDS" has been around for many years is highlighted by the Merck Manual, often referred to as the "Physicians' Bible." On page 288 of the 14th edition you will find that it lists a number of diseases under the banner of Immunodeficiency Diseases. On that very same page in the 15th edition you'll find AIDS listed! And lo, and behold, the same diseases are now under the banner of AIDS! In the 14th edition you'll find the causes of Immunodeficiency Diseases listed as nephrotic syndrome, radiation, cytotoxic drugs, immunosuppressant drugs, lymphopenia, antimetabolite therapy, and yet others. The 15th edition says flatly that the disease, now labelled as AIDS, is caused by a virus.

There has never been any laboratory proof, during all the years of the viral theory of disease causation, that any so-called virus can or does cause anything at all. This concept has been imposed on us by the drug/medical cartel for nearly a 100 years. When it became evident that Pasteur's germ theory of disease causation wouldn't fly because it could not meet a single condition of Koch's postulates, the concept of virus (originally meaning a poison) as a malevolent submicroscopic beastly was erected. As far as the postulates go,

neither can the viral theory meet a single one of their conditions.—

For instance, the first postulate states that if an agency is causative of disease, it must always be present. In over 50% of those who have so-called AIDS, the virus is absent.

Peter Duesberg, one of the world's foremost microbiologists and a highly honored researcher with the Department of Biology at the University of California, Berkeley, was thoroughly excoriated when he pointed out a few research facts that showed that the so-called AIDS virus, HIV, did not, in fact, cause AIDS and could not cause AIDS or anything else.

The medical establishment has done a switcheroo on the rationale of antibodies too. Heretofore we were vaccinated with the rationale that the body would create antibodies to "fight off the disease" for which we were vaccinated. Now, in the case of the so-called AIDS virus, having a certain antibody configuration means we are subject to the disease. Moreover, there's not a shred of evidence that the antibodies which they're calling HIV antibodies have anything to do with the so-called Human Immunodeficiency Virus.

What is called HIV is but a genome deriving from mitochondrial breakup upon cellular death. That is, the cell and the bacterial-sized living organisms, mitochondria or organelles, resident within to the extent of some 20,000 to 30,000 are, by enzymes called lysosomes, reduced to minute particles of organic matter upon cellular death. As the head is the most protected part of the body, so, too, its equivalent in the mitochondrion, the genome which contains DNA or RNA, is the most protected, having a double protein coating called a capsid.

All so-called viruses, regardless of peculiarities, are genomes from various types of mitochondria that inhabit cells to the extent of a few thousand up to 30,000 or more per cell. The so-called cytomegalovirus, meaning big cell virus, is but the genomic debris from the immensely larger cellular nucleus.

The drug/medical establishment has a vested interest in promoting awesome diseases and creating a hysteria and panic around them.

thus driving great herds of the unaware and fearful into the medical corral. AIDS was concocted and promoted by the Federal Centers for Disease Control. They dismantled syphilis back in the late 70s and reintroduced it thusly:

1. The first stage of syphilis was reintroduced as herpes genitalis.
2. The second stage of syphilis which had been medically termed lymphadenopathy, was, AFTER AIDS was already introduced, reintroduced as AIDS-Related Complex.
3. The tertiary stage of syphilis was reintroduced as AIDS in 1981.
4. The quaternary stage of syphilis, called neurosyphilis, was reintroduced as AIDS dementia.

Then a great number of other immunodeficiency conditions were thrown in under banner of the dreaded "deadly" disease called AIDS, including a form of pneumonia called pneumocystis carinii. Mr. Franey attributes his brother's death to pneumocystis.

Pneumocystis is not caused by a virus but by a parasite called protozoa or sporozoa. This same condition often results in those with tuberculosis. And I'm willing to wager that Mr. Franey's brother did not have any virus at all and was probably not even tested for that. It should be noted that sporozoa parasites do not live on vital lung tissue, but dead or dying lung tissue.

Did Mr. Franey's brother go to a hospital under the care of physicians? Was he given chemotherapy as is the standard procedure with so-called AIDS sufferers?

Today homosexuals are suffering fewer deaths from AIDS than in 1986 when about 7,000 of them died. In 1987 the number decreased to less than 5,000 and is even less today.

The homosexual community is very tight-knit, and they pass around such information as: Poppers (amyl and butyl nitrite) along with antibiotics and other drugs cause the condition called AIDS because these drugs are immunosuppressive. Further, only those who are

entrusting themselves to standard treatment with chemotherapy are dying.] Those who have submitted themselves to Holistic practitioners continue to live, many in newfound health! The CDC refused and continues to refuse to acknowledge these wellness methodologies, as the CDC is, in fact, a government agency beholden to drug interests.

Mr. Franey's pleas to take suggested actions are without merit. All he has to do is do what I did. He can refer to old Merck Manuals to see how fashions change in disease, how old ones are converted to new ones with billions of dollars of new revenue to the medical system accruing instead of mere millions under the old disease designation of syphilis.

I operate a wellness retreat. I've had dozens of "AIDS" sufferers since 1983. They all fasted and enjoyed restored well-being. On January 15th an "AIDS" sufferer (who is also homosexual) will go home freed of his pneumocystis! He came spitting blood and terribly weak. If Mr. Franey is seriously interested, I can supply him with names, addresses and phone numbers of these past sufferers should I obtain their permission.

Fortunately, I'm not infected with the delusions and fears being fostered by the CDC and the nation's press, TV and other media. Healthful living always produces health, and unhealthful living always produces disease.

Yours for truth and the course it decrees,

T. C. FRY

IS THE FIRST STAGE OF SYPHILIS AND HERPES THE SAME?

I'm ordering an additional copy of your book, **THE GREAT AIDS HOAX**. It is terrific and has awakened me to the high jinks the establishment is pulling upon us.

On page 72 of the book you state: "The first stage of syphilis is today called herpes genitalis. What they do not tell you is that about 70% of these sufferers still test positive for *treponema pallidum*." What is *treponema pallidum*?

My interest is piqued because I developed lesions in the genital area 1-1/2 years after being raped. I have been following a fairly good diet. Just before the breakout I had been getting some sunshine for about ten days. I immediately went to the doctor; the lesions were diagnosed as herpes genitalis, and I was given the very expensive Zovirax drug. Though I hated to take drugs, I took them because I was unsure what to do.

Are you saying this is really syphilis renamed, and that the "very expensive medicine" will or will not kill this stage? I am confused. Are you saying that those who do not take medication for the first stage of syphilis (herpes genitalis) will develop the second stage "where lymphadenopathy and other symptoms called 'prodrome' for AIDS or AIDS-Related Complex will occur?" And that the third and fourth stages of syphilis are now called AIDS?

I went through nine tests for HIV, Syphilis Screen, Blood Type, T-Cell Lymphotropic Virus Typing, Hepatitis B Surface Antigen, Hepatitis Core Antibody, Antibodies and Self-Exclusion Code and Alanine Aminotransferase.

I would appreciate your clarification and comments.

(Lady's name and address withheld for obvious reasons.)

YES, MEDICAL FASHIONS CHANGE!

Yes, it is true. What was called syphilis is now called: first stage - Herpes Genitalis; second stage - AIDS Related Complex; third stage - AIDS and the fourth or quaternary stage that was neurosyphilis, AIDS Dementia.

Why the change? Simple! To garner more money from those who suffer these affections. About one in ten Americans do! Treatments before were cheap antibiotics. Now it's expensive acyclovir, AZT and a raft of new drugs coming onto the market place.

First, *treponema pallida* (spirochetes) were blamed for syphilis before 1978. But these bacteria were present only in about 70% of the cases diagnosed as syphilis. Today spirochetes are still present in about 70% of the genital herpes cases! Only what is called the herpes simplex virus II is present in 100% of the cases! And there's no evidence that what is called the herpes simplex virus II hasn't been around since the affection was named syphilis 450 years ago (named by the medical profession after a poet name Syphilis who graphically described the terrible symptoms of stages three and four in 1539). The first two stages of the affection were described in the Bible and were medically recorded over 2,000 years ago.

If spirochetes scare you, keep in mind they are normal bacterial flora for your and everyone else's oral cavities, that is, the mouth!

Being raped can cause disease only insofar as it enervates, shames and depresses the sufferer. This causes toxicosis due to failure to eliminate normal wastes plus toxins from our intake—the average American indulges about 10 to 60 poison acts daily. When the body reaches the point that the toxins are intolerable, it initiates and conducts an extraordinary cleansing crisis called a disease, sickness or ailment.

Herpes genitalis is a body-started and body-conducted process of detoxification through chancres, lesions or sores or ulcers or

papillae in the genital area. They're not one whit different (other than location) from the canker sores of the mouth. When the processes of detoxification lower body toxicity to the point of toleration, the body heals the sores and "we've had a remission."

Because we've been brainwashed into believing diseases can be passed from person to person (when even "scientists" couldn't cause them to be passed along in cold, gonorrheal and syphilitic experiments!), we always want to blame our problems on someone or something other than our own acts. In herpes genitalis we see nuns, bachelors, infants, youngsters and grandmothers developing it for the first time. This has led to the strictly anecdotal and absurd claim by the medical fraternity that these "virally-caused" diseases are caused by "viruses" that can lie dormant for 20 to 30 years or have been passed on to infants and youngsters by their mothers during gestation.

Yes, I reiterate that herpes genitalis is syphilis renamed! You should see my book, written in 1982, called: **THE CRUEL HOAX CALLED HERPES GENITALIS** which costs \$4.95 postpaid. What I'm saying is that the second stage of syphilis (now AIDS-Related Complex) will come to pass if the saturation caused by intoxicating food and drug intake, which caused stage one, are continued. And running to the doctor and being treated with drugs constitutes part of the drug intake that can escalate the disease all the way to stage four where you virtually lose your sanity! For the drugs they prescribe, as well as recreational drugs, are deadly and immunosuppressive.

The moment you stop poisoning your body with cooked foods, condiments, soft drinks and other recreational drugs and cease to take the highly toxic prescriptions of your physician, the body will start detoxifying your body and restoring it to normal health.

And the tests? You're lucky the physicians found them negative. But the tests aren't really meant to disclose disease so much as to produce revenue! One third of all medical income comes from tests which, whether positive or negative, are totally irrelevant! Healthful

practices always produce health! Unhealthful practices and virtually all medical procedures, including the tests, are unhealthful and usually produce disease.

I repeat: healthful living always produces health— sickness-free well-being at that! Diseases are produced ONLY by unhealthful living practices. When physicians tell you that you are susceptible or of low resistance, what they're really saying is that which constitutes susceptibility or low resistance is the real cause of the disease. They've been trained to blame diseases on some scapegoat other than drugs so they may serve their drug cartel masters by prescribing some drug which is supposed to provide a cure or relief.

SCIENTIST DUESBERG DEBATES MEDICAL DOCTOR

NOTE: Peter Duesberg, a microbiologist of high scientific standing, is perhaps the most vocal of the scientists who are pointing out the fraud called AIDS. An M.D. took him on. Dr. Duesberg responded with a letter that reveals the emotionalism and shallowness of the M.D.'s thinking.

"I am amazed at the coverage Prof. Duesberg gets—first the Cal Monthly, then CalReports Vol. VIII #1 'challenges AIDS theory.'

I recommend that Dr. Duesberg be sent to interview "Magic" Johnson, now HIV antibody+, but certainly to have AIDS eventually. He should tell Magic that his problem is not caused by unprotected sex, but by an over-consumption of aphrodisiac drugs, Bennys, and poppers, and a generally dissolute lifestyle.

Next, please have him interview Paul Gann's widow. He should inform her that Mr. Gann did not die because he was infected by a blood transfusion given for his heart surgery; rather, he died of a non-infection deficiency disorder caused by taking too many psychoactive drugs.

Finally, Prof. Duesberg should tackle a few families whose children have acquired AIDS from a parent and have died, and

explain his theories of drug-related deficiencies which lead to AIDS.”

Kenneth Hayes, MD, San Jose, California

PROFESSOR DUESBERG RESPONDS

Dr. Hayes challenges my hypothesis that HIV is a profoundly conventional, harmless retrovirus, unable to kill cells and to cause AIDS, with the hypothetical HIV victims Paul Gann, children with pediatric AIDS, and a prospective victim, Magic Johnson.

My reply takes into consideration that the AIDS syndrome includes 25 previously known, unrelated diseases, provided the patient also contains antibodies neutralizing HIV, and that the current epidemic of these diseases in the USA is mostly restricted to 20 to 45-year-olds, of whom 91% are males. About one-third of these are intravenous drug users and two-thirds are male homosexuals.

In view of this peculiar epidemiology and the many links between AIDS and drug use, I have proposed that all those American AIDS cases that exceed the normal low background of these diseases in the population are due to long-term consumption of recreational drugs, and to the cytotoxic DNA chain terminator AZT. The cell poison AZT is prescribed currently to over 100,000 people who have antibodies to HIV.

My hypothesis explains why AIDS, despite widespread alarm, has not “exploded” into the general population, although conventional venereal diseases are on the rise. It also explains why none of the doctors who have treated the nearly 200,000 American AIDS patients, and none of the 10,000 scientists who have propagated HIV, have ever contracted AIDS, although there is no vaccine and no effective drug against HIV.

My hypothesis also explains Dr. Hayes’ hypothetical HIV victims.

1) About 70 percent of American children with AIDS are born to

drug-addicted mothers. These children acquired AIDS at the most vulnerable time of their lives, before they were born, by sharing their mothers' drugs and diet. The remainder reflect congenital deficiencies, such as hemophilia, and the natural background of infant mortality in the U.S.

2) Paul Gann neither died from HIV nor from "psycho-active drugs." Instead, Gann died at the age of 77 from a combination of health problems not atypical of his age. At the age of 70, Gann had a 5-bypass open heart surgery for blocked arteries. He probably picked up HIV from blood transfusions necessary for the traumatic surgery, and then became antibody positive, like one million other Americans without AIDS.

3) In 1983, Gann needed further surgery for blocked intestinal arteries, and in 1989 was again hospitalized for a broken hip from falling at home. While immobilized and treated for his broken hip, Gann developed the pneumonia from which he died on September 11, 1989.

The only way to find out whether HIV or the many medical complications of Gann were responsible for his death would be a controlled clinical study. Such a study would compare the death rates of 77-year-old males, all with blocked arteries, broken hips, and multiple surgeries between those with HIV and without HIV. Not one correctly controlled study is available among the 60,000 papers published on HIV and AIDS to date.

4) Indeed, there are indications that Magic Johnson's health is compromised by "overconsumption" of aphrodisiac drugs...and a generally dissolute lifestyle," as suggested by Dr. Hayes.

It has been widely reported that Magic has led a conspicuously promiscuous lifestyle. In the November 18th issue of *Sports Illustrated*, for instance, Magic is quoted as saying, "I confess that after I arrived in L.A., in 1979, I did my best to accommodate as many women as I could—most of them through unprotected sex"—an effort that is likely to be helped by aphrodisiac drugs, such as

cocaine, particularly if money is no object.

However, Magic's most immediate problem is not his allegedly sexy past, but AZT, the highly toxic drug originally developed for chemotherapy, which he is taking as anti-viral therapy. It is hardly a coincidence that within a month on this drug, Johnson progressed from an antibody-positive basketball superstar to an AIDS patient suffering from severe nausea. Clearly, no magic can save Johnson from AIDS by AZT prescription.

(EXTRA NOTE! Dr. Duesberg is credited with originating the observation that: "AZT is a prescription for AIDS.")

AZT CAUSES CANCER!

Part I

The Los Angeles Times Service released a story on December 6th, 1989 that reported AZT made by Burroughs Wellcome caused cancer in animal tests.

**This is not surprising. All poisons are carcinogens!
All drugs are poisons.**

Burroughs Wellcome has aggressively pushed the drug AZT. They want it used pre-emptively on everyone who tests positively for antibodies to the so-called AIDS virus. At \$10,000 per year per person that should yield them a revenue of up to \$15 billion annually.

Is Burroughs Wellcome concerned about people getting cancer from using their drug?

A spokesman for the giant pharmaceutical firm told the press that, in effect, there should be no concern about getting cancer. Another AZT fan, Dr. James Mason of the Department of Health and Human Services, said that treating AIDS is far more important than any concern with cancer.

Homosexuals who took the drug stopped! They said "No thank you, we'd rather die of AIDS." This was many years ago when AZT was introduced as a treatment for AIDS without any clinical proof whatsoever of its efficacy as a curative agent.

There's another quality about drugs that's not being reported. All poisons are immunosuppressants! In short, all drugs, as well as being carcinogenic, also cause AIDS!

When poisons are absorbed into the blood stream and body fluids, the body sends out its billions upon billions of janitors in the form of white blood cells which is called the immune system. In trying to apprehend and expel the poisons, the white blood cells are themselves destroyed faster than the body can create them.

According to the MERCK MANUAL published before immunodeficiency diseases were renamed AIDS, the prominent causes of immunodeficiency were: nephrotic syndrome, lymphopenia, radiation, cytotoxic drugs (all drugs are cytotoxic or deadly to cells), antimetabolite therapy and yet other causes.

Yes, all drugs destroy white blood cells wholesale, even recreational drugs! In fact, those who get what is called AIDS are all heavily into drugs even if medically prescribed or administered.

The medically erected scam of viruses causing disease is a smokescreen to draw attention away from drugs, no matter what kind of drugs they are, as the cause of disease. The drug and junk food industries have a vested interest in their pernicious products and keep the wool pulled over our eyes about them being responsible for almost all the diseases of our time.

Further, the system has a vested interest in disease. They've built up the take from the ailing to about \$700 billion a year now (about 13% of the total annual gross national product). Surely you don't think the cartel giants are about to let a little matter like the truth interfere with business?

AZT CAUSES CANCER!

Part II

Two plus two equals four, doesn't it?

In the Monday, June 11th, 1990, issue of the Wall St. Journal appeared the alarming news that those treated with AZT are turning up with lymph cancers!

The incidence of cancer among those who took AZT is too great to dismiss AZT as a cause, even though the article was also protective of AZT.

The simple truth is that all drugs cause cancer! All drugs are carcinogens! Some are more virulent (poisonous) than others. AZT is one of the deadliest of legal drugs.

Of course those diagnosed as having AIDS may have lymph tumors, adenopathy, or cancer already! This is due to the drugs that AIDS sufferers were taking, even antibiotics!

We had a seriously ill AIDS sufferer among our guests at the retreat in late 1989. He swore he'd never been on drugs and couldn't understand why he had AIDS. Anyway, he fasted for three weeks, ate raw foods for two weeks and departed in time for the holidays.

About the middle of January he was brought back by his sister. He was in the "throes of death." Yes, he had been taking drugs. In his newly purified condition, his revitalized body reacted with intense defensive measures. Violent expulsive acts were taking place. Again, after fasting and many crises of retching, he was well. Now, six months later, he is well and has sworn he will never touch drugs again.

When the foremost "authorities" commend drugs to us for almost every disease exigency, is it any wonder that physicians and the populace are duped into prescribing and taking them. It never seems to occur that these esteemed "authorities" are highly paid

prostitutes of the drug trust.

Under no conditions are drugs health-producing. Always and ever they devitalize and harm the organisms into which they are put.

As Dr. Peter Duesberg has aptly observed, "AZT is a prescription for AIDS!"

THE OPERATION WAS A SUCCESS BUT THE PATIENT DIED!

The New York Times Service reported, on December 18, 1989, that AIDS researchers, for the first time, succeeded in putting a 41-year-old man through an operation wherein AZT was administered and the bone marrow removed in order to eradicate the AIDS virus.

New bone marrow was, of course, administered.

The operation was a big success! There was no AIDS virus detectable after the operation. Dr. Frederick Applebaum of Seattle was quoted as saying: "The result raises the hope that this could be a cure."

But the patient was most uncooperative in that he up and died on the researchers. Of course, according to the report, the AZT and the bone marrow transplant had nothing to do with his death.

Like sheep being led to the slaughter because they trust and blindly follow a male ram trained for the role, most of our populace believe what they read in the newspapers because they've been brainwashed enough to trust what is reported as true.

NEW BOOKS ON AIDS RECOMMENDED

Poison by Prescription: The AZT Story
by John Lauritsen

\$12.95 plus \$2 shipping. Order from:

ASKLEPIOS
John Lauritsen
26 St. Mark's Place
New York, NY 10003

This is a very scholarly written book that bespeaks the deadliness of AIDS treatments and how the "new" disease has been commercially exploited.

AIDS: The HIV Myth
by Jad Adams

\$16.95 plus \$2 shipping. Order from:

St. Martin's Press
175 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10010

A painstakingly researched book, *The HIV Myth* reveals there is no scientific basis for blaming the so-called Human Immunodeficiency Virus for AIDS or any other disease.

**OTHER BOOKS PUBLISHED BY HEALTH
EXCELLENCE**

THE HEALTH FORMULA

by T. C. Fry

This 160-page book presents in thoroughgoing fashion the requirements for healthful living. You'll be amazed by the case histories of those who overcame "incurable" diseases, especially a person who overcame AIDS as witnessed by an M.D.

THE HEALTH FORMULA.....\$9.95

I LIVE ON FRUIT

by Essie Honiball

Chronicling in the first person how she overcame a disastrous disease, Ms. Honiball lived on fruits nearly 20 years before writing this book. She was the subject of extensive research by M.D.s who didn't believe anyone could live so well on fruits.

I LIVE ON FRUIT.....\$7.95

HOW TO KEEP YOUR BODY PURE

by Dr. Herbert M. Shelton

As most diseases are detoxification/healing steps by the body, you can avert the debilitating and degenerating ravages of sicknesses. This book reveals the natural ways of keeping your body clean inside as well as outside.

HOW TO KEEP YOUR BODY PURE.....64 pages.....\$3.95

THE MIRACLE OF LIVING FOODS

by Kristine Nolfi, M.D.

Having been diagnosed with cancer and facing the deadly modalities of her profession, Dr. Nolfi chose instead to try the raw food diet. To her surprise she reversed her cancer. This 80-page book tells, in Dr. Nolfi's own words, how she helped hundreds overcome cancer with raw diets and restful circumstances.

THE MIRACLE OF LIVING FOODS.....\$4.95

OVERCOMING ASTHMA

by Beth Snodgrass

Beth Snodgrass stayed in a fasting retreat for a personal problem. While there she witnessed miraculous recoveries. Among them were several cases of asthma. In this book she presents case histories and her researches that reveal how asthma and other diseases are almost always resolved.

OVERCOMING ASTHMA.....64 pages.....\$3.95

THE HAPPY TRUTH ABOUT PROTEIN

by Hannah Allen

For those who're concerned about where they're going to get their protein, especially as fruitarians and vegetarians, this 32-page book puts concerns to rest by pointing out that all living foods contain usable protein, including fruits!

THE HAPPY TRUTH ABOUT PROTEINS.....\$2.95

TOXEMIA EXPLAINED

by John H. Tilden, M.D.

THE TRUE HEALING ART

by Russell Thacker Trall, M.D.

These two books combined into a single volume, present the two foremost classics of the health literature from two renegade M.D.s. Dr. Tilden clarified and brought the world the concept of disease causation by enervation and toxic accumulations. Dr. Trall tells us how the mighty power within heals us should we discontinue causes of our problems and establish healthful conditions.

TOXEMIA EXPLAINED.....128 Pages.....\$7.95

THE CRUEL HOAX CALLED HERPES GENITALIS

by T. C. Fry

Mr. Fry spotted the AIDS hoax upon its introduction as another CDC renaming of an old disease. So, too, did Mr. Fry indict the change of fashion from the first stage of syphilis to herpes genitalis. He was writing this book when the AIDS scam was introduced, a scam which eventually embraced all the remaining three stages of syphilis and 25 old immunodeficiency diseases found in prior medical literature.

THE CRUEL HOAX CALLED HERPES GENITALIS....\$4.95

ORDER FORM

Health Excellence, 1108 Regal Row, Manchaca, TX 78652-0609

Please ship the books I have checked below:

<i>Qty</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Amount</i>	<i>Extension</i>
_____	THE HEALTH FORMULA.....	\$9.95	\$ _____
_____	I LIVE ON FRUIT.....	\$7.95	\$ _____
_____	THE MIRACLE OF LIVING FOODS....	\$4.95	\$ _____
_____	HOW TO KEEP YOUR BODY PURE...\$3.95	\$3.95	\$ _____
_____	OVERCOMING ASTHMA.....	\$3.95	\$ _____
_____	TOXEMIA EXPLAINED.....	\$7.95	\$ _____
_____	THE CRUEL HOAX CALLED HERPES GENITALIS	\$4.95	\$ _____
_____	THE HAPPY TRUTH ABOUT PROTEIN	\$2.95	\$ _____

TOTAL AMOUNT OF ORDER.....\$ _____

Price includes shipping

Check or Money order enclosed.

Charge to MASTER VISA DISCOVER

No. _____ Good thru _____

Please ship to:

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____

By mastering a single simple concept.....

YOU CAN ENJOY A SUCCESSFUL CAREER IN THE HEALTH FIELD!

Train yourself at home within a few months!

Your present disposition and awareness levels probably befit you to enjoy a rewarding and satisfying career as a health specialist!

Opportunities galore exist in the health field!

You may ask: "How can you say there are opportunities galore?" Aren't there millions of physicians, nurses, researchers, pharmacists and health personnel in this field already?

The answer is no. There is virtually no one in the health field!

Yes, there are over five million said to be in the health care field. But what they do has precious little to do with health.

In truth, there can be no health care profession! Why?

Because health is a totally do-it-yourself affair for us as it is with animals in nature. No one can be drugged, treated, herbalized or surgerized into health.

Health arises ONLY from healthful practices. Disease and suffering arise ONLY from unhealthful influences and practices.

Health is built by what you do! No one else can breathe for you, eat for you, exercise for you, sleep for you, get sunshine for you nor, in fact, do anything that is healthful for you beyond guiding you to healthful practices.

If there is no health care profession, then why do you say there are scads of opportunities in the health field?

Very simply, the opportunities exist in teaching, guiding,

inspiring and motivating Americans, *those around you*, to undertake healthful practices. Tens of thousands of aware people are needed. This situation exists because our educational institutions and media are not teaching the requisites of health.

Instead we are being brainwashed into being patrons of the medical system which is a negative force on the health scene—in fact, a force of deadly impact!

The medical system rakes in about \$700 billion per year and has a vested interest in a diseased and ailing populace.

That the medical system is a health delivery system is given the lie when we examine statistics about America's state of health, especially the statistics about the medics themselves!

What do you think of heart specialists who die of heart disease at a greater rate than the population-at-large? Or cancer specialists who die of cancer more than the population-at-large?

What do you think of the medical profession when its drug addiction rate is at least 17 times greater than in the population at large?

Medical costs are going up in large part because disease is increasing. For instance, in 1971 when we started spending over a billion dollars yearly in the "war on cancer," 350,000 people were dying of cancer per year. Today, 21 years later, we're still spending more than a billion dollars a year in the "war on cancer," yet 525,000 people die annually of cancer. Is this not self-evidence that the medical system is ineffective or, to be frank, an abominable failure at doing what it has us believing that it is doing?

As a health practitioner, you can guide clients to vibrant, sickness-free well-being!

As a health specialist you will teach a totally effective health system. You'll show clients how to determine and remove causes of

system. You'll show clients how to determine and remove causes of diseases. In their stead you'll guide and inspire your clients to adopt healthful practices, primarily five simple ones having to do with food, exercise, sunshine, sleep and lovability.

If you'd like to consider a career in the health field, we invite you to send for details about your possibilities.

Just fill out and send the coupon appearing below.

AMERICAN HEALTH SCIENCES INSTITUTE
1108 Regal Row, Manchaca, Texas 78652-0609

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Institute,

Please send free details about pursuing a career in the health field.

NAME _____

ADDRESS _____

CITY _____ STATE _____ ZIP _____

Would you believe that AIDS is one of the bigger scams of the 20th century?

Would you believe...

- . . . that AIDS is an umbrella acronym for a collection of old diseases that have been in the medical literature since as far back as 1539?
- . . . that drugs, alien proteins and other poisons cause the problems called AIDS?
- . . . that the treatment of choice for AIDS is listed in the medical manuals as a prominent cause of AIDS, and is far more deadly than AIDS itself?
- . . . that Dr. Robert Gallo's widely televised picture of an AIDS virus injecting itself into a cell was an outright fraud and is nothing more than a process of cellular phagocytosis as illustrated in most physiology textbooks?
- . . . that the AIDS panic and hysteria were deliberately manufactured and disseminated utilizing improved Hitlerian big lie techniques?
- . . . that AIDS is, in almost all cases, easily reversible when its easily ascertainable causes are discontinued and health-building measures are adopted?
- . . . that AIDS can almost always be totally overcome in 20 to 40 days?

You do not have to believe anything! Why not examine the evidence presented in this book which is readily at hand?

