

тне CARBON HOAX: STORY



RULING THE WORLD WITH A BIG LIE

The Carbon Hoax: A Story

Ruling the world with a big lie

Howell W. Woltz

Copyright © 2020 Howell W. Woltz

All rights reserved.

ISBN:

ISBN-13:

International Centre for Justice Bukowińska 2, m. 194 02-703, Warsaw, Poland Other books by Howell Woltz Justice Denied: the United States v. the People (2010, 2014, 2016) The Way Back to America: 10 steps to restore the United States to constitutional government (2014) The Path: A Spiritual Guide for the Fifth Age (2015) Justice Restored: 10 steps to end mass incarceration in America (2016) Gulag Ameryka (in Polish) (2018- Europe) For information or republishing permission, contact Howell Woltz

The International Centre for Justice (+48) 604 900 183 or by e-mail at howell@justicerestored.com

DEDICATION

The Carbon Hoax is dedicated to the brave souls who dared to say the world was not flat because it wasn't.

To the brave souls who dared to say that the Earth was not the Center of the Universe because it isn't.

And to the brave souls standing against the Elite takeover of our world who scream carbon is killing us when it can't.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD

<u>1 THE CLUB OF ROME</u>

2 SO what we need is an 'American'

<u>3 creating the media monopoly</u>

4 America falls into the trap

5 The sting

6 So let's talk about carbon

7 BAck to the agenda

8 Climate change As religion

<u>9 The end game was in sight</u>

10 The Carbon hoax is deadly

11 how do we fight back?

<u>12- now is the time for proof</u>

13- genocidal maniacs

Epilogue

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

APPENDIX

FOREWORD

It was little snippets in the news several years ago saying things like "all scientist agree" that our world is burning up due to carbon in the atmosphere that tipped me off something was amiss.

Why? Because it was painfully obvious that none of the 'scientists' were ever named—and rarely to "all" agree on anything.

Plus, the whole proposition made absolutely no sense to me.

How could the most basic building block of life—carbon—be killing the world that must have it to eat, build homes and keep warm?

The human body is roughly 70% water—hydrogen and oxygen—and guess what makes up most of the rest? Carbon,^[1] so the idea that it is deadly to our world is absurd. Other than the water in our bodies, we could be properly described as carbon units.

So when I started trying to find out more about these 'scientists' who all agreed with this rather foolish notion, I couldn't find any outside of a group of grad students who participated in article reviews on the order of a handful of very politically biased professors.

What I did find were numerous political hacks, actors, tyrannical billionaires and other people with an agenda to control us, but actual 'scientists' with credentials who supported this idea, simply weren't there.

And those "Global Warming" political hacks, actors, and purchased spokespersons all began getting very shrill about it as the world edged toward the big 2016 throw-down in America—but they had to change the name of their 'crisis' from Global Warming to Climate Change.

Why? Because the Globe wasn't warming.

In fact, NASA reported that 2016 began a cooling period the likes of which has not been seen in a century.^[2]

In other words, "science" proved they were lying.

It was 'The world is burning to a cinder if you don't give us total control of everything' crowd in 2016 vs. the 'May we see even one small piece of actual evidence of your claims?' crowd, and it was neck-and-neck.

2016 was when they planned the final takeover of our world based on unproven 'climate' nonsense and they seemed very surprised when we didn't buy the hype without proof.

In fact, we elected a man who refused to consider their claims without evidence—kind of like us. Our man wasn't even a One Worlder.

He was an outsider like we had been made to feel in our own country, who started saying positive things about America, and talking about things just like we did amongst ourselves.

Now it's 2020, and they're trying again

This time it's a fight like none ever before in America's history since we threw off the yoke of Great Britain. Like that fight, this one may be to the death, as our very nation is at stake.

The One Worlders under the Obama/Biden regime allied all their national security and intelligence assets against '*us*' and our man—a now admitted fact.

They were run by the group seeking total control over our lives, of course, and it has been proven that they were co-ordinating with other intelligence agencies worldwide to target and spy on any political campaign that had even a prayer of beating their anointed one, Hillary Rodham Clinton.

The media was 100% in their pocket except a few hosts at FOX and 'the ballot boxes were stuffed by the poll places with care, in hopes that Queen Hillary, soon would be there'.

The smelly 'Deplorables'—as Hillary called our half of America—were expected to vote for the candidate ordained to destroy them.

The haters announced, "Hillary Clinton's odds of winning the presidency rose from 78% last week to 91% Monday before Election Day, according to CNN's Political Prediction Market." [3]

Not a chance in Hell, we were told, that anyone could beat her—especially some brash billionaire running around saying stupid things like "America First!"—and really seeming to mean it.

It was accepted 'wisdom' by all of them—Bush Republicans, Socialist Democrats, Globalists — that their *managed decline* of our nation in favor of other countries and people was OK with the millions of us losing our jobs.

They also thought that the owners and stockholders of an estimated 60,000 businesses that had been shuttered by their inane trade deals designed to break our nation and people, were just going to let it go.

And they really seemed to all be OK with their organized decline of the greatest nation on earth—but they had all forgotten to ask '*us*' how we felt. So we let them know in 2016.

No one in their media and political bubble realized just how many of '*us*' had grown to hate them—on both sides—for their betrayal.

They hadn't a clue how our man could make the outrageous claim on March 22nd of 2018, "We've lost, over a fairly short period of time, 60,000 factories in our country — closed, shuttered, gone. Six million jobs, at least, gone."

The CNN and MSNBC kooks had a field day with that one—until every fact-checking outfit from far left to far right confirmed he was right—and that was only the business and jobs lost between 2001 and 2015.

Back in 2016, we did not know how close we had come to their plan to decimate and destroy our nation being realized—but we believed him, because we felt the pure hatred of the Globalists

and Elites against him.

What did we have to lose? If they all hated him that much, maybe he was OK.

We knew Donald J. Trump believed what he said and we voted for him even if we weren't sure—because he was the only one talking about '*us*'.

Shocked Elites, Feminists, One World Republicans, Democrat Socialists and every Anti-American force on earth were openly weeping the night their candidate was beaten by a brash outsider—who promised to put '*us*' first instead of the rest of the world.

And they have regrouped now and doubled down for a 2020 re-match of 'them' vs '*us*'—and this time it may be worse.

In just four years, they've gone from closet Socialists, scared to call their beliefs by their real name, to outright 'in your face' Marxists.

And we've already seen in the 2018 mid-terms how far they will go to win.

Judicial Watch filed a federal lawsuit against the heavily Democrat districts where fraud was the greatest and found 3.5 million more 'votes' than voters.

Ballot-harvesting, voting dead, stuffing the rolls in Democrat precincts with illegal aliens no devious or illegal act was or will be foregone. That's because this is the end game of a 50 year plot.

The Carbon Hoax was decided on as their platform to sell the world and its people of the desperate need for their own destruction back in 1971—and it was working—right up until the big surprise on November 8th of 2016.

So I first acknowledge the victims of the Carbon Hoax and thank them for their incredible courage to stand against the Mob.

They are, in fact, our last hope—besides perhaps the man who beat the odds in 2016—supposing he can survive long enough to do it again.

'Victims' of the Carbon Hoax?

Yes. Victims. Imagine losing your job, for example, simply because your research proved beyond any doubt that the polar bear population was thriving instead of becoming extinct as we had been told would happen.

That's good news, right? Well it should be, but apparently it is not if you've been telling a lie for a decade and got caught, so no it's not good news at all.

Professor Susan Crockford is the example. She proved that the poster species of the Carbon Hoax is actually doing quite nicely for itself.

A species we were repeatedly warned in 2008 by Carbon Hoax promoter, Al Gore, would be extinct by the year 2013 when he solemnly warned that "scientists agree" that "the North Polar Ice would be completely ice free" so they [polar bears] would all drown.^[4]

A species said to be nearing extinction that is now over-populating would be good news for everyone, any sane person might think, but not to the Carbon Hoax crowd.

This was apostasy—like saying the world was not flat in 1500.

So the University of Victoria didn't celebrate the findings and evidence of Professor Susan Crockford's research in 2019, it fired her simply for telling the truth.

Why? Because her facts and evidence went against the whole Carbon Hoax narrative, to which the entire mainstream media and institutions of 'higher' learning had attached themselves with religious fervor.

How dare her!

Professor Crockford's evidence was against the Big Lie—the lie that would be used to take over the world—so she had to be destroyed.

Just weeks after Professor Crockford was fired, the small Russian village of Ryrkaypiy just 1,000 miles from where I live in Poland was overrun by 56 wild polar bears, requiring the closing of schools and stores as well as the cancellation of the town's 2020 New Year celebration. ^[5]

So how was this clear evidence we'd been lied to about polar bears becoming extinct—for over a decade—presented in the legacy press?

The mainstream propaganda machine, CNN, blamed it on 'global warming', believe it or not. Crazy, right? We have more arctic bears because it's getting warmer?

And I ask the reader to keep this example in mind, as this situation is repeated over and again.

A lie is told. Proof appears in the form of hard, scientific evidence, photos, counting by air, electronic tracking of tagged pairs of polar bears and counting their offsprings, and their offsprings' offsprings and so on for a generation.

Yet CNN tells the public that the ice was probably too thin for the polar bears to stay on it, so this unprecedented population simply went on 'walk about'? ^[6]

Just one little problem.

While they were telling this observable lie, there was far more 'polar ice' than in 2008 when Al Gore made his bizarre evidence-free prediction that it would all be melted by 2013!

Polar ice had increased—significantly—since 2008.

Yet Gore and gang's wild predictions still stand. No apologies, no "Oops, guess we got that one wrong," it's just damn the torpedoes, full steam ahead—and the media is still lying about it in spite of the facts.

So what are the facts about polar bears?

"Data from conservation groups and the government show that the polar bear population is roughly five times what it was in the 1950s and three or four times what it was in the 1970s when polar bears became protected under international treaty."^[7]

So let's get this straight. There were an estimated 6,000 polar bears when Al Gore was a kid in knee-breeches.

70 years later, while Al Gore is spending double-digit millions buying beachfront property with money he made as Ringmaster of the Carbon Hoax, the polar bear population is estimated to be 31,000. [8]

That's a 500% increase.

But if you tell the truth about it, as Professor Crockford just proved, you'll still lose your job, even though the Carbon Hoax promoters are buying up beachfront mansions in places they direly predicted would be under the ocean—but no one is left to call them out for their brazen hypocrisy or their proven falsehoods.

Every one in academia and the managed press, are goose-stepping in unison.

Carbon Hoax Commander-in-Chief Gore spent \$8.9 million on his new beachfront shack last year, while former President Barack Obama—perhaps the greatest Carbon Hoax hypocrite besides Gore—just spent \$11.75 million on his new beachfront 'vacation house' on Martha's Vineyard.^[9]

Sounds like being a mouthpiece for the Carbon Hoax is good work if you can get it—but if you had any integrity at all, would you be using the money you made lying about coastal cities being under water, to buy up property you told everyone would be under water as well?

It's not just America where the crazies are ruling the roost

Emperors worldwide are running around naked, bragging on their 'new clothes' but few are wise enough to see it—and almost none are willing to call them out on it.

This disease of believing in fairy tales over what is before your own eyes is not limited to the U.S. and Europe, it has spread to Down Under as well.

Imagine a scientist, for another example, at the very top of important research on Australia's Great Barrier Reef being fired—even banned from institutions and professional societies—for simply being unable to find any scientific link between changes in Australia's reefs and alleged 'global warming'.

I say alleged, as temperatures have not increase even a jot since 1998, and have, in fact, gone down approximately 1.5 degrees centigrade.

Yet that's what happened to Dr. Peter Ridd, without challenge to his data or research, just because he told the truth.

He was scrapped by James Cook University for "not behaving collegiality [sic]" because he would not join the chorus on the Big [Global Warming] Lie and its alleged effects on the Great Barrier Reef that was because of other causes—real causes—like pollution.

Dr. Ridd wasn't even challenging the Carbon Hoax myth—he was just saying he could find no link between two different occurrences—alleged warming that was not happening and Barrier reef damage that his research showed actually was.

That's how crazy academia has gone in face of the most ridiculous claim in human history.

Or how about award-winning Chemistry Professor, Nicholas Drapela at Oregon State University, who was fired without warning or reason for encouraging his students to apply the scientific method to any suppositions—even assertions of the Big Lie—because as a professor of science, that was his job?

That was it. He was sacked for teaching the scientific method Sir Isaac Newton taught the world after the last Dark Ages—which I fear we are headed for once more under such tyranny of thought.

Not even science allows science anymore under rule of the Carbon Hoaxers.

Fast forward and it's 2020 when the end game of the One World trap was supposed to be sprung to put us all under their boot and almost no one remains in academia who will tell the truth due to the very real dangers of doing so.

No one in the media will question the Big Lie, and certainly, no one in the halls of government power are willing to take a chance.

Even industry has learned to be quiet about the obvious or face the mad crowds (like the MFW—'Mad Fucking Witches') groups who use economic terrorism to punish 'non-compliant' companies who don't affirmatively back the Hoax.

But there's a glitch.

The people are waking from their slumber.

They've been lied to so much and for so long that they're starting to elect people who don't lie to them about what they see before their own eyes.

Many are simply looking around after 30 years of being told catastrophe was just around the corner (in 2000, then 2008, then 2013, then 2020) and realize that not a single prediction of gloom has come true or even close.

Not a one.

The world is in fact a better, cooler, cleaner place.

Welcome to 2020.

1 THE CLUB OF ROME

The story begins as many do, with very powerful people meeting privately in very elite settings to decide what to do about us.

Yes, 'us'.

Pick your name.

The monarchs called us slaves, subjects and peasants in centuries past.

After we brokered enough freedoms from the bastards to start innovations and businesses of which they were completely incapable of creating themselves (beginning in the early part of the 13th century) we started piling up wealth as they never could.

We invented things. Then we made these things. We then traded these things, and became rich combining limited freedoms with property rights, which is still the simplest formula for making a society prosper anywhere on this planet or any other.

These non-doers quickly realized they needed '*us*' to bail them out of their own stupidity quite frequently, in fact—as they were leaches and made nothing themselves, contributing even less than nothing to the world except pain and war.

So some of '*us*' then moved up a notch.

We were given the ignominious title of *bourgeois*, but still disdained as a lesser and far lower class than the throat-slitting royals, kept in power by better men—which was also '*us*'.


We financed and fought in their foolish bloody wars, as they had nothing unless they stole it, and little stomach for actual combat themselves.

We're still pitching in heavily on our own destruction, unfortunately. We should have just let them sink centuries ago.

Next, came the bourgeois 'intelligentsia'

After the world was afloat with jobs and wealth creation, along came the rich-boy club of Marx & Engels to tell '*us*' how repressed we were.

Everyone but their own class became either the hated '*bourgeois*' that supported their foolishness, or *The Proletariat*, but those wealthy offsprings of the *bourgeois* like Marx & Engels—who also did nothing, called themselves the 'intelligentsia'. Then came Aurelio Peccei.



Aurelio Peccei-The godfather of the club of Rome

How nice. The *bourgeois* who invented things, made things, and gave us jobs were vilified by the elites and their own offsprings (the intelligentsia) but we finally had a name of our own that didn't sound as demeaning as 'slave', 'subject, or 'peasant'. *The Proletariat*.

Pretty cool, right? It means the same thing, basically, but we're moving up.

But even in 1968 at the rich oak tables of the Villa Farnesina in Rome where the wine was flowing like the dark waters of the Tiber enroute to the Tyrrhenian Sea, it was what to do with 'us' —whatever name they give us— that was on their minds that night.

A beautiful April evening in Rome

Aurelio Peccei, the Godfather of the Club of Rome, was an Italian industrialist.

He was from a common family, but moved up to *bourgeois* in post-war Italy by becoming someone who made things work.

He built things, for example—which was beneath the 'elites'.

But Aurelio Peccei was also desperate for a higher social rank more in line with his inflated ego.

Aurelio actually had visions of becoming an 'elite' himself.

Who are the 'elites', you ask?

This is a self-appointed class worthy of little merit who usually did even less to earn it.

Elites are like pigeons that hang out in a park. Throw out a little grain and they gather, then strut and preen around cooing as if they created it.

Little they say or do is of consequence—yet they expect others to feed them and clean up their mess.

But what a presumptuous little snip this Aurelio Peccei was.

Perseverance was his hallmark, however, so he became quite dedicated to proving that he had the ruthlessness inbred in the existing group of 'elites' to join their ranks.

So how does one 'impress' the most ruthless of all people?

The whole Hitler/Mussolini thing was a bit too recent back then for another genocide to prove his merit to the world's ruling class, so Aurelio wisely chose another want-to-be elite of the new intelligentsia, Alexander King, to assist him from the newly respected arena of 'science'.

After 'scientists' showed the world how to murder millions with a single blast, they moved up even ahead of the financiers or *bourgeois*.

So King, a self-described Scottish 'scientist', helped Aurelio hatch a plan so diabolical that their ruling class audience could not help but love it—and them.

It embodied all of the favorite elements of the ruthless class: lies, eugenics, massive transfers of wealth from '*us*' to '*them*' and one more little detail we'll get to in the next chapter.

You see, the *bourgeois* rank assigned to Aurelio Peccie, had the benefit of being the most hated—but most needed class—in the Western World, especially for the so-called elites.

The ruling class cannot survive without them to finance extravagant lifestyles through grants and generally traitorous causes (including wars), but they still consider the *bourgeoisie* little different from those of us plowing and planting the fields of their inherited estates.

But being the bank of last resort—and for many a downtrodden count, duke or earl, the only bank of resort—a certain extraction of social acceptance must be granted to those who fund their indolence.

And so, that evening in Rome was populated by enough run-down aristocracy and potentates to give it the patina of relevance to the rest of the ruling class.

In fact, due to the ruthlessness of both the plan and its ultimate 'solution', Aurelio quickly sealed his spot within their ranks.

A more ruthless bastard was quite hard to imagine.

He built the Club of Rome— and the 'elites' came.

2 SO WHAT WE NEED IS AN 'AMERICAN'




I[•]m not even going to tell you what their complete agenda was that April night in Rome, though I know it from their public statements and writings.

We haven't gotten to that point in our relationship as writer and audience.

You probably don't trust me yet so let's keep going with the 'narrative' for a bit, buttressed only by provable facts or the Founders' own words.

Like me, at first you won't believe it, though it's all on videos with these horrible people saying what they intend to do with '*us*' in their own words.

But their plans for worldwide domination could never have come to pass without a really important American.



HERE's TED WITH wife, "Hanoi JANE" FONDA. He was the perfect American for the CLUB OF ROME.

At that time, there was no greater man for the Club of Rome than Ted Turner, head of Turner Broadcasting and world champ sailor-come-from-little (his father ran a billboard advertising firm in Georgia).

Without a blink, Ted was 'in' because like Aurelio Peccei, he sought to accede to the Elite ranks from what they still considered the peasant class.

"Billboards? And you want to rub elbows with us Elites?"

"That will cost you dearly, Theodore."

And while they took Ted Turner's millions, he took to their narrative of global rule like a duck to water.

What was their agenda? Well since it is just below in a link to Ted's own words, I'll tell you. They wanted to get rid of 'us'.^[10]

Yes, Ted said it. "We must reduce the world's population by 95%"

So what do we do with this information? The naysayers will challenge me by saying, "but Turner later lowered that number to 90% maybe even 80%."

Great, so just how do/did Ted Turner and the Club of Rome intend to 'get rid of' even half of '*us*' after saying it had to happen back then or we'd all be cannibals in 30 years? [Yes. Ted Turner also said that on camera in the link below].

Let's keep that thought on the back burner, but realize that they have never changed their thinking that there are way too many of '*us*' but just the right number of 'them'.

In 1968, there were roughly 3.5 billion souls on the planet. By 1973 when Ted Turner signed up and gave the Club \$20 million to buy his way in, there were almost four (billion).

How did they propose to eliminate 3.2 billion of us lesser beings?

First of all, as any world dominator knows, you have to take control.

But how do you get billions of people and hundreds of nations to go against their own selfinterests into what may be a terminal relationship for everyone except those at the top of the social pyramid?



Catchy logo showing Just the part of the globe the club of rome wants to control—which is all of it and all of 'us'

This group also created the Club of Madrid and Club of Budapest, but we'll use the original name in this tome—meaning all of these and subsequent groups agreed that 'fear' was the tool they would use to have us voluntarily accept their chains once more.

How? You scare the hell out of everybody, while claiming to be the only entity able to save them from the non-event you intentionally manufactured.

The Club decided that the seeds of raw fear had to be planted in every heart and cultivated.

This would not be overnight, and many accomplices would be required.

But tell the Ted Turners of the world that this is the view of the 'Elites', and you'll have to beat off accomplices in the media and governments around the globe with a stick.

They will all want in, no matter how seditious or horrifying the cause.

But first, Peccei and King realized their program must be laid out clearly in a dossier. By 1971, *Limits to Growth* was complete and it was made public in 1972.

Their dossier informed the world that despite all seeming well, it was on the very cusp of disaster—unless the reins of rule were handed back over to the Elites.

In this document, according to Alexander King himself, they decided "the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill,"^[11] as restated and republished in his book (1991), *The First Global Revolution: a report by the council of the Club of Rome*.^[12]

Governments, NGOs, environmental groups, the media and the richest on the planet—even royals—joined up

It was time for this unrealistic *self-rule* nonsense to end, the Elites told us, in order to save ourselves from the disasters they'd picked out of a hat to create and fulfil by whatever means they thought necessary.

Not much of a sales pitch for global genocide, but the Elites loved it.

By the time well-known Dutch society journalist Willem Oltmans met with the founder, Aurelio Peccei, to bring the Club of Rome and its mission to light, the elite gang included members from across all ideological and political boundaries.

Not only had the world's first news consolidator, Ted Turner (and his money) joined Peccei as a 'founder', but also Carroll Wilson (American Academy of Sciences), Jermen Gvishiani (Soviet Academy of Sciences), Edouard Pestel (Volkswagen Foundation), Alexander King (by then working with the OECD) and Hugo Thieme (Nestlé) were in the inner circle.

With the scent of an elitist cabal brewing, political and social lemmings of all stripes jumped on board.

Proximity to unadulterated, centralized power is an intoxicant for those who produce nothing themselves.

In his last year as president of the European Commission (1972), for example, Sicco Mansholt declared to his colleagues that "the Club of Rome message had to become 'the fundament of the European Union'."^[13]

That became the essence of the EU from that point forward—putting the Club of Rome and its agenda center and foremost to that organization for all intents and purposes— as it remains today.^[14]

The first world summit of the Club was held in the Soviet Union in 1990—the perfect setting for global rulers to gather and plot how to subject the world to harsh Socialist control under their management—and convince the people to do it of their own volition by voting away their freedoms.

Mikhail Gorbachev, President of the Soviet Union and head of its Communist Party, hosted the event, which was also quite appropriate.

By 2009 when the Club of Rome held its second world summit, 800 of the world's would-bemasters gathered in Amsterdam to discuss the vexing problem of what they would do with '*us*' after they succeeded.

By then, their pipe dream over wine in Rome decades before was appearing ever more likely.

America was under the rule of one of the Club's puppets, thanks to key supporter, billionaire

George Soros, who became active in world politics in 1979 and made the takeover of the American White House his goal, beginning in 2002, as we'll discuss in Chapter 4.

Even Her Majesty, Queen Beatrix, of the Netherlands was on board by the second world summit with the group's plan to rule the world even if cost Trixie her throne.

I suppose being a part of the cabal who would rule the world was more attractive to a powerdiminished royal than ruling a small waterlogged country your peers would soon claim was destined to become ocean bottom.

The chosen plan of using a created crisis Alexander King specifically named as 'Global Warming' back in the 1970s, was in its fourth decade by the 2009 second world summit, and the brainwashing of '*us*' was progressing nicely.

Soros puppet, Barrack Hussein Obama, was firmly ensconced in the White House, and the One-World agenda was all on go with not only Democrat Socialists, but Bush-Republicans, RINOS (Republicans in Name Only), as well as One World Globalists recruited and purchased from both parties.

The mainstream media was already consolidated and under their control, so the gates to the kingdom were open.

Prospects for convincing the world to give up its freedom and property to the control of the ruling class had never been more likely—it was actually happening even in rich places like Venezuela.

"Venezuela was once the richest, most stable democracy in Latin America. What happened?," the headlines blared, but no one in Washington, DC was listening.^[15] They wanted Europe, America and Australia to be Venezuela—as long as they got to run the place.

Venezuela followed the Club of Rome model of takeover and government to a "T"—and is now in chaos, starving—murdering its own people with 85% of businesses shuttered.

A great test case on what was/is to come—wholly ignored by the intentionally consolidated media under the seemingly direct control of the One World power-mad cabal.

Absent a break-up of their brainwashing machine, (a.k.a. the mainstream media), the world was well on its way back to feudalism with Lords in the manor house once more, and serfs ('us') back in the fields where they still believe us to belong.

The insidious plan of The Club of Rome is not only alive—it is thriving.

3 CREATING THE MEDIA MONOPOLY

Bringing Ted Turner into the fold was a brilliant move by Peccei and the Club of Rome. In hindsight, it is even likely that this is the reason for their success.

It is hard today to adequately describe the world-changing effects Ted Turner had on the media and news industry for those not alive at the time.

News in America, for example, was a local affair with small affiliate stations on one of just three national networks, ABC, NBC, or CBS.

The local news was followed with national and global highlights by reporting giants like Walter Cronkite, David Brinkley and Chet Huntley.

It was nearly impossible to figure out which party or candidates these men supported as they simply told us what had happened rather than their opinion of it or what we should think about those events.


Ted Turner single-handedly changed all that when he launched CNN (Cable News Network) as the world's first 24-hour news channel in 1980.

In order to get the world trained to just one way of thinking, it would be critical that news outlets use the same script with partial spokespersons giving not only the news, but telling us what to think about it or through what political lens to view events.

Great progress in this effort had been made during the the Cold War with the Soviet Union, laying most of the groundwork for the media takeover.

Both governments of the Soviet Union and the United States had begun using media companies to influence public opinion internationally.

'In a 1977 Rolling Stone magazine article, "The CIA and the Media," reporter Carl Bernstein wrote that by 1953, CIA Director Allen Dulles oversaw the media network, which had major influence over 25 newspapers and wire agencies. Its usual *modus operandi* was to place reports, developed from CIA-provided intelligence, with cooperating or unwitting reporters. Those reports would be repeated or cited by the recipient reporters and would then, in turn, be cited throughout the media wire services.'^[16] The CIA's media influencing project was code-named 'Operation Mockingbird'.



Instead of shutting mockingbird down, the cia expanded its reach

After the 1975 Senate hearings under then-Chairman Frank Church and the revelation of the extent to which the CIA was controlling media and its reporting, CIA's Mockingbird program was ordered closed.


But that never happened, as you will learn ahead.

The CIA clearly had close ties to those in media, but Ted Turner no doubt advised his Club of Rome compatriots that in order to control the message, they must consolidate and control the media messengers—all of them.

And did they ever do that

By 1983, alarms sounded over the rapidity of their media consolidation.

Famous *Washington Post* journalist, Ben Bagdikian, first published his bombshell book, *The New Media Monopoly* in 1983 after a wave of massive mergers began—including Club of Rome principal, Ted Turner.



Ben bagdikian tried to warn us in 1983

The first edition of Bagdikian's book scolded the consolidators (which he assumed to be right-wing conservatives) and warned us they had already reduced media in the United States from thousands of outlets, newspapers, radio station, film studios and publishers to just 50 major companies.

He quite rightly noted that such was a danger to our nation and world. What he did not know was The Club of Rome and Leftists seeking world domination were behind the media takeover, not right-wing conservatives.

But Bagdikian was absolutely correct that too few voices and viewpoints were too easily controlled and could even be co-ordinated to always deliver the same message—but he was completely ignorant of the true evil behind this intentional move.

As a survivor of the Armenian genocide in Turkey, he would have been horrified that another such 'cleansing' was already underway in the same lifetime.

In 2016, just before Bagdikian's death, he published the last update to *The New Media Monopoly*.

He glumly reported that his worst fears had come to pass:

"Five global dimension firms, operating with many of the characteristics of a cartel, own

most of the newspapers, magazines, book publishers, motion picture studios and radio and television stations in the United States."^[17]

And who was the number one consolidator at the top of the heap? Club of Rome member, Ted Turner.

He started the merger mania and fuelled the fire even after being bought out himself.

Turner Broadcasting (CNN) merged with an already combined Time (Magazine)-Warner (Brothers), consolidating movies, magazines, publishing, cable news, TV, radio and entertainment into one massive firm.

By 2003 this was the world's largest media firm—now further owned by global communications giant, AT&T.

Since Bagdikian's death, Walt Disney Corporation has purchased the FOX corporation's film operations from Rupert Murdoch—so with Viacom, Bertelsmann (based in Germany) and AT&T's Time Warner, just four corporations control over 80% of the world's media.

Yes. 82% of the *entire* world, to be precise.

Add in NBC and General Electric, and just six corporations control 92% of America's information.

And they all say the same damn thing, which should be no surprise.^[18] If you take a moment to look at the short video in footnote 18 (below), you'll see that this consolidation of thought under Mockingbird, has reached the bizarre.

Every single talking head says the same words across the board and nation—about the Easter Bunny. They're programmed and in lockstep.

If Mockingbird and the Club of Rome has the media trained to say the same words about a fictional rabbit, think how they control the message about real things—or can sell pure fiction, like Global Warming—as truth?

But these few companies are still competitors, right?

No they are not. Bagdikian warned us not to confuse these companies as 'competitors' in any sense of the word.

According to a study by Aaron Moore in the March/April 2003 *Columbia Journalism Review*, Fox and Disney [since merged except parts of Fox News], Viacom, and Time Warner "have forty-five interlocking directors." ^[19]

Bagdikian goes on to explode the 'competitor' myth, "To cite only one example, News Corporation shares a financial interest with its 'competitors' in 63 cable systems, magazines, recording companies, and satellite channels in the United States and abroad."^[20]

All in all, this handful of inter-related media giants have 141 joint ventures, which Bagdikian rightly says proves they are 'business partners' instead of competitors.

But who owns them?

A good and very important point, but also quite disappointing.

Just 15 of the world's richest men and women is the answer according to Ben Bagdikian's extensive research.

All billionaires, all Left-Wing supporters of One World Government, and all on the same page, now that Mickey Mouse has taken over most of 'FOX'.

And not surprisingly, all of them sing the same tune about 'Global Warming' which was recently renamed 'Climate Change' when it became clear that the planet was not warming in the least—it is actually cooling.

Yes. The temperature hasn't gone up for 20 years—it's dropped.

So also yes, the masses have proven themselves prone to mass hysteria, but they're not completely stupid.

And Climate Change is an apt description of what has been going on for oh say a billion years.

We call it the weather.

As we get closer to the sun in our elliptical orbit, it's warmer for a few decades or centuries.

As we get further away, it's cooler.

Weather. That's what we can always expect.

4 AMERICA FALLS INTO THE TRAP

S o the European Union signed on to the Club of Rome agenda and made it 'the fundament of the European Union' way back in 1972, but the Land of the Free—as America was known as recently as my youth—took much longer.

The messaging was unclear as to why we must surrender our freedoms and property to a snooty clique of foreign, effete, elites who can't even fight their own wars, and that remains a great question.

Why would anyone do that, especially America?

And now that I'm speaking as an American, 'elite' will always be with a small 'e', as we don't recognize or allow them under our Constitution.

Peccei's original co-founder of the Club of Rome, Alexander King (by then, Sir Alexander) did little to clear this up for my countrymen who described themselves as 'rugged individualists' throughout most of their history.

Americans wanted to know why perhaps the greatest, freest nation on earth would voluntarily subjugate itself to the same elites and war-mongering idiots from whom they escaped centuries before.

A fair question, given that the endgame was our elimination.

And I'll share that with you now that we know each other a bit or in case you did not watch Ted Turner admit it. They plan to 'eliminate' 90% of '*us*'. You can go back and watch him say it if you wish, or here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eRIfqxQEdEk

Did Ted Turner say he wanted to line '*us*' up and shoot us like other genocidal manics who preceded him and The Club of Rome have done?

In all honesty, no, he did not

But neither did any of their predecessors. It's generally a better idea not to tell your victims what you're going to do to them—until you get them under your boot.

And it should have been clear to any thinking person that their excuse for this subjugation was an invented hoax. In fact, Sir Alexander King was rather open about it.

He wrote, "In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill....All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome."

"The real enemy then," he added, "is humanity itself."

And though none of these listed items are the dangers they were claimed to be, the rhetoric and rabid efforts to subjugate '*us*' under the Hoax were relentless—and it is clear that this diabolical plan is actually working.

The Clintons were on board with Globalism through their mentor, George Soros, but surprisingly—at least to me—was when George H.W. Bush went to the dark side in 1990 and joined the Globalists suggesting America was destined to be ruled by the United Nations rather than 'We the People' who formed the federal government.

He had no such right or power to even suggest it—but he did.

On September 11, 1991—10 years to the day before the Islamic attacks on America, George H.W. Bush said in the speech available in the footnote:

"We have the opportunity before us to forge for ourselves and future generations a New World Order—an order where the Rule of Law, not the law of the jungle—governs the conduct of nations.

"When we are successful—*and we will be*—we have a real chance in which a credible United Nations can use its peace-keeping role to fulfil the promise and vision of the U.N.'s founders."^[21]

He lost my vote that night, and subsequently, his bid for re-election.

But we knew in our hearts even then, America was being sold to the highest bidder by both political parties, clearly already under control of the cabal or no such speech would ever have been made by a Republican president.

Not only were those inside the cabal like Ted Turner involved in this traitorous treachery, it was the Clintons, the Bushes and other politically driven power mongers on all sides of politics making way for Obama.

After that, the Big Lie just got bigger, and no one questioned Sir Alexander King's "pollution, Global Warming, water shortages and famine" plan to have us voluntarily put ourselves back in chains.

So let's look at the 'dangers', starting with Pollution

I lived in New York City when the Hoax was launched and took their warnings quite seriously. The Hudson River, just blocks from my apartment, was an open sewer. It was disgusting.

Trash floated on its surface, including large appliances from time to time, so the words were very credible when the warnings began about '*us*' doing harm to our planet.

We were—or at least the wealthiest and most politically influential were trashing our environment—but the public kept buying their products, even after they dumped their affluent and waste into our rivers, so we were complicit and to blame as well.

We could have quite likely drowned in our own swill and spawn, absent change, so I was initially a believer.

Chemical companies, foundries, meat-processing industries—were feeding their waste directly into the Hudson River making it uninhabitable for any life— and it stank.

This was not only unsustainable but unacceptable and I became an outspoken critic both as an outdoorsman and human being that such a beautiful river was allowed to be so abused without penalty to those destroying it.

Changes started taking place in 1972 under President Richard Nixon, with the passage of the Clean Water Act, regulating the discharge of pollutants into our common surface waters such as wetlands, coastal areas, streams, lakes, and rivers like the Hudson.

And guess what? The last time I was in the United States back in 2015, fishermen were on the banks of the Hudson River catching wild salmon—just blocks from my old apartment in the middle of Manhattan.

Trash along the nation's highways was also a disgrace. It was everywhere.


The ad below with the Stoic Native American quietly shedding a tear on a roadside back in 1969—showing fast food garbage landing at his feet from a passing car—was rightly credited with turning the tide.

America began cleaning itself up and never stopped.

As of 2019, the waters and air of my home nation are cleaner than ever in memory—no help from or thanks to the Club of Rome—or Ted Turner.

So they focused on 'Global Warming'

As recently as high school in 1971, the great threat was said to be global 'cooling'. I well remember our biology teacher, Mrs. Hamilton, revealing in hushed tones the findings of a NASA scientist.



awareness shifted without the club of rome

His research indicated we might be living in igloos by the year 2000 as the global cooling that began in 1945 was continuing in 1971, and could only worsen, he assured the nation.

Oddly enough, this was the same decade the Club of Rome began pressing Alexander King's new narrative of global warming—but blaming it on just one species—again, '*us*'.

As a scientist, Alexander King surely knew that the cycle of cooling was coming to an end as we edged nearer to the sun, so the prescient narrative of impending doom must go in the opposite direction to 'ride the wave'.

The Club's plan was to convince us our world would turn to a cinder unless we yielded our freedom and sovereignty—to them—and announced their new reason for putting us under their control via the U.N.

They called it—Global Warming—just as planned in their dossier in 1972.

U.S. Vice President, Al Gore picked up the torch in the 1990s and the Global Warming Hoax took on larger-than-life proportions.


The unsubstantiated theory was forged into a hoax

The data used to strike fear in our hearts was manipulated, to say the least, well beyond any supportable reality, such as simply leaving out the hottest periods in human history, including the entire Middle Ages era of 'warming' and the hottest years in history—even of the 20th century.

All of those years—and centuries—were simply cut from the data.

They were 'inconvenient truths' to borrow Al Gore's tagline, making the whole conjecture a concocted lie.

Leaving in the entire data set would prove the opposite of Michael Mann's thesis—that the world was burning up, beginning in the year 2000—showing the exact opposite—that the spike was part of a natural and recurring cycle.



Source-Mann, Bradley hughes 'hockey stick' graph. But guess what? We didn't burn up in 2000....or 2020

To make the point of how drastic warming was during the Middle Ages, it was so hot for so long that when King John signed the Magna Carta in 1215 at a little place called Runnymede, England, he was surrounded by vineyards in a wine-producing region.

Yes, you read that right. King John was in wine country—growing hot Mediterranean style grapes—in what today, 900 years later, is foggy, soggy old England.

Try finding a glass of English Chardonnay today. You'll get laughed out of the pub for even asking.

But when the data manipulation fraud was outed, Al Gore and company simply doubled down, attacking those who raised even the most reasonable of questions.

The manipulated numbers of Michael E. Mann who is credited with producing the clearly erroneous graph and predictions above (hint again—we did not burn up in the year 2000) were questioned by leading climate researchers such as Stephen McIntyre and Ross McKitrick in

2003, but these men were so beaten down and scandalized by the cabal, they quickly withdrew their criticisms.

The consolidated media was walking at heel of their new masters by then.

Any who objected to the Big Lie by 2003, in fact, were roundly criticized, humiliated publicly and their careers were in many cases destroyed.

They were called 'climate deniers', which is still the worst of curses today as Carbon Hoaxers say that now indicates inherent racism.

How did they make that leap, you ask? Not sensibly, since there is no 'warming' or sea level change as they predicted.

The new MSM narrative is that the invasion of our nations and countries by Socialists from Central America and Muslims under strict instructions by their prophet to destroy us—80% young men of fighting age—means we're racist for not wanting to lose our cultures and nations to them.

So while the Emperor was naked, everyone sang *Kumbaya* in harmony about his beautiful new clothes—and the chorus is now reaching its crescendo despite all indicators proving the Carbon Hoax to be what it is—a Big Lie.

Not only had the consolidation of all media into one interlocking cabal been turned into a weapon, it was deployed like a robo-cop to quell dissent.

Free speech died in 2003, and though there was no 'warming' since, the Big Lie was fortified every single day and those questioning it were driven from the public square.

By 2008—the year Al Gore predicted New York City would be underwater—the perfect storm had arrived and their Manchurian candidate was tanned, ready and funded for his coronation.

In fact, we'll get into that just ahead in Chapter 5, but first let's finish with Sir Alexander's list of predicted 'man-made' problems they would use as cause for us to accept their yoke and live only as ordered at best, or at worst, not live at all.

What they are saying is that we are no longer needed in the cities and nations that were 1) built with our money, 2) built with our labor, 3) built with our exceptional talents in design and construction; all while the elites sat on their asses plotting to 'reduce our numbers'.

Water shortage is next

It is worth keeping in mind that we are drinking the same water today as the dinosaurs drank 65 million years ago. There's no more, but there is certainly no less.

It gets used, eliminated, evaporates in pure form and returns to earth from the skies, or sinks through layers of purifying minerals into underground rivers to be used again and again.

History and facts have proven Sir Alexander's myths used to 'scare' us were wrong once more.

Since 1910, in fact, water withdrawals have increased seven-fold, while the population has

increased four-fold.

It's not worth killing 80-90% of the world when there is no shortage, Sir Alexander.

So excuse number three for our mass elimination by the elites is gone as well.

We're not running out of water and as fast as technology is advancing on water purification and de-salinization, we have oceans of water—literally.

And what of Famine?

The Club was wrong on this last reason for the Apocalyptic decimation of the deplorable class as well.

The latest available information for 2013 shows food production was enough to feed 10 billion souls that year—yet there are only 7 billion of us.

Any misallocation of food resources can be lain at the feet of those who want to eliminate us and our power as individuals to own property and pursue our own course in life, Venezuela being the perfect model for what happens when that is not the case.

All one has to do to turn a rich, stable democracy into a ravaged, starving, horrifically violent place, is to 'vote' in Socialism.

So the famine excuse is also a lie, and the alleged problem of 'too many of us' is taking care of itself.

Yes. Our numbers are now in decline—naturally

There is good evidence that our numbers are reversing in every demographic except the world of Islam, where clerics openly encourage their subject families to breed ever more jihadists for genocide of 'non-believers' as required in the Quran.

European nations are bracing for a plummet of 50% over the next few decades, while China's one-child policy is already causing incredible difficulties and imbalances in their workforce and economy.

Robotics are not just a business, it is survival for their nation, as they have so few workers in the population pipeline—and with the present coronavirus pandemic—that problem could worsen by millions.

Entire villages are empty in Spain and even beautiful cities in Italy, such as ancient Sambuca, built by the Greeks, are offering homes for as little as 1 Euro to encourage people to come and live there.

My wife and I went in 2019 to Sambuca, named "The Most Beautiful in Europe" as recently as 2016 and we wanted to see it.

It's not too far from where we live in Poland, so we thought we might buy one of the old villas for vacation.

But we couldn't find anyone to ask. The town was empty—even the beautiful cathedral. It was wide open, but no people—not even a church mouse, much less a monk or priest.

Even the United States government acknowledged in December of 2019 that its population is now officially in decline.

This is not an anomaly, this is what happens when nations are successful and people realize fewer children give them time to enjoy their prosperity.

But they still want to kill us?

Yes. Even though the more carbon dioxide our planet produces, the faster and quicker food grows to feed our shrinking numbers—they still want '*us*' gone.

Other than as waiters, drivers, cooks, shoe shine boys, growing their food and fixing their things, they don't like us. It's as simple as that.

We're producing more and better food for the world as our numbers dwindle—and outside jihadist breeding grounds, our numbers are actually in free fall.

Yet in spite of our air and water being cleaner than ever in modern history, no global warming, and plenty of food and water, the stage has been set for our capitulation to the New World Order—and our 'elimination' based on their own criterion of our worth—to them.

No more Stephen Hawkings. No more Hellen Kellers. No more Albert Einsteins, in fact, as even he was determined to be 'deficient' in math (because he was so bored), so not even Einstein would have likely made 'the cut'.

How will they do it to us?

They won't. We will do it to ourselves using a weapon the One Worlders now call Democratic Socialism.

They will scare us into voting to put our own necks under their boot and on the block.

You've got to admit, the bastards are clever.

And the cabal's hand-picked candidate, Barrack Hussein Obama, was ready to take us down that road, funded and directed by his mentor, George Soros—who knew a little about mass extermination from his days as a Nazi collaborator.

The stage was set for Obama's successor to finish the job, but their plan to destroy the people's candidate have failed—so far.

Yes. Something happened.

The people started waking up, but it took Barrack Hussein Obama to make that come to pass —as we saw it happening right before our eyes.

And this was as far from an accident as possible. It was a plan beginning in 2002 at the Soros beach mansion.

So how did a small-time Socialist organizer become President of the United States?

Very good question and one enormously important to our conversation.

According to Human Events magazine, "The first shots in the Obama Revolution, the highly

unlikely campaign that elevated an inexperienced former Chicago community organizer born in Hawaii and raised in Indonesia into the Oval Office, were fired at the Long Island Southampton beach house of billionaire hedge fund manager George Soros."^[22]

It was an admitted fact that Soros created a 'Shadow Party' back in 2002:

"And the Shadow Party would eventually become the Obama Administration. And George Soros would become the master puppeteer for the President of the United States and the leader of the free world."^[23]

The article goes on to indicate how critical this piece of the Global rule puzzle was to George Soros, who by then was completely aligned with the Club of Rome agenda and funding many of its operatives in both Europe and America.^[24] In fact, you'll find in the Appendix that the Club of Rome lists George Soros as one of its 'influential members', just under Founding Member, Ted Turner.

"Without Soros and the Shadow Party, Barack Obama would be, at best, a senator from Illinois attending social gatherings with domestic terrorists Bill Ayers, Bernardine Dohrn and sitting in the pews of black liberation theologist Reverend Jeremiah Wright. At worse, he'd be an unremarkable and unheard of state senator. Instead, Barack Obama is the President of the United States."^[25]

Soros' official support of Barry Soetoro (renamed Barack Hussein Obama after college) began two years after the Shadow Cabinet was established in 2002.

It was determined by the Soros' Shadow Government that Barack Obama—who by then was in the Illinois state legislature—was the man to take their agenda to the next stage towards its completion.

Barack Hussein Obama was groomed for and financed for an unlikely bid as Senator of Illinois, but with the Shadow Government's backing and assistance of their Democrat political machine in Illinois, he handily won.

After just 143 days as Senator—with the full backing of George Soros Barack Hussein Obama announced he was running for president of the United States.

That's a record for human history to my knowledge to bounce from unknown Socialist organizer to running for president of the United States.

In an interview with Judy Woodruff in May of 2008, Soros explained why he dumped Hillary Clinton—whom he had previously supported and switched to his new horse, Barack Obama.

"Obama has the charisma and the vision to radically reorient America in the world," Soros said and then added "this emphasis on experience is way overdone."

Those words were prophetic.

Barrack Hussein Obama so 'radically reoriented' and divided America that he took it to the brink of disaster.

But, he made his friends and mentor very rich—using the Carbon Hoax ruse of the Club of Rome to do it, as we'll explore ahead.

5 THE STING

Remember that all these Climate Hoaxers—Soros, Al Gore, Barack Obama et al, have multimillion dollar mansions sitting on the very waterfronts they told us would be under water over a decade ago.

But you don't hear that on CNN thanks to their intentional consolidation and harnessing of the media. Not a peep out of them or anyone else with the ability to reach the masses.

In fact there is no one remaining in media who would point out their ridiculous hypocrisy.

Any who would or did have been fired.

All any thinking non-programmed individual needs to know is the fact that the leading perps of this Hoax are smugly grinning to themselves at what gullible fools we are, while sitting in their beachfront mansions preaching to us that we are soon to be subsumed by the oceans beside their pools and porches where they are relaxing, likely sipping a libation.

"What fools these deplorables are!"

And on this point, I must agree. Fools we are and fools we have been.

But I contend what these wicked people are doing isn't common hypocrisy because they all knew they were telling us lies since the late 1990s as part of the set-up.

How do I know this? Because global temperatures still haven't risen even a tiny tot since 1998.

In fact, the average has dropped according to the same Heartland Institute that created the petition signed by real scientist who know that carbon can't harm us as we'll discuss ahead and NASA announced that the drop between 2016-18 was the largest in a century, returning us to pre-1980s levels.

But they have such control over the narrative, I'll bet you didn't know that, and with all of them living in beachfront palaces, you'd think at least one journalist would ask about that.

So where did Soros recruit Barrack Obama, for example?

At his oceanfront mansion on Long Island, which Al Gore swore in 2008 would be under water by 2013.

Where is Al Gore's oceanfront mansion? Well the new one is on the waterfront in the Montecito area of Santa Barbara, CA, right on the Pacific Ocean which Gore also told us would be under water by now.

And his waterfront mansion has the carbon footprint of a small battleship, but who in the

consolidated controlled media is talking about these facts?

Gore's mansion has no solar panels or even simple solar water heaters for his heated swimming pool.

He has a spa, fountains, six fireplaces, five bedrooms and nine bathrooms, while Al spews tons of carbon from his private jets and SUVs going to and fro from Carbon Hoax gatherings around the world.

How do you spell Hypocrisy?

But if all of these fancy beach mansions had any chance of being 'under water' as they still tell us, the price for Al's new digs would not have been almost \$9 million prices would be headed for zero instead.

Any thinking person should know that Global Warming was a hoax by simply looking up where they all live.

The prices of these beachfront mansions are not going down in value either oh, no. They're still going up all over the world.

It was a lie, and they knew it when they said it and have proven so by living on those shores.

And not to be left out of the waterfront property farce, the second Hoax Champion, Barack Obama, just outspent Al Gore by millions as mentioned in the Foreword, for his beachfront mansion on Martha's Vineyard—also slated to be ocean floor over a decade ago by them both.

So how stupid is everybody marching in the streets and screaming to lose their freedoms by giving up their rights and property because of a hoax that no one will discuss, and whose propagators are proven frauds and non-believers in their own nonsense living in beachfront mansions?

How absolutely stupid are people who let a 16 year old child with self-admitted mental issues lecture them while globe trotting, riding on yachts and driving a \$250,000 Tesla across Canada, for example?

Yes. I just watched Little Greta and her Soros-funded Antifa parents drive away in the car on the internet in snow-covered Canada, just before having the coldest temperatures in recorded history.

A quarter million dollar car, re-charged by burning coal, while the globe is cooling, to talk about Global Warming. Kind of stupid, don't you think?

So if you still believe there is any truth to the Hoax, then you are probably beyond help as we have to have a few dendrites and neurotransmitters still firing to be able to save you.

If this was real or even had a possibility of truth, I'd be on their side like back in the 70s, but it's not. It's a scam.

It's like the old movie, *The Sting*.

So what was the Sting?

For those too young to remember the wonderful film by that name, it is a con job.

It's putting on the appearance of one thing while something completely different is actually going on behind the scenes.

That's what the Carbon Hoax is really about. It's a con-job whereby this inner circle is making billions if not trillions off the scam, while setting us up to lose our freedoms to them.

And I can prove it, thanks to a fellow investigative reporter, Peter Schweizer and his bestseller, *Secret Empires: How the American Political Class Hides Corruption and Enriches Family and Friends*.

Schweizer describes, for example, how President Obama's inner-circle made millions and billions on energy stocks, while concurrently trashing them publicly even claiming in public speeches that owning energy stocks was 'a moral hazard'.

Yes. And this was no accident or oversight like buying multi-million dollar mansions on the oceanfront you warned everyone else would be underwater years ago they made millions and billions by lying to your pension funds.

At night, Obama's inner circle, including a man his staff described as 'First friend' Marty Nesbitt; hedge fund manager, Tom Steyer (now running quite lamely for president); and the man who made his presidency possible George Soros were buying up those same companies' shares when they hit rock bottom because they were being directly criticized by the White House and Obama's inner circle.

It's like spreading rumors around town that a house you want to buy is termite-infested, then buying it when the price drops because of your lies.

While Soros' Open Society Foundation was funding speeches and speakers to trash these companies by day, his Soros Fund Management, LLC was sucking up shares in those same firms by night, making billions in the process.

While Tom Steyer was literally preaching to endowment fund managers to dump their billions in coal companies, gas companies, offshore drilling platform builders and support industries as a 'moral hazard', his own hedge fund, Farallon Capital, was scooping up shares in those same firms like crazy, when their price tumbled—after the endowment funds did what he told them to do—dump their shares.

They did, and Tom Steyer made billions by buying them cheap, and selling when the price rebounded.

Why did those shares rebound in price? Because our world runs on energy and there is not a single 'renewable' that works consistently other than power dams which destroy our rivers and nuclear, which puts the Left's knickers in a twist almost as bad as President Trump.

And Steyer recently admitted at the MSNBC Climate Forum in September of 2019, that he still has "some holdings in the hydrocarbons"^[26] the same companies he trashed and then bought while Obama was in the White House and he was carrying water for him.

Steyer's sitting on them as the shares make him even more billions.

This is not benign behavior. This was pre-planned crime at the highest levels and Steyer is probably running for president to try and prevent being prosecuted for stock manipulation or worse.

And the Hoaxers' answer is to put us under their corrupt power and control, claiming that is the only way to divert disaster.

They just think you and I are stupid, and after watching Extinction Strike crazies lying in streets over polar bears whose numbers have quintupled and seeing record cold temperatures sweep my home nation as they did last month while little Greta drives her coal-powered Tesla, tells me they may be right.

It reminds me of an old philandering guy from my hometown, Frank, whose wife, Dulce, staked out the Blu-View Motel where he was having an affair with the hotel's restaurant hostess.

Dulce, the wife, saw Frank come out of a motel room with 'her'.

When he got home late that night, she confronted him.

He denied it vehemently and said, "Are you going to believe me or your lying eyes?," never giving an inch.

And she eventually believed him—at least until he divorced her and married the hostess from the motel.

How long will you believe these power brokers and wealth aggregators who are lying to you for profit and power, rather than your own eyes and what is before them?

It's time to develop some critical thinking skills

When CNN, Al Gore and even the U.S. Government lie to you and say that 97-now 99.9% of scientists agree that Global Warming is real and it's caused by humans, do you do any fact-checking on your own?^[27]

Probably not, but you need to start doing so now. It is actually a matter of survival not because of 'global warming' but because of their solution for '*us*' the people who do most of the living and dying on this planet.

Suppose I told you that in 2012, there were already 31,000 real scientists many with Ph.D. credentials in relevant fields and Nobel prize winners who signed a petition saying there was no scientific evidence to back up the wholly unscientific Carbon Hoax claims that 'global warming' was 95% anthropogenic in other words, caused by '*us*'?

The truth has been out there since 2012, but you haven't heard it over the shrill media lies to the contrary, have you?

"Since 1998, more than 31,000 American scientists from diverse climate-related disciplines, including more than 9,000 with Ph.D.s, have signed a public petition announcing their belief that ' there is no convincing scientific evidence that human release of carbon dioxide, methane, or other greenhouse gases is causing or will, in the foreseeable future, cause catastrophic heating of the Earth's atmosphere and disruption of the Earth's climate."^[28]

Included are atmospheric physicists, botanists, geologists, oceanographers, and meteorologists, and that number has grown significantly since then.

Where did you hear that in the Mockingbird media?

You didn't, which should tell you something.

So let's do some critical thinking of our own.

In reality, this bogus 97%, 99.9% ever-changing number of 'scientists' who are in the scheme was gathered by grad students working for the Carbon Hoax gang, but that's another story.

So how did they arrive at this number then? Students reviewed papers on various subjects without ever interviewing or even discussing views of those authors with them. Zero confirmation in other words.

If they thought an author leaned in their direction, they were counted—scientist or not.

So if the 31,000 real scientist who question the 'science' of the Carbon Hoax claims amounts to just 3% (using their 'low' number of 97% who are in agreement), then the Hoaxers are telling you they have 999,100 'climate scientists' on their side.

That's almost a million. Where is their petition with these signatories from real, credentialed scientists? And out of that million, why could not even one of them make it to the political event in San Francisco last year, billed as the Global Climate Action Summit?

Because there is no 'science' or scientist behind it, perhaps? And where is their 'petition' saying it's true?

First of all, there isn't a petition and the only 'scientists' who made up the hockey-stick graph back in the nineties have not only been proven wrong, they've been proven to have fraudulently and knowingly manipulated the data by removing the warmest periods in human history.

They've got zero evidence of their claim, so they went 'Hollywood'.

So how did they come up with this bogus statement and number?

"James Powell found 69,406 authors who have published on climate change in peer-reviewed scientific journals. This suggests that there are 69,406 climate scientists who were actively working in 2013 and 2014."^[29]

From just 24,210 actual articles, <u>jamespowell.org</u> extrapolated that the number of 'scientists' claiming that there is actual global warming and that it is human-caused was 'evidence' that, as he now claims, "Global Warming Consensus Exceeds 99.9%."

I kid you not. These Carbon Hoaxers can't even do math, and they seem very confident you won't, but let's fact check them.

If there were 69,406 'climate scientists' publishing on this topic in 2013 and 2014—without any confirmation—and over 31,000 real scientist said there wasn't any evidence of their claims and signed a formal petition with The Heartland Institute the year before in 2012 putting their reputations on the line, then someone is lying.

And if this 31,000 scientists are just .01% as the Carbon Hoax gang now claims, then the number of 'scientists' on their side would be thirty-one million [31,000,000] making climate

science one of the most populated professions on earth.

So we just caught them lying to us—again—and CNN wonders why their ratings tanked 26% in just one year.

And it's not just 69,406 liars, it's entire nations and all of the media.

So don't be like Dulce when she saw Frank coming out of the motel room with the hostess. Believe what you see, not the lies Frank tells you to the contrary.

6 SO LET'S TALK ABOUT CARBON



What is carbon?

A ccording to Patrick Moore, the co-founder of one of the very first ecology groups, Greenpeace, "Carbon is the building block of life."

That profound conclusion of a real climate scientist who helped start the environmental movement decades ago, speaks volumes.

In fact, Patrick Moore left his own organization when it became so politicized that truth was left in its wake. He quit the movement he founded.^[30]

To put the Carbon Hoax in context, imagine suddenly one day, the whole world being told that water was harmful.

When you turn on the news, it's there on every Mockingbird channel. "H₂O levels are rising! Our waterlogged planet is doomed to irreversible harm unless we reduce H₂O!"

When you go to school, teachers begin telling you the same. "The world will end because there is simply too much H₂O."

There is no scientific basis for this and it does not make sense to you intuitively that a natural compound that makes up over 70% of your own body—and has not changed in amount in a billion years—is a deadly poison. But you buy the story, because you say, why would they all lie?

The rich and powerful begin speaking at conferences, but where are the scientists?

Hollywood ex-hookers and wanna-be 'intellectuals' who make a living pretending to be someone else get juiced screaming at you for driving your car, while jetting off to the next photoop 'Climate Change' conference in a private jet—alone.

Seriously. If you are still buying this bullshit, you probably need help.

You're told that 99.9% of them agree with the talking heads, politicians and Hollywood set, but you are confused because actual scientists are rarely if ever heard from or present.

If you've had any of these thoughts, then you still have a brain and God bless you for it.

Politicians a-plenty. Hollywood actors and actresses showing fake concern posing for the cameras, chorus deep.

But where are the real scientists? Where are the Ph.D.s and Nobel prize winners?

Global Climate Action Summit-San Francisco, CA-2018

So let's try out our new critical thinking skills and dissect what happened on September 14, 2018 at Governor Jerry Brown's Alarmist convention in San Francisco just before Nancy

Pelosi's nephew moved in to mis-manage the state.

Let's start by reviewing the speakers at the Global Climate Action Summit:

Nancy Pelosi-Democrat Speaker of the House of Representatives

Science experience-none

Al Gore-Democrat Vice-President under Bill Clinton and film maker

Science experience-none

John Podesta- Democrat Party Chair

Science experience-none

Van Jones- Left Wing political hack at CNN and Obama n'er-do-well

Science experience-none

Alec Baldwin- Hollywood Leftist and Saturday Night Live Trump-Hater

Science experience-none

Harrison Ford- Actor

Science experience-none

John Kerry- Democrat Secretary of State under Barrack Obama

Science experience-none

Can we pick up a theme here? All people with an agenda or non-science related fame, but not a single scientist.

So, we know where the actors, activists, and political hacks were on September 14th, 2018, but where were the 99.9% scientists they referenced in their speeches?

Why weren't any of them there?

The scientists were across the bay in Oakland

The Heartland Institute (<u>www.heartland.org</u>) and The Independent Institute (<u>www.independent.org</u>) held their own conference to combat the alarmism of the Global Climate Action Summit the same day.

Their speakers were:

James M. Taylor- Senior Fellow at Heartland Institute and science journalist for Forbes magazine.

Jay H. Lehr, Ph.D.- Science Director at Heartland Institute—specializing in hydrology and drought.

Dr. Richard Keen- Emeritus professor of meteorology and climate science at University of Colorado.

Tom Harris- President, International Climate Science Coalition

Dr. Stanley B. Goldenburg- Meteorologist in Miami, who studies hurricane activity (including flying into them).

Dr. Terry Gannon- Research Fellow at Independent Institute in charge of Climate Science.

Yes. All scientists, and not a single actor, alarmist or political hack, yet which one was covered by the press?

Take a wild guess.

So let's get down to the Carbon facts—a simple start

It's difficult to distill 15 billion years of creation down to a page but we'll give it a try.

Our earth was a molten ball of matter—largely carbon—hurtling through space after an explosion known as The Big Bang approximately 15 billion years ago.

It cooled into rock—a lifeless mass with occasional eruptions as the volcanic swells spewed molten carbon from earth's core into the atmosphere in incredible amounts and covered the planet's surface.

Once there was enough carbon—or as Greepeace founder, Dr. Patrick Moore calls it, "the building block of life" in the atmosphere to support plant life—that developed and came into being from amino acids combining and becoming ever more complex.

I'll not debate where that spark came from to make it a living thing as that is irrelevant to this conversation, but without carbon, there would be no plant life or any other for that matter—and 'life' evolved from it.

These protozoa and simple plant forms took in the carbon dioxide (the same thing the Hoaxers now claim is killing us) through a process known as photosynthesis and in the process, produced O₂-oxygen-as part of that exchange.

Eventually there was enough oxygen in the atmosphere generated by the plant life in the water and earth's surface that it gave rise to other life forms which took in the oxygen from the atmosphere that was created by the plants and exhaled CO₂.

This symbiotic relationship between the carbon-using plant world and the oxygen-breathing animal world worked quite nicely, covering the planet with a rich variety of amazing plants and equally amazing creatures that used the plants as food, while concurrently spreading the plants' seeds in their waste, with the nutrients they needed to thrive.

That is still how it works today.

Without carbon, there would be no plant life.

Without plant life, there would be no animal life—including 'us'.

More carbon = more food.

That's what the real scientists were talking about in Oakland while the actors, hacks and Soros-pols were screaming alarmism in San Francisco.

They were scratching their heads trying to figure out how the alarmist crowd could credibly

claim that life on our planet would end if carbon levels were not reduced.

It simply made no sense to scientists—or thinking people who took high school biology.

Carbon was and is the basis of life.

But atmospheric carbon levels have increased!

True, just as they have off and on throughout our planet's life and that has always served us well. In fact, the levels of high carbon saturation coincide with the periods of greatest development of life on earth.

And while the Alarmists screeched when the parts per million (ppm) of carbon in the atmosphere passed the 400 level recently, they failed to inform the public that data gathered from ice core samples show "concentrations of CO₂ in the atmosphere were as high as 4,000 parts per million (ppm, on a molar basis) during the Cambrian period."

"About 500 million years ago [carbon dropped] to as low as 180 ppm during the Quaternary glaciation of the last two million years." ^[31] Much of the earth's surface was covered with ice, and many forms of life died—when carbon was less accessible.

So let's explore this Cambrian Period with 4,000 ppm

At 10x levels of current carbon in the atmosphere, how could anything live?

According to The National Geographic, things lived quite well. In fact, it was the most prolific era of species development ever known, including vertebrates [indicating a spine], which with the exception of most of our politicians, is our own category.

"The Cambrian period, part of the Paleozoic era, produced the most intense burst of evolution ever known. The Cambrian Explosion saw an incredible diversity of life emerge, including many major animal groups alive today. Among them were the chordates, to which vertebrates (animals with backbones) such as humans belong." ^[32]

So how is it that the Alarmists who want to take over our world and rid it of most of '*us*' because of carbon, forget that this element is our main building block?

And how is it that 'carbon' is the problem when it was at its highest level during our own species' period of creation?

Well, it's not because of carbon that they want to eliminate 'us'. We can be sure of that.

7 BACK TO THE AGENDA

As can be seen from the list of actors, pols and hacks speaking at the Global Climate Action Summit in San Francisco, this is a political movement of the Left, just as The Club of Rome designed it to be but certainly not 'science'.

The Elites are right on script. All they're missing is any evidence at all of their outlandish claims that the world would be crispy fried a decade ago due to carbon, as that is a provable lie.

Their goal was and is to take control of our freedom and property as the only means of reducing carbon which is at only 1/10th the level it was when our class of animals (vertebrates) developed in the Cambrian period.

So how would it be better if everything was under their control?

It would be absolutely no different, except for who controls assets and means of production which means worse. This is an asset grab, nothing more.

How is it better for Global Warming, Climate Change or any new name given the hoax that some distant bureaucrat hired only for their political bias is a better judge of what you should do with your own home, business or property?

The question itself exposes the charade.

How will that 'fix' anything other than to insure that nothing is ever 'fixed' again? Take out the ownership factor and everything rots, breaks down, and falls into disrepair.

The Collectivist know it, as every time they've foisted this fairy tale on a society, it has failed.

Yes, every time in history.

Self-interest is the key to making things productive, and the reverse is also true. The collective has no interest in the commonly owned. It's known as 'the tragedy of the Commons', in fact.

If everyone in an area owned the grazing grounds together, it was a race to see whose flocks could overgraze it—as it belonged to all—yet, destroying it for everyone is always the result.

As the Soviet collectivists learned at the point of starvation in the last century, a tiny plot set aside for the farm worker and his family not only fed them, but gave a surplus to sell, while the huge collectivist estate could not cover its own cost or meet minimal production expectations—even at the point of a gun.

Collectivism is a proven and deadly joke.

As Nobel Prize Economist, Amartya Sen, first discovered in 1962, 'the issue of whether or not the world will be fed is partly a function of ownership.' Small private, beats big publicly owned, in almost every case.

"There is an inverse relationship between the size of farms and the amount of crops they produce per hectare. The smaller they are, the greater the yield. In some cases the difference is enormous. A recent study in Turkey, for example, found that farms of less than one hectare are 20 times as productive as farms of more than 10 hectares. Sen's observation has been tested in India, Pakistan, Nepal, Malaysia, Thailand, java, the Philippines, Brazil, Columbia, and Paraguay. It appears to hold almost everywhere."^[33]

Yet taking possession of our private property is the stated agenda of all Climate Hoax schemers from Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez's disastrous "Green New Deal" to the United Nations' Agenda 21, the Paris Agreement and even the UK Government Committee's recommendation to ban all private transportation.

How will bureaucratic control of these things do anything but put us under their thumb for reasons that are 1) not even real, 2) wholly unproven, 3) based on some imaginary fairy tale that Big Brother knows best?

He doesn't. His head is full of cotton, and you are yourself just a carbon unit to fit his needs, be it as a soldier, a worker, or test subject.

Where are the adults and real scientists?

Good question. Yes, what of scientists and politicians who are not part of this 'One World' agenda that is being used to justify our extinction?

Scientists are certainly not at these crazy Climate parties, obviously, as Jerry Brown and Nancy Pelosi's only guests in San Francisco were actors and corrupt pols.

Not a scientist among them.

Perhaps it is because real scientists don't have private jets like the billionaires, the politicians, and the freaks from Hollywood who like to gather there, but also because they lack the dishonesty required to attend.

I'm sure if you are reading this book you are smart enough to see the incredible hypocrisy of these private jet-setters hopping from Climate party to Climate party spewing tons of carbon all over the earth—to conferences where they will tell us that we, the hairy unwashed, must give up our cars, grills and flatulent cattle while they dine on steak and lobster.

And how can they claim that 99.9% of scientists 'agree' with their unproven claims, without a single real scientist willing to be there?

Where are these scientists if A) such a consensus really exists, B) when it involves an issue the Alarmists claim is the most important in the history of our species?

In short, the scientists are elsewhere, and they are now getting organized, though the consolidated press refuses to acknowledge it.

The Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC), for example, was

created in 2003 by the Science and Environmental Policy Project (SEPP), a non-profit organization based in Arlington, Virginia, which was in turn founded by Dr. S. Fred Singer from my *alma mater*, The University of Virginia.

This organization was founded by scientists to combat this unfounded alarmism being pressed on us by Globalist billionaires seeking to live out their Orwellian fantasies.

And guess who they envision playing 'Big Brother' in this sad story, one might ask?

Certainly not anyone elected by us. Of that you can be sure.

But have you ever heard of these real scientists and their organizations, or even what they have to say about the Left's basis for taking over our world—and ridding it of 'us'?

Of course not. Go back to Chapter 3, Creating the Media Monopoly, and you'll see that you haven't and you won't hear about any message that is not straight out of the approved Carbon Hoax script.

This gang took over the message machine decades ago so that you wouldn't and couldn't!

But you're thinking for yourself now.

And don't stop, because you can no longer be fooled if you think for yourself and understand that no one knows better than you what is best for you, your family and property. No one.

So here are some important tools

I promise to be brief but you can't create a cogent case to present to others without some facts.

For starters, why did Professor Emeritus of Physics, Harold 'Hal' Lewis of the University of California at Santa Barbara, recently resign from the American Physical Society (APS) after 67 years of membership?

Because he says 'Global Warming is a Trillion Dollar Scam—It has Corrupted Many Scientists' and Professor Lewis wants to disassociate himself from the organization which once gave him so much pride.

OK. That's anecdotal, but powerful when the oldest member of the world's second largest association of physicists quits over a scam—The Carbon Hoax—and does so very publicly in a scathing letter.

But aside from the anecdotal, let's apply our critical thinking skills to the Carbon Hoax myth itself.

According to Carbon Hoax alarmists, CO₂ molecules "slow down the rate of heat loss from the surface" as a blanket does, according to climate 'consensus' advocate, John Cook.^[34]

But what if this most basic plank of their Carbon Hoax is simply not true, as recent studies prove to be the case.

In fact, Professor Nasif Nahle's work at the University of Nuevo Leon, which has surprisingly been endorsed by the faculty there, proves that the heat retention of CO₂ molecules is about 0.0001 of a second.

"If heat-loss is slowed down at a rate of 0.0001 of a second by CO₂ molecules, the atmospheric CO₂ concentration – whether it's 300 PPM or 400 PPM – effectively doesn't matter. The time-lapse differential would be immaterial for either concentration."^[35]

Consequently, Nahle concludes "carbon dioxide has no effect on climate changes or warming periods on the Earth."

But another factor forbidden from the Carbon Hoax equations is saturation.

Why? Because it proves that increased carbon in the atmosphere in the form of CO₂ can't have any real effect as it simply reduces the distance between molecules without changing their heat retention factors.

Doubling the amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere does nothing but ever-so slightly shorten the distance of saturation—which is nothing more than the distance between these molecules.

Shortening the distance is not increasing the trivial heat.

In other words, it's not possible for CO₂ to have a warming effect in any significant way.


Even if touching—which is impossible (Boyle's Law)—heat retention can't create 'the blanket'.

So what explains the warming trend that did exist?

Good question and simple answer.

Yes, there was a warming cycle between the 1970s and 1998. We are and have been in a stable situation since then and are now entering a cooling cycle—just as we always have when our planet is closer to the sun or further from the sun.

ECCENTRICITY



PERIODICITY:

100,000 YEARS

Guess what happens when our planet's eccentric orbit brings us closer to the sun?


Galileo could have predicted the warming trend in the late 20th century four centuries ago.

How do I know this? Because Galileo not only proved the eccentricity of our orbit, but the 11.2 year cycle of sunspot activity that so greatly affects earth, and even more so when we are closer to the sun in our eccentric orbit pattern.

This simple but elegant truth is being intentionally ignored.

Why? Because it messes up the narrative.

Galileo Galilei was an astronomer from Pisa, who has been called the "father of observational astronomy", the "father of modern physics" and even the "father of modern science" yet his amazing findings four centuries ago are ignored by this 99.9% of astronomers, physicists and scientists of the 21st century—should we believe the Carbon Hoax priests and priestesses' numbers.



It's simply hotter a few million miles closer to the stove

And it's not because there is any doubt about the veracity of his findings, but just as Galileo was stifled and threatened by the Church of Rome, any scientists who speak out against the Carbon Hoax are fired, vilified, and shunned by the political, institutional and media gangsters of The Club of Rome.

Anyone who tells the truth—such as about the recent Australian bushfires—is blackballed by the Greenies, who are largely responsible, we now know, for setting them.

"Two of the most recent studies say there are between 52,000 and 54,000 bushfires in Australia every year,"^[36] according to the BBC.

"Dr Paul Read, co-director of Australia's National Centre for Research in Bushfire and Arson, puts the figure higher, at "62,000 and increasing," the piece continues.

"Of those, 13% are started deliberately, and 37% are suspicious. That means 31,000 Australian bushfires are either arson, or suspected arson, every year." [37]

That figure does not include recklessness or accidents according to the BBC, so those caused by a grill, or a spark from a chainsaw, as example, would be classed as "accidental".

"In short, up to 85 bushfires begin every day because someone leaves their house and decides to start one."[38]

The Carbon Hoax, Climate Change, Global Warming—by whatever name—has become something of a freakish, godless religion in which cult members are willing to destroy the nature they claim to have as their concern, kill people and devastate wildlife—all for a political agenda to make their own criminal behavior look like 'evidence' that a plot created in 1972 is real.

Yes. It has become a sad and very sick cult of people capable of no feelings for their own species or any other—while telling the world they hold the moral high ground.

On January 7, 2020, the headlines rang, "Australian Officials: As Many as One Billion Animals Could be Lost in Ongoing Bushfires."^[39]

This cult is a disease that needs to be expunged.

If you are not politically involved, you need to be and you need to become evangelical about it. The U.S. election this year may well determined the future of the Western world and our civilization.



What kind of sick people would do this and then blame it on The Carbon Hoax?

We're up against soulless beings.

Don't just vote, take everyone you can of like mind with you.

8 CLIMATE CHANGE AS RELIGION

Yes. Just like the Church of Rome imprisoned Galilei Galileo over his amazing discoveries and theories since proven correct, the monolithic One World overlords of Club of Rome origins destroy anyone who dares doubt what they declare as orthodoxy.

For these largely godless people, their Globalist movement has become a religion in every sense of the word and the Carbon Hoax is their orthodoxy.

It is a myth accepted on faith without evidence, much as our religions ask of us—and punishment is severe for those who find evidence or proof that their doctrine may not be true or worse for those who prove it.

Not even good news like the rebounding polar bear populations is allowed to be told without penalty.

I once heard a preacher admit that if Jesus returned, the Church would kill him, because he would be bad for business—and make no mistake about it—the Carbon Hoax is business at the highest levels.

In fact, it is being used as the basis for the greatest transfer of wealth in human history.

One nation's tragedy—even if fake—is cause to steal from the West as you will see.

Remember the rising sea-level hoax in the Maldives?

In 2010, Mohamed Nasheed went from an unknown Maldivian politician to world renown by claiming that his tiny Indian Ocean archipelago nation of islands and atolls would be subsumed by rising waters.

According to the dystopian media, Nasheed's announcement alone was proof positive that all coastal cities were doomed unless we did 'something'—by every single media outlet I watched —but none of them said how this seeming inevitability had been determined.

And now that we are thinking for ourselves, has anyone asked who provided the evidence?

Of course not.

And I can't even find fault with this clever politician either. Any who bought this nonsense without any evidence deserve what they get.

Nasheed was just quoting Al Gore and other non-scientists' nonsense that we would be subsumed by the oceans, without any evidence at all.

Not a single study or any research backed up this claim, but Nasheed confidently stated that his nation would be underwater quite soon if nothing was done to stop 'Global Warming'—

though there had been no rise in temperatures since 1998.

But no one has cared about 'facts' in media since it became a monolithic monopoly after the (intentional) Great Consolidation began in the 1980s.

Mohamed Nasheed's clever solution was to have the rich 'Western' nations that were causing the oceans to rise (even though they were not)—to buy land for his entire population somewhere on a continental land mass—and pay for moving all of his Sunni Muslims there.

He became the poster boy of Leftists and Global Warming priests and priestesses world over, based on nothing but quoting false narratives of the High Priest, Al Gore, who has proven to be 100% wrong, on every single claim and issue.

Officials at the United Nations chimed in and said his nation had a *right* to be relocated at the expense of the Western nations who caused it (which always means the U.S.) somehow leaving out the world's largest polluter—China—while blaming the non-event on the world's smallest polluter per capita of all leading industrial nations—the U.S.

And the United Nations and Global Hoaxers set about to produce such an outcome in earnest.

This major transfer of wealth from rich to poor nations culminated in the Paris Climate Accords which planned this massive switch to cost 'rich nations' (again, meaning the U.S.) a minimum of \$100 billion a year.

What was not publicly mentioned was that the harsh requirements of the Accords—only on the United States, of course—would cost an estimated 6.5 million Americans their own jobs, to benefit countries like the Maldives who claimed they were being harmed without a single study or fact.

The 'goal' for this massive wealth transfer was to stay below a 1.5c warming cap^[40] at the incredible price of \$134 Trillion—to be mostly paid for by the United States, which has the cleanest air and least pollution of any signatory to the Agreement.

Just as an aside, the temperatures have actually reduced by that amount (1.5c) since the warming period ended in 1998.

The goal of the Paris Climate Accords was to limit or prevent an increase of this amount, but there has been a net swing of 3.0c, which wipes out the reason for the Accords and shows how ridiculous the plot was in the first place.

Yet there has never been a single mention of this fact at the numerous Climate Hoax Parties —again—as that would ruin the narrative where truth is 'verboten'.

Absent Donald Trump being elected president in 2016, this crazy, self-destructive scheme would have actually happened—wasting almost a century of U.S. government income (\$134 trillion) for a change that was inconsequential—even if the Hoax had been true.

So back to the Maldives

Mohammed Nasheed's clever scheme to drain western nations of cash, had just one small problem in being the basis for this hoax.

Some pesky 'real' scientists had actually been investigating sea rise as a potential problem in

the Maldives for some years and had data proving that 88.6% of his nation's atolls and islands—were actually growing.

How could this be? This was the exact opposite of the United Nations' gloom and doom basis for its wealth transfer scheme!

Perhaps the massive growth of ice at the Southern Pole—an unadvertised fact—was reducing ocean levels, or perhaps the temperature was not increasing (it had in fact dropped 1.5c)?

But neither the Maldives—or anywhere else—was 'going under'. It was a complete lie, yet one still being told today.

Rather than being subsumed as Global Warming Pope, Al Gore, and his Carbon Hoax choir had sung and preached for the better part of 20 years—almost every atoll and island was growing.^[41]

But that did not stop the Carbon Hoax vicars at the United Nations from turning a lie into a profitable opportunity to transfer wealth from those who made it to those who would not.

"It even prompted the United Nations, in one of its more absurd flights of fancy, to predict that by 2010 there would be 50 million climate refugees – driven from their homes by rising sea levels and shrinking freshwater supplies."^[42]

George Soros and his European Union surrogates (there are 226 EU Parliamentarians bought off by Soros, to be exact, as evidenced by leaked Open Society Foundation memo)^[43] began using 'climate change refugees' as an excuse to flood European and North American countries based on this non-sensical and unproven claim.

The actual figure for the number of "climate refugees" in 2010—or thereafter—was exactly zero.

Jihadists and economic refugees seeking asylum/entry and handouts under this hoax, however, soon rose into the tens of millions, but not a single person is known to have left Maldives or Tuvalu due to encroaching waters—ever.

Who would want to leave? It became something of a boom town after Mohammed Nasheed's clever scheme put his nation on the map.

Their tourism business became so brisk—once the world knew they were sitting out there in the middle of the Indian Ocean—that the government started building—and continues to build—new airports.

It has become a honeymoon destination for Muslims all over the world.

"Tuvalu remains inhabited and has lost no land to rising sea levels,"^[44] is the latest report from 2018, but don't hold your breath waiting on CNN to report it.

And the high priests and priestesses of the Carbon Hoax Church have yet to apologize to Virginie Duvat of the University of La Rochelle in France or Nils-Axel Mörner and his team for providing the truth.

These were the (real) scientists doing the work of measuring atolls and islands without agenda, while Gore and the UN were shaking down the West.

But as punishment for the truth-telling, they were shunned—while Pope Gore, Bishops Soros and Obama of the Carbon Hoax Church, kept on buying up beachfront mansions and packing their Carbon Hoax Conferences with sermons about the same tired nonsense—that we will be under water any day now.

Like the Church of Rome's Inquisition in 1615 concluded that Galileo's endorsement of heliocentrism was "foolish and absurd in philosophy, and formally heretical since it explicitly contradicts in many places the sense of Holy Scripture," ^[45] the Carbon Hoax Church condemned the scientists who brought the good news that the Maldives were actually growing, and left them to rot in the dungeon of anonymity.

The only way Duvat of Mörner will get close to a Climate Hoax convention is if they are parking jets at the local airport or become celebrity photographers.

Science is not allowed in the Climate Hoax religion. It is apostasy, and as the French 'oubliette' style dungeon was where people were put to be forgotten, that is what the Carbon Hoax Church does to those who speak truth. They are banned from the public square—and ignored.

It's nothing but a wealth transfer scheme—with a goal

The Carbon Hoax Church must destroy Western heritage nations, their religions, their love of their own cultures, and any who adhere to such principles as personal freedom or God-given rights.

Its goal is to also drain these nations' resources by flooding them with those seeking a handout rather than a hand-up, while creating giveaway schemes to other nations—even hostile ones.

In addition to agreeing to this outrageous Paris Climate Accords nonsense, President Obama released \$150 billion to Iran, and sent pallets of cash (\$1.8 billion) in an off-the-books night drop on the tarmac of America's sworn enemy—recently returned in the form of missiles targeting U.S. military bases in Iraq.

The people behind corrupt leaders like Barack Hussein Obama, the Bushes, and the Clintons, have an agenda, and it's not in our favor as people of free nations.

The Club of Rome has specific goals and a mission—just like the Church of Rome—and both remain the same as always. Any who get in their way will be crushed or eliminated.

For the Club of Rome, all the world is to come under the control of their appointed 'Elites' and our numbers are then to be reduced.

Like the Church of Rome's Day of Judgment, the Club or Rome will have its own much sooner, unless we rise up and resist them and their apostles.

Either way, we're pretty much done as a species, but with differences. One is promising eternal life, while the other offers only death.

It seems sometimes as though these two organizations which should be antithetical to one another are not, though I hope that changes one day.

And it needs to be soon as you will read ahead. The Elites' plan demands that the West be overrun and brought to its knees, financially.

The main object in the way of the Elites' success was always the United States—a problem they thought had been overcome in 1990 when President George H.W. Bush signed on and started to prepare for the end days—our end days.

Yes, it started in 1990 under George H.W. Bush

So Bush began preparing the way, but it was the election of 2016 that was supposed to put their scheme into its endgame which was frighteningly close, as I'll explain next chapter.

Camps were built to house—and ultimately, I believe from recent admissions by leading Democrat staffers, to eliminate—millions of Americans.

The take-over was to be completed under Hillary Clinton when she was coronated President on January 20th of 2017.

I can hear your brain wanting to switch me off about now, but I ask you, have I written a word yet that I didn't prove with a source or logic?

No, is the answer, so hang in there for a bit longer.

Just today, I heard from the ranking member of Congress, Devin Nunes, that his House Committee has evidence the Obama Administration was already spying on candidate, Donald Trump, among other potentially disruptors, before he even announced his candidacy.

Who was doing it?

According not only to Representative Nunes, but one of the victims of illegal spying who worked on two of the three campaigns—George Papadopoulos—states that not only Donald Trump was a victim of the illegal Deep State attacks, but candidates Ben Carson and Ted Cruz—the three potential 'disrupters' who were not part of the cabal and could not be controlled by it.

The Soros Elite crowd simply would not—could not—allow them to have a chance at winning and stopping the election of Hillary Rodham Clinton.

The coup was on, and they were so close to making it all happen—the Elite dream of One World Government—with their minions running it, that they would stop at nothing.

The World take-over was scheduled and nothing was going to be allowed to stop it—especially something like a free and fair election!

And as is coming out every day now, the Obama Administration had actively weaponized the intelligence agencies of not only the United States, but allied nations, including the United Kingdom, Italy, Australia and Ukraine to prevent any disruptors of the scheme—like Donald J. Trump, Ben Carson, or Ted Cruz—from coming to power.

So let's think through this for a moment

I get it, you're doubting the "camps" thing—but remember President George H.W. Bush's speech in 1990, when he promised that America *would* enter the world order under United Nations' control, and added that it was without question?

Well if you know anything about America and Americans, you know that was never going to happen peacefully, don't you?

According to reports under the Obama Administration, there were an estimated 300 million guns in the hands of law abiding citizens, but I noticed that number never changed, though there were record sales almost every month he was in power.

"We believe that the correct number is much higher — somewhere between 412 and 660 million," ^[46] writes a weapons expert after calculating U.S. government reports of guns manufactured and shipped to stores, "with an estimated 24 trillion rounds of ammunition." ^[47]

Think of that for a moment. As former head of the CIA like Bush, if you had sold out your nation to the One Worlders after being elected president, you would probably know something about its nature too, right?

So how could you possibly accomplish such a wicked thing without knowing you would have to disarm and overwhelm a free and proud people with extreme violence?

How would you accomplish that when there were over twice as many guns as people and thousands of rounds of ammunition for each one of them?

As Stalin was fond of saying according to New York Times reporter in Moscow, Walter Durante, "To make an omelet, you have to break some eggs," and Stalin should know.

When Ukraine farmers refused to voluntarily give up their farms and arms and join communist co-operatives, he simply stole the nation's food and starved or killed them in what is known as the Holodomor.

This is inevitable under any form of Socialism that allows government to take from one group without their permission for the government's own use, or to re-allocate it to their own followers.

Stalin stole their food and starved them to death so they could not fight.

An estimated 7 million souls died in Ukraine and another 10 million in Russia—by intent.^[48]

Those who live only for power will kill for it—as history has shown—be it a Hitler, Stalin, Mao—or a Bush, an Obama, a Clinton—especially when backed by a child-Nazi like George Soros.

I am not claiming that the Bushes were backed by Soros, only that they were One Worlders by their own admission. This horrid ilk is not limited to one party or strain, but in the end, they have a common goal.

People like this would love nothing better than to be rid of '*us*' and I don't think it is too difficult for you to believe that after seeing what they have done to others throughout recent history—under the guise of 'nation-building'.

Perhaps you can name me even one success? Was it Afghanistan where we've fought as uninvited invaders for 19 years? Was it Iraq, perhaps? Lybia? Syria? Somalia? Yemen? No? Not one of them?

Are the people of any of those nations better off after our 'building' them?

People like this are the cruelest among us

Those who think of themselves as 'Elites' see '*us*' as something of a sub-species, who do not feel pain like them—or have the same right to life as they do.

President Bush knew that a lot of people would have to be taken out of the equation early and it certainly did not seem to trouble him in the least.

As former head of the CIA, he had ordered the murder of many heads of State, fought wars surreptitiously in Afghanistan and Central America—and openly (as in Panama and Iraq)— causing the deaths of millions.

His son was responsible for the death of an estimated 600,000 Iraqi citizens, and after 19 years of war in Afghanistan there is no sure number, but to suspect the Bush family of empathy would be akin to accusing the Clintons of integrity.

Barrack Hussein Obama fell right into the Club of Rome mould (with his mentor, George Soros, being one of its leading figures as you can see in the Appendix) and started wars in Yemen, Syria, Lybia, Pakistan and anywhere more conflict could be commenced, while funding Iran for what he thought would lead to World War III, by many accounts, expecting that war to be fought by his successor.

As previously mentioned, the Obama Administration released \$152 billion to the terrorist Islamo-nazi regime in Tehran and dropped \$1.8 billion in cold cash on the tarmac in a secret night-time 'off the book' flight.

"Also confirmed: Iran's loot was taken from the <u>Treasury Department's Judgment Fund</u>, which allowed the administration to circumvent Congress yet again. [Former U.S. federal prosecutor, Andrew] McCarthy persuasively argues that it was also a blatant violation of federal law because paying the mullahs off with piles of foreign currency doesn't actually bypass the sanctions that are still in effect." ^[49]

These funds, according to the article, have been traced to Iran-backed terrorist groups, who used this cash to foment violence and kill more Americans, just as any thinking person could have foretold.

The clear intent of these moves under every administration including that of President George H.W. Bush and since, was to sow the seeds of revolution, war, and chaos—not to create peace.

Chaos—once bad enough—creates a situation where the populace begs for 'order'—in exchange for its freedoms.

These Elites have no more compassion for '*us*' than any of the despots who preceded them

And all of these self-appointed and anointed 'Elites' knew that Americans would never go into the night of One World Socialism without a fight to the death, so they had to plan to give them just that.

But where would the federal government put tens of millions of 'us'-while taking over our

homes, property, (as now demanded by the Democrat's 'Green New Deal') and in many cases lives—all while handing over control of our country to the United Nations?

Those words in Chapter 4 were not mine. They were President George H.W. Bush, in a televised speech in 1990. Let's take a second look at them before we move on, now that our eyes are perhaps more open:

This speech was given on September 11, 1991—10 years to the day before Islamic attacks on America—where George H.W. Bush said in the televised speech available in the footnote:

"We have the opportunity before us to forge for ourselves and future generations a New World Order—an order where the Rule of Law, not the law of the jungle—governs the conduct of nations.

"When we are successful—*and we will be*—we have a real chance in which a credible United Nations can use its peace-keeping role to fulfil the promise and vision of the U.N.'s founders."^[50]

Take a moment to watch that clip of President Bush now that you are no longer drinking the media Kool-Aid.

Then tell me how that would ever happen in the Land of the Free without lots and lots of prisons, camps, and murders to hold or eliminate those unwilling to go quietly into the night of One World Socialism?

Do you really think anything less would be required to get Americans to give up their means of self-defense, their freedom, their property—their country—and ultimately their lives to a Leftist non-government agency?

The only hard thing for me to believe is that they thought they had enough prisons and interment centers built to hold '*us*'.

The only real answer to their dilemma—even if unspoken—was mass exterminations.

Dispassionately put yourself in their sick mindset and ask how you would put America under One World rule of United Nations despots without 'cracking some eggs' as Stalin said?

Millions of them, in fact.

Judgement Day

The Club of Rome's Judgment Day was happening—and the entire upper echelon of the worlds' intelligence services and governments were in their service and at their disposal.

And just this week, senior staffers of the leading Democrat candidate for President has openly discussed the 'gulags' that will soon be used to kill us.

Bernie Sanders, was exposed on January 15, 2020, when his senior advisor, Kyle Jurek, was caught on tape (thanks to Project Veritas), saying what their plan would be.

"So if Trump gets re-elected, what?," the reporter asked.

"Fucking cities burn," replied Jurek. "You do what you gotta do."

"The only thing that fascists understand is violence," he continued. "The only way you can confront them is with violence."

"Yeah. I mean, we don't have a lot of time left, we have to save fucking human civilization [referring to the Carbon Hoax narrative]. And obviously Trump don't give two fucks about making sure the world doesn't burn.

"If Bernie doesn't get the nomination or it goes to the second round at the DNC Convention, fucking Milwaukee will burn. It'll start in Milwaukee and then when they fucking, and when the police push back on that, other cities will just fucking [makes explosion sound].

"Be ready to be in Milwaukee for the DNC convention. That's all I'm gonna say. We're gonna make 1978 [riots at Democrat Party Convention were actually in 1968] look like a fucking girl's scout fucking cookout.

"Remember what happened when McGovern got fucked in Chicago in 1978? [This happened when Hubert Humphrey ran for President, not McGovern] Riots. Fucking people getting beaten by the cops. The cops are gonna be the ones that are getting fucking beaten in Milwaukee.

"They're gonna call up the national guard for that shit. I promise you that."

Though clearly not much on history or facts, Kyle Jurek is a passionate Fascist.

He continued, "If Bernie doesn't—, if they fucking take Bernie from us, then we have nothing else left to lose."

Jurek then called for revolution, saying it would be against "The billionaire class. The fucking media, pundits. Walk into that MSNBC studios, drag those motherfuckers out by their hair and light them on fire in the streets."

And to my point, when asked what they intended to do with those who rejected their Revolution, Kyle Jurek simply answered, "Gulag" (the name for Russian 're-education' work camps where millions died under Stalin).

"Liberals get the wall first," Jurek said, meaning they would be shot.

When the journalist asked what would happen to those who resisted and said, "In Cuba they shot them on the beach."

Jurek replied singing, "Do you want to fight against a revolution? You're going to die for it motherfucker."^[51]

The Iowa caucus is the very first in the 2020 election cycle, meaning this organizer, Kyle Jurek, is not a minor figure in the campaign that is presently leading the field for President in America's largest party—the Democrat Party—which is leading the 'Carbon Hoax' agenda in America.

Twelve senior Sanders' campaign officials in Iowa are standing behind Kyle Jurek and his outrageous words—who has not yet been fired—indicating that this is the unspoken agenda of the Democrat's leading candidate for president, lover of all things Communist—Bernie Sanders.

Perhaps you are now getting the picture—and this is just the news today—years after I first saw this coming and started writing and speaking out about it.

I understand why people don't want to believe this—but it is very real.

These people plan to overthrow Western governments using a false narrative of 'Climate Change'—the plot that was hatched by the Elites over wine back in 1968.

They claim the world is 'burning'—which was and is a lie—except in places where climate change crazies are themselves setting the fires, as has presently been discovered in Australia.^[52]

9 THE END GAME WAS IN SIGHT

I was working on a federal appeal case in the law library when the shout-out came about the exposure of hundreds and hundreds of these empty prison camps a decade ago.

"Mr. Woltz! Come to the TV room," the breathless messenger relayed, "You've got to see this for yourself."

It was the flamboyant former Governor of Minnesota, Jessie Ventura, and his team of special investigators, June Sarpong, Tyler Derek, Michael Braverman, among them, who were digging deep into the behind-the-scenes secrets of federal government.

For those who don't know of Governor Jesse Ventura, he was a world-champion wrestler who then entered the far more dangerous arena of politics.

But before all of that, he was a Navy Seal in the Underwater Demolition Team, serving in Vietnam—and knew some of America's dirtiest secrets—and just how far afield of law its leaders were willing to stray.

Governor Ventura and his team discovered that family prison camps had been built all over America under the guise of FEMA (Federal Emergency Management Agency). ^[53]

But it doesn't end there, and instead of being there for 'Emergencies'—dozens of which we've had including forest fires, hurricanes, tornadoes and the like since these camps were built —they've never been used even once—and these facilities are now under the control of Homeland Security.

Governor Ventura's team of investigators then discovered over 500,000 body boxes each capable of holding multiple corpses in storage near a FEMA camp outside Atlanta, GA.^[54]

The entire story was taken down and hidden. Ultimately, Governor Ventura was silenced.

I've only been able to get short clips of the series, with some overlap, but there is no other interpretation than that these were built to house—and bury—millions of Americans.

In fact, the bill authorising these hundreds of prison camp/death centers was passed as H.R. 645 in the 111th Congress.

So it's difficult for anyone to dispel a thinking man's notion that these camps are not designed to do anything positive for Americans—because throughout the worst disasters over the decades, they've been kept a complete secret—and then lied about when exposed by Gov. Ventura.

Complicit media calls these 'conspiracy theories' even when on film with affidavits, so you need to think for yourselves—and I've got some personal evidence I'll share with you in a

moment that supports this.

During Hurricane Katrina, for example, President George W. Bush left hundreds of thousands of the people of New Orleans to fend for themselves rather than open these camps, sending them instead by bus to Houston, Texas to sleep in the Astrodome.

If these 'camps' were really for emergencies, why did he do that?

And I know a little about how corrupt they are myself

Why was I in a 'law library' in a place with a TV room? Because I was being illegally held in a federal prison in Beaver, West Virginia when that show aired for the first and last time.

In fact, I was held for 87 months in prisons and jails all over America to silence me for writing and speaking out about just such government abuses.

I gave my first speech on our impending loss of freedoms on September 15, 1977, and have been speaking out and writing about that subject ever since.



This was sent to me as a warning to 'shut-up' during the Obama years—from a newspaper that closed 25 years ago

There is a reason that they do not like me.

That speech was 43 years ago, when I used to write a syndicated column, *The Conservative View*.

"Woltz warns of loss of freedoms in America," was the article's headline and theme of the speech, where I explained what was already happening even then.

I had no idea my words were so prophetic.

So I know about these people and what they are willing to do to dissenters

I was kidnapped in 2006, by out-of-district federal agents—no rights read as law required or explanation.

They moved me 29 times over 7 years between human storage sites as far west as Oklahoma City (on multiple occasions), south to the Georgia/Florida border, up north on 'diesel therapy' and even a few visits to the ancient Atlanta Prison where Al Capone did time in the 1930s, as well as some condemned secret sites—though I was never tried or convicted in or by any court of jurisdiction.

This journey is documented in my first book, *Justice Denied: the United States v. The People* (2012) which is still on the market today.

A documentary was also done on my story, which won 8 awards and became one of the top four films in its category, worldwide—with a retired federal judge, Arthur W. Strickland, verifying what was done to me.

Judge Strickland wrote the Foreword to my book and was actually in the film on my story.

His daughter—a student in Baltimore, Maryland— was murdered gangland style a few weeks later while she sat in her car, then the vehicle was torched, removing any evidence, and it remains an unsolved murder to this day.

So don't tell me that these same power-hungry bastards would think twice about imprisoning or killing a few dissenters. Been there, done that.

And on that journey all over America I met many others destroyed by the cabal for questioning them or exposing their anti-American agendas.

I was very close to a special forces sergeant from West Virginia, for example, who oversaw hauling opium paste from Afghanistan's poppy fields (under CIA control) through the Khyber Pass to be purified into heroin in their private labs in Lahore, Pakistan. When he questioned what they were doing, he quickly found himself in a holding cell.

The military photographer who blew the whistle on this covert heroin 'business' that the CIA ran to fund itself was also a dear friend.

Same story. He started questioning their criminal activity and was imprisoned and force fed heroin to eliminate any credibility, then sent back to America and put in federal holding for years.

Patriots who outed government's crimes were 'on the road' with me, and I know enough of the United States' dirty secrets to write several more books—but I'd rather stay alive.

In fact, were it not for that wonderful former federal judge from Virginia who forced my release in 2012, I'd still be in their prisons somewhere — or dead—which was their plan, according to the very mental head of the guards' union after filing so many suits against him that the got transferred (John Grimes).

Grimes told me their apparently sanctioned plan was to take me to the SHU (Segregated Housing Unit) at FCI Beckley and beat me to death, then blame it on prisoners.

"That's what was getting ready to happen to you, Motherfucker, if that goddamn judge wasn't watching your back!," said Grimes at our last encounter before they marched him off the prison complex.

When the federal judge ordered my release back in 2012, I went right back to it, was asked to do a TED talk which went viral and wrote a detailed book about how they incarcerate Americans illegally every day.

Justice Restored: 10 steps to end mass incarceration in America, became a best-seller, but I couldn't wait around for the accolades by then. They were after me—again.

In fact, the threats from federal marshals got very severe.

They would come to my home, sent by a corrupt Federal Judge, W. Earl Britt of the Eastern District of North Carolina to warn me "we'll do it to you again if you don't shut up. Quit giving speeches and writing books!" I was ordered.

When that did not work, they began threatening my family with prosecution, breaking into cars taking things, only to leave them inside their doorways and their homes, planting listening devices and warning that they were 'next' if they did not silence me.

It got so bad that I sold what I had left in the United States in 2015 and moved to Eastern Europe to remain free and protect my family. They did not know where I was for over a year.

Giving that speech in 1977 had actually slipped my memory until federal agents sent a copy of the article from the Mount Airy Times by e-mail in 2015—though that newspaper closed over a quarter century ago—with another warning when they couldn't find me, to "shut up or we'll do it to you again."

Fortunately, I had escaped to Poland with the help of that nation's Consul General in New York, and was safely hidden away from them.

But that's enough about me other than a final quick note to tell you what they had in store for the massive system of gulags in America—the world's largest prison system ever in human history—for when the takeover was to have occurred in 2020.

Project Rainbow

As part of the plan—nicknamed "Rainbow" and put in an executive order under President George W. Bush as well as being granted powers under HR 1955 (passed in 2007)—the medium and high security prisons were all outfitted with sealed ventilation systems.

What you don't know unless you were one of the forced labor who worked in these places on the 'maintenance crew' like I did, is that they are also outfitted with cyanide cylinders in the central ventilation systems, which can be activated from the warden's office remotely, on order of Homeland Security.

By accident—or because the 'pillbilly' guards were too high one day in that West Virginia prison—they forgot to remove the labels as they were required to do before giving them to the maintenance crew to install.

We saw them. Our guys installed them.

When we asked about them, we were threatened—and in my case—put back on the road for more 'diesel therapy' for several months, all over America, including being locked in a 20 foot shipping container in Grady County, Oklahoma for a few weeks.

Hermetically sealed prisons, with cyanide gas coming through the air vents.

You can take it from there.

10 THE CARBON HOAX IS DEADLY

It's not the Carbon in the atmosphere you will ever have to worry about even if it increases 500% regardless of what Al Gore or Angry Little Greta Thunberg tell you.

Bring it on. It makes plants grow better and faster, thereby producing more oxygen and more food for us even though our population numbers are starting to nosedive.

More food for fewer people so what's the problem?

It is this insane plot the 'Elites' plan to launch on an uneducated, media-duped public to take over our world that you need to worry about.

And that should scare the Hell out of you, because it *is* real.

What they are doing is no longer even hidden.

It's right out in the open like the star-studded no-scientist Carbon Hoax Party last year in San Francisco.

Their goal—and answers—are to destroy human freedoms and free market economics so they can be replaced with Socialism and a Centralized world government under the control of unelected Globalists at the United Nations.

George H.W. Bush was not shy about telling us this would happen as far back as 1990, and they are far less covert with their plans now.

As Obama's U.S. Secretary of State, John Kerry proclaimed at this make-believe 2018 conference in San Francisco, he and the Globalists now intend to "*make Climate Change the Central Organizing Principle of Civilization.*"

Sounds almost exactly the same as head of the European Union, Sicco Mansholt, declared to his colleagues that "*the Club of Rome message had to become 'the fundament of the European Union'*," back in 1972, [page 9] doesn't it?

It's all about an Elite ideology and a global governance agenda—not facts.

This was apparently the talking point that day, as Al Gore said at that same Global Climate Action Summit "*We have to make de-carbonization of the global economy the central organizing principle of Civilization*."

What do they mean 'decarbonize'?

On February 7th, 2019, after Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez and her co-sponsor, Sen. Edward J. Markey, announced the tenets of their "Green New Deal," and David Harsanyl of *The Federalist* listed the tenets in the order of their insanity:

"While some of the specifics need to be ironed out, the plan's authors assure us that this 'massive transformation of our society' needs some 'clear goals and a timeline.' The timeline is ten years. Here are some of the goals:

- Ban affordable energy. GND calls for the elimination of all fossil fuel energy production, the lifeblood of American industry and life, which includes not only all oil but also natural gas one of the cheapest sources of American energy, and one of the reasons the United States has been able to lead the world in carbon-emissions reduction.
- Eliminate nuclear energy. The GND also calls for eliminating all nuclear power, one of the only productive and somewhat affordable "clean" energy sources available to us, in 11 years. This move would purge around 20 percent of American energy generation so you can rely on intermittent wind for your energy needs.
- Eliminate 99 percent of cars. To be fair, under the GND, everyone will need to retrofit their cars with Flintstones-style foot holes or pedals for cycling. The authors state that the GND would like to replace every 'combustion-engine vehicle' trucks, airplanes, boats, and 99 percent of cars within ten years. Charging stations for electric vehicles will be built everywhere,' though how power plants will provide the energy needed to charge them is a mystery.
- Gut and rebuild every building in America. Markey and Cortez want to 'retrofit every building in America' with 'state of the art energy efficiency.' I repeat, 'every building in America.' That includes every home, factory, and apartment building, which will all need, for starters, to have their entire working heating and cooling systems ripped out and replaced with...well, with whatever technology Democrats are going invent in their committee hearings, I guess.
- Eliminate air travel. GND calls for building out 'highspeed rail at a scale where air travel stops becoming necessary.' Good luck Hawaii! California's high-speed boondoggle is already in \$100 billion dollars of debt, and looks to be one of the state's biggest fiscal disasters ever. Amtrak runs billions of dollars in the red (though, as we'll see, trains that run on fossil fuels will also be phased out). Imagine growing that business model out to every state in America?
- A government-guaranteed job. The bill promises the United States government will provide every single American with a job that includes a 'family-sustaining wage, family and medical leave, vacations, and a pension.' You can imagine that those left in the private sector would be funding these through some unspecified 'massive' taxation. On the bright side, when you're foraging for food, your savings will be worthless.
- Free education for life. GND promises free college or trade schools for every American.
- A salubrious diet. The GND promises the government will provide 'healthy food' to every American (because there are no beans or lettuce in your local supermarket, I guess).

- A house. The GND promises that the government will provide, "safe, affordable, adequate housing" for every American citizen. I call dibs on an affordable Adams Morgan townhouse. Thank you, Ocasio-Cortez.
- Free money. The GND aims to provide, and I am not making this up, 'economic security' for all who are 'unable or unwilling' to work. Just to reiterate: if you're unwilling to work, the rest of us will have your back.
- Bonus insanity: Ban meat. Ocasio-Cortez admits that we can't get zero emissions in 10 years 'because we aren't sure that we'll be able to fully get rid of farting cows and airplanes that fast.' The only way to get rid of farting cows is to get rid of beef."^[55]

While this has since been admitted by the author of this 'Green New Deal', Saikat Chakrabarti, Rep. Ocasio-Cortez's then (Soros-funded) campaign manager/Staffer, as nothing more than a grab for control of our property,^[56] almost no one noticed the clause that foretold it.

Pay particular attention to the one that says "retrofit every building in America" with "state of the art energy efficiency."

The author writes, 'I repeat, "every building in America.""

Now that you're thinking for yourself instead of letting the Leftist media program you, ask yourself how 'government' can simply come and take over your home, office, factory—yes, all of them—and do all of this tearing down and rebuilding without first taking away your property rights?

What if you don't want your home destroyed and built in some yet-to-be discovered carbonneutral way?

What if you just say 'No'?

Remember what Joseph Stalin did when the Ukrainian farmers said, "No"?

First of all, it is impossible for them to tear down and rebuild "every building in America," and any thinking person knows it, but they never planned to do any of that any way.

Government can't even keep up the roads, bridges and public facilities it has.

How could anyone believe they can tear down and rebuild the entire nation that took 300 years to settle and construct in just 10 years—using methods as yet discovered, powered by intermittent wind and sun?

This should be a clue to anyone that this has nothing to do with anything except taking control of your property—and you.

You may own it, but you won't be able to do anything with it—not even dig a ditch in the back yard or anything within your own home—unless you have the permission of some bureaucrat thousands of miles away.

This is the biggest property grab since Stalin stole the Ukraine—and if you think it will have a different outcome, you are either a fool, a very poor student of history—or both

It's just a continuation of the Club or Rome's agenda

"De-carbonization" as continually repeated by Al Gore and John Kerry at the San Francisco Carbon Hoax junket in 2018 is just the same co-ordinated message with a new term.

Yes, a co-ordinated message—from way back. How is it any different from Sicco Mansholt's declaration back in 1972 [that "the Club of Rome message had to become the *fundament of the European Union*."]?

And what was the Club of Rome's message? That there were way too many of '*us*' and Alexander King's strategy of using 'global warming' as the cause was to be the catalysts for reducing our numbers—by 80%.

No cars, no heat, no planes, no tractors, no plastics, no fertilizers (which are derived from natural gas, by the way), no cows, (which means no milk or beef), living in and on property you no longer control.

Quite the paradise, eh?

And again....if this is the existential threat they say, I've got two questions:

- 1. Why wasn't a single scientist on stage at their 2018 Scarefest in San Francisco, and;
- 2. Why do all these speakers own beachfront mansions if they really believe what they are preaching to *'us'*?

Now that we're thinking for ourselves, let's re-visit that list of speakers at the Global Climate Action Summit

Nancy Pelosi-Democrat Speaker of the House of Representatives

Science experience-none

Al Gore-Democrat Vice-President under Bill Clinton and film maker

Science experience-none

John Podesta- Democrat Party Chair

Science experience-none

Van Jones- Democrat political hack at CNN and Obama Staffer

Science experience-none

Alec Baldwin- Hollywood Democrat activist and Saturday Night Live Trump-Imposter

Science experience-none

Harrison Ford- Actor and Democrat Activist

Science experience-none

John Kerry- Billionaire Democrat Secretary of State under Barrack Obama

Science experience-none

So what does this tell us?

One point of view. One political ideology. All rich. All living in or owning massive beachfront properties they still tell us are overdue to be ocean floor.

It's not even, "This makes no sense," this is co-ordinated lying with an agenda.

They want to make sure they're on the side of the Elites who live after the 'final solution'.

And this is a very profitable business for these know-nothing speakers

Al Gore was not a wealthy man when he went into 'public service', yet after serving in the U.S. Congress and as Vice-President to Bill Clinton, he is worth "hundreds of millions of dollars"— according to reports just this week by Sky News-Australia (January 2020).

He seems to have made most of it with his Carbon Hoax.

Gore held what was claimed as his next "Climate Reality Leadership Corps"

in Brisbane, Australia in June of 2019 (upon which Sky News-Australia was commenting in review).

The Climate Reality Project from which he so nicely profits sponsored it, but didn't pay for it —Aussie taxpayers did.

It was a disaster for everyone except Al Gore.

Sky News-Australia claims he was paid \$300,000 for each speech. I just hope that was in Australian dollars, but nonetheless it's a lot of money.

Lying has made Al Gore a very rich man.

His Carbon Hoax project in Australia was co-hosted with the Queensland Government, but the effect of Gore's endorsement of 'green' candidates turned off Australian voters and sent them the other way.

Scott Morrison and his more sensible message whipped Gore Greenies soundly, as people in Australia and everywhere else are waking up to the fact that these people are not—and cannot—be telling the truth.

Keep in mind, however, if they can scare just 51% of our fellow citizens into believing these provable lies, they win.

That's why this is no game.

This is quite literally life and death. Not only is this the intentional suicide of our amazing civilization, but by putting our world in the hands of people who have openly said we must be 'eliminated' so they may live ever more extravagantly, we will have to fight just to stay alive—unless this was all just some big joke.

After having been where I've been and seen what I've seen—I know they're not joking.



Still today, candles and flowers are left for the victims of national socialism (Nazis) on every block in Warsaw—never forget that this is socialism

We must make it our mission to expose and reduce these people to the laughing stock they are.

Can you imagine giving a man \$300,000 for a speech, when he's the same one who told you *your* city would be under water—by 2013?

Well suckers and co-conspirators in government are doing it every day—with your money.

11 HOW DO WE FIGHT BACK?

 ${
m T}$ hat's the question I've been waiting for.

You've opened your mind and are using it, which was Step One.

It is my hope that you can never again hear some new wild claim that we will all die in 10 years unless we give up our freedom and property without questioning the premise.

You will ask yourself from now on if what they are saying make any sense.

Do you see catastrophic changes in your own life? Is a warm day enough evidence for you to give up your property or possibly your own life and that of your children's for an unproven theory or hoax?

What evidence has been presented that their statement is true? Other than hyperbolic nonsense about 'consensus' amongst unnamed people, is there any evidence?

Further, what is the evidence or argument *against* what is being said?

Why did 31,000 scientists, Ph.d.s and Nobel Prize winners, for example, sign a petition as far back as 2012, disavowing this so-called 'consensus' if there really were one? Start asking questions, and don't stop.

"Am I being told both sides fairly or am I only hearing one side?"—you must ask yourself.

"Why am I not able to see these disastrous world-ending changes they claim are so imminent in my own life?"

"Why is a power-mad man like George Soros, behind every aspect of this, while living in a beachfront mansion in the Hamptons, himself?"

"The water line at our beach, for example, is the same as it was 50 years ago. If ocean levels were rising, why don't I see it out my own cottage window looking over the sea?"

"Did Archimedes Principle somehow fall out of favor? If my ocean isn't rising, how can that same body of water be rising elsewhere?"

"Who may be benefiting from the policy proposed—or making money and increasing their power over me/'us' by lying about it?"

And perhaps the most important question, "Do their solutions shift power closer to the individual—who takes better care than anyone of property and our world—or further away, shifting control to nameless, faceless bureaucrats?"

If answers to these questions aren't willingly offered, then you are watching the wrong

station or channel, reading the wrong newspapers and blogs and need to shut them off—for good.

You're being lied to and you can be sure there is an agenda behind their words. What happens next is not good. Markers like the one above are all over the city where I live, Warsaw, Poland from the National Socialists.

Two are on my block and just two more blocks south is an open field in the middle of a graveyard, where thousands more were buried in mass graves.

New Rules

Never accept the premise of someone else's argument if they cannot back it up with reliable data.

I get infuriated listening to the talking heads at CNN who frequently say stupid and indefensible statements like "Everyone agrees that Global Warming is real—99.9% of scientists agree—so why isn't Donald Trump taking it seriously?"—just one example I've heard in slightly different words from multiple stupid people.

So let's start there in our New Rules section.

First, ask "Who is everyone?"

You: "I don't agree with your premise, because I've seen zero evidence other than a chart based on falsified data by Michael Mann in 1998 about this supposed Global Warming, which was a fraud, and proven completely false."

"It did not happen 20 years ago as he said it would and temperatures actually went down, so 'who' is this everyone? Name them."

Them: No answer.

Next.

"So name just one of those 99% of scientists, please, and Al Gore—a politician, and Bill Nye —a TV actor, don't count."

Them: They can't answer this one either.

Next.

"So if you want Donald Trump to take you seriously, why won't you provide data or evidence?"

That's a show-stopper because they have none.

Question everything they say, as you have now seen clearly that they are liars with an agenda —and that is to have your head on their wall. Elites love trophies.

Some stuffed human heads on their castle walls would be a real conversation starter at their next charity ball, don't you think?

Let's define the new rules

Steve Deace wrote a book in 2016, which I highly recommend, entitled "Rules for Patriots: How Conservatives Can Win Again."

You should order it, as it is the antidote to Saul Alinsky's Handbook for Leftists that so inspired Hillary Clinton and Barrack Hussein Obama on how to destroy America.

Journalist, Matt Kibbe, wrote a book by the same name, "Rules for Patriots" and summarized them for us below, which are also worth reviewing in full.

A POCKET PRIMER FOR PATRIOTIC AMERICANS

By Matt B. Kibbe

To America's Founders and the Sons of Liberty, who won our freedom; and to Saul Alinsky, whose rules we expropriate to win it back.

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

Rule 1: Freedom Works

Rule 2: Freedom's Right

Rule 3: Freedom Requires Work

Rule 4: We Win by Building

Rule 5: Government Goes to Those Who Show Up

Rule 6: Winning is More Important Than Who Gets the Credit

Rule 7: Think Like a Revolutionary

Rule 8: Drive the Conversation

Rule 9: Everyone is a Printing Press

Rule 10: The Day After Election Day is Even More Important

Rule 11: Leviathan Won't Go Away in a Day

Rule 12: Recruit for the Future

Rule 13: Don't Tread on Me

Here's the takeaway. The same thing will happen in America if you let these evil people continue lying

We no longer accept their narratives, their false premises, and we never, ever let a one of these snakes off the hook without a fight.

No more Mister Nice Guy. These are gangsters in a declared war on our freedoms, our religions, and our way of life, and quite literally our lives.

Don't believe me? Come visit Warsaw and we'll go to the mass graveyards and killing sites of Soros' last posting over here with his Nazi pals.

If you wish to remain naive and believe that the Bushes, Clintons, Obamas and other selfappointed 'Elites' care a thing about you, you probably should not be reading anything I write, as I've been pissing off the Elites since 1977.

Put down this book and find a 'safe space'. Curl up in a fetal position—until they come for you.

These people have openly stated for half a century what they intend to do. Their goal is to eliminate you. Do you think this is just cocktail chatter?

Their 'ism' idols, Stalin (Communism), Hitler (National Socialism), Mussolini (Marxism)^[57], Mao (Communism), Castro (Communism), Pol Pot (Communism), and Maduro (Democratic Socialism) were not bothered at all by liquidating millions of their own people like '*us*', so why should Grand Master Geörgy Schwartz be concerned for his adopted Club of Rome agenda after his stint as a Jewish collaborator with Hitler's Nazis?



The little nazi is geörgy Schwartz in budapest. The Picture is from a 60 minutes interview in (1998) long hidden, but now restored

Geörgy Schwartz?

Oh, you never heard of Geörgy Schwartz?

Read on, or better yet, watch him tell his own story to 60 Minutes back in 1998, when he admits to being a Nazi collaborator turning in his fellow Jews and helping steal their gold, jewels and money.

And where did all those hundreds of millions go?

Not to Berlin.



The Master of Chaos- Meet George Soros

Now, Geörby Schwartz has another name. He is the Grand Master of the cabal that intends to 'reduce' you and your children as well, so it may be worth a watch to get to know him:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aSeI1f3uERI

Today in 2020, this is the key figure financing efforts 1) to flood Western-heritage nations with Muslim men of fighting age with an agenda to create the chaos they will eventually use to take over, 2) to install openly Socialist candidates in office in all of our nations —beholding only to him, 3) to alter what is taught to our children, 4) to fund terrorist organizations like Antifa, AntAc, BDS, and BLM, 5) to promote the Carbon Hoax, and 6) to destroy our last defense against their agenda—United States President, Donald J. Trump.

Oh. And did I mention he's listed right under Ted Turner on the Club of Rome roster in the Appendix?

If you did not take the time to watch this man admit who and what he is in the clip above, you should.

After escaping Obama's America in 2015, I now live less than an hour drive from Treblinka Extermination Camp where many of Geörgy's victims and neighbors were sent from his native Budapest to be murdered.

There are two markers on the block where I live commemorating the brave Poles who were gunned down by Geörgy's Nazi comrades—on the streets, and left for dead.

Unfortunately, I have yet to see a block or park in Warsaw without such markers commemorating the horrors of National Socialism and the sidewalks upon which they murdered innocent people.

There is a body under almost every sidewalk in Warsaw because the National Socialists for whom this horrible man, George Soros, so proudly worked, would not even allow the Poles to properly bury their dead.

Soros, in fact, brags on the interview that those were 'the happiest days of [his] life' turning in his neighbors and seeing people murdered.

The Poles—some of my neighbors whom the National Socialist did not allow to bury their parents are still alive— and tell me they had to sneak out at night as children to put their loved ones to rest anywhere they could, on threat of being outside their homes after curfew and being murdered themselves.

Two blocks away is a two-hectare (4.4 acre) field where thousands of Poles were murdered by the National Socialists (Nazis) are buried in mass graves—just from my neighborhood on the edge of Mokotów.

I will be buried there in a few years with them. We have much in common—except that I survived those with similar views in my own nation—as Collectivists always end up killing those whom they can't control.

These 'Elites' love death of '*us*' almost as much as life for themselves.

It is in their make-up.

It seems to be a part of their sick DNA.

12- NOW IS THE TIME FOR PROOF

 \mathbf{C} o now that we are all thinking for ourselves, let's take a look at this agenda-driven hoax.

The Carbon Hoax claims that 'fossil fuels' are the culprit and it is their inherent output of evil carbon that is going to kill us all—very soon—coal being by far the worst.

So let's compare coal plants around the world to see how serious this claim might be—and who's to blame if true.

And ask yourself, it this was anything but a power grab, what are these same people doing about the worst polluters, for starters?

If carbon or its dioxide form is the menace, then the Carbon Hoaxers would be going after those creating/using it, right?

No. That would not be right, as this is a targeted agenda not based on fact or truth—it's a power play.

This is all about taking down the United States of America as a power, weakening it, and creating a situation where it can be put under outside control using co-opted politicians whose loyalty is not to their own people.

It's like the old Patrick Swayze movie, *Red Dawn*, where the mayor sells out his own people to work with the Communist invaders.



Burn the coal, pay the toll says greta!

So what is the world's cheapest and most available source of energy?

The answer is coal.

And guess who has the most of it? The United States of America.

In fact, there are more BTUs of energy in the form of coal under just the State of Illinois than all of the Middle East's oil combined.

So who are the major users of this evil source of energy? Let's look.

COAL PLANTS

Nation or Union Existing coal plants Building Total

EU 468 28 495 Turkev 56 93 149 S. Africa 79 103 24 India 589 446 1.036 Philippines 19 60 79 S. Korea 58 26 84 Japan 90 45 135 China 2,363 1,171 3,534

Subtotal 3,722 1,893 5,615

5,615 TOTAL—Coal burning power plants in existence or being built in just eight nations/unions—yet the world hears only about The United States from the Soros puppets like Al Gore and Little Greta Thunberg.

So how many coal power plants does this rogue American nation have and how many are they building?

Coal power plants Building Total UNITED STATES 15 0 15

This should convince anyone that the Carbon Hoax gang has a hidden agenda—and it's not about clean air or warming of the planet—it's about making it politically impossible for America to use cheap energy.

If this were all really about carbon, Angry Little Greta Thunberg and Pope Al Gore of The Carbon Hoax Church would be preaching in Beijing and Delhi—not San Francisco—which has cleaner air than any other party to the fraudulent Paris Climate Accords.

But what do you hear from these two false prophets about China, India and these other nations and unions?

Nothing but crickets.

They are liars, charlatans, and pretenders—and until one of them attacks China, India and Indonesia, you can rest assured this has nothing to do with Climate Change or 'de-carbonization' as Al Gore now calls it—it's all about money, power, and their agenda.

Ask them why ALG (Angry Little Greta) and The Green New Deal queen, AOC (Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez) aren't attacking Communist China where most of the 'carbonization' occurs.

And ask why the Obama Administration tried to destroy America's cheapest and cleanest—form of energy?

Cleanest? Yes. That statement is not an error. Coal today is actually a cleaner form of energy than natural gas—a now proven fact.

Surprised? Most people are, because it took a lawsuit against the Obama Administration's

Department of Energy to get the results of their government study proving this.

I happened to be very active in that situation in 2012-15, as this attempt to destroy America's chance for energy independence made absolutely no sense to me as an Economist.

Why give up America's most abundant and cheapest form of energy—while shipping it to India, China, Vietnam and Indonesia to compete with our nation—using cheap energy—that came from us?

What did Obama's people think these nations were going to do with it if not burn it? Eat it?

If they were really against the use of coal because of environmental concerns, they could have banned its export, but they didn't, which alerted me that some other agenda was afoot.

I was asked to advise a company, CoalStar, back in 2012, which had developed an enzyme product that when sprayed on coal during the crushing process, acted to create oxygen bonding sites that allowed the coal to be burned completely and therefore, far more cleanly.

The effects were astounding.

The increased efficiency and complete burning was so pronounced that it was no longer necessary to clean the fire chambers or remove ash—as nothing remained.

The coal burned so thoroughly and at a much higher temperature due to the efficiency that it actually cleaned past residue off the chamber walls.

Using this product reduced the cost of producing energy by roughly 30%.

The group met with the Obama Administration's Energy Department to discuss these tests and seek their assistance to recommend CoalStar as not only a cost savings method, but an environmental one as well, because this increased efficiency also reduced pollution by a similar amount.

With this product, coal-produced energy with less residue and carbon than any other method outside of hydro-electric dams.

The meeting did not go well.

The bureaucrats told the CoalStar group in no uncertain terms that the Obama Administration was committed to eliminating coal in favor of natural gas and there was no conversation to be had that included coal.

Three men were in attendance representing government—two clearly partisan on the issue and one who sat silent.

The silent man later let our group know about this study proving that natural gas had a much larger carbon footprint than coal existed and suggested we visit West Virginia Congressman, Nick Rahall, and Senator, Joe Manchin, which we did.

Coal had this smaller carbon footprint, he explained, as there is considerable leakage at fracking sites, transfer stations and during piping of the gas, which the industry had failed to recognize or count—which would be akin to measuring coal's carbon footprint without measuring what came out of the smokestack.

Raw natural gas escaping into the atmosphere is far more toxic than small amounts of plant food (carbon dioxide) coming out of a stack with mostly water vapor from the stack-scrubbers and the government study proved it.

The Obama Administration ordered the study buried—but Senator Joe Manchin and then-Congressman Nick Rahall of West Virginia advised some coal company executives in their state to sue for it—and won in federal court.

So why all the intrigue with the Obama people?

Two words—politics and money.

We learned in those visits that an environmental group known as The Sierra Club had been used to funnel \$100 million from the natural gas industry to the Democrat Party and Obama campaign—of which both of these politicians were members—but they also represented some of the heaviest coal mining country in the nation and did not like the subterfuge.

This was a straight-up gangster move having nothing to do with the environment, coal, or anything else.

The Department of Energy had been weaponized by Obama's people to destroy coal for political purposes—and as part of the One World Agenda, in my opinion.

Clean coal producing cheap energy put America at a competitive advantage over anyone in the world—which was precisely what they were desperate to prevent in the future. That could stop the intentional managed decline of the United States, that has been going on since George H.W. Bush.

That's right. America was intentionally put on a path of what Washington insiders call "a managed decline."^[58]

As part of this plan, the Obama Administration did its best to shut down coal mines and coal power plants, but not because of carbon—oh no.

It was over two things having nothing to do with the environment as stated above the mainstays of Globalists—money and power.

So what evidence is there that carbon hurts the environment?

Absolutely none. Zero. Nada. Nic.

On the other hand, these crazy efforts to substantially reduce the amount of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, could be catastrophic and are estimated by their proponents to cost \$94 trillion in America alone (Green New Deal)—and another \$134 trillion for the Paris Climate Accord gang —while omitting any change from the dirtiest nations—China and India.

Think of it for a moment, now that we're using our own brains rather than listening to CNN propaganda.

If everything that feeds us depends on high levels of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, by seeking to limit it, Carbon Hoax nuts are actually putting the world in danger—and potentially causing mass starvation.

The plants take in carbon dioxide, making them grow, and using the analogy of breathing, the plants exhale oxygen—which we and all other animal forms of life must have for our survival.

Animals eat those plants, and we eat both—the plants and other animals that eat them.

More carbon dioxide, more plants and animals—more food for everyone.

An atmosphere starved of carbon dioxide has reduced plant growth and reduced oxygen like during the glacial ages previously discussed—which is very bad for both plants and people.

Visit a local greenhouse and you'll see this

Every commercial greenhouse operation I've ever seen has large machines with fans hanging above the plant beds.

Do you know why they are there?

Those units are generating carbon dioxide and blowing it into the atmosphere.

If carbon, or more specifically, carbon combined with oxygen known as CO₂ is a poison as we are being told, why would every commercial greenhouse operation on earth saturate the air with it?

Are the greenhouse operators crazy?

No. We are simply being lied to by the Carbon Hoax gang and no one in the compromised consolidated media is calling them out on it.

That's why media consolidation was their first step—and ending that monopoly must be ours

So you see now how important that first step back in the 1970s to make the media one apparatus of re-education really was?

Until you control the media narrative, you can't take over the world with a hoax. The hoax must be repeated, over and over and over, without dissent.

And most of the hundreds of thousands of greenhouse operators and employees working in this environment every day, probably never connected those dots between their reality and the fake news.

Again, like Dulce in my story earlier about the cheating husband, Frank convinced them not to believe their own 'lying eyes'.

CNN says 'carbon dioxide bad'.

But that is a lie. It is the most basic building block of life, and without it, we are dead, as everything that keeps us alive, depends on it.

So how can we fight against this propaganda in the consolidated media?

First, we must press for this monolithic 'speaks with one voice' charade to end. It must be broken up using anti-trust laws and turning the Leftists' Saul Alinsky playbook against them.

And time is short, so let's start today.

Examples of recent actions as ideas

Since Ted Turner, founder of CNN, was the fountainhead of the Club of Rome's media consolidation effort, his company seemed like a good place to start in our efforts to unwind the monopoly.

CNN's agenda is just two-fold from my research:

1) Do anything to destroy the Disruptor, President Donald Trump, and

2) Do anything to destroy our Western culture and freedoms

I challenge anyone to watch these networks and find anything they deem newsworthy as not fitting into either category 1 or category 2.

This cannot be called 'news' especially when their two main themes this past three years have been proven to be outright lies—Donald Trump is a Russian spy, and Carbon Dioxide is destroying our planet.

But CNN is layered under Time-Warner, which is owned by media and communications giant, AT&T, which was itself broken up in 1984 as a monopoly under President Ronald Reagan.

So there's basically nothing you can do, right?

Wrong. Even AT&T, the parent company of CNN has to answer to someone, and that someone is the company's shareholders.

So our group, The International Centre for Justice in Warsaw, Poland purchased shares in AT&T (trades under the symbol 'T' on the New York Stock Exchange) in a high enough quantity (100 shares) to be able to submit recommendations to the Board.

We began by complaining about the loss of market share in CNN, which was a core-holding (down 26% in just one year) due to poor management. These messages were sent publicly to Board members' Twitter accounts and to their e-mails where available.

Next, whenever scandals broke about Fake News on CNN, we sent all the board members copies of the false information with recommendations to fire Jeff Zucker and senior management.

For example, when Thomas Frank of CNN's investigative unit, said Congress was investigating a "Russian investment fund with ties to Trump officials," and shared that knowing lie on the web, we pounced—and reported lie by lie to the Board.

The story was withdrawn with an apology, as were others, but with each cut, we were making it clear to AT&T management that CNN no longer trafficked in news—only political slander with an agenda.

Now that their ratings are in free-fall—from too much lying—we're prepared for the next Board meeting to propose a motion that AT&T divest of—or close down—CNN.

We've taken the fight to them, in other words.

We've also taken on the 'Woke' culture—and won

As part of this effort to divide us all into angry little pockets rather than Americans, Australians or Brits, what is known as "Woke" culture has been invented to attack any who do not agree with the cabal's narratives—or seek national unity—like the president of the United States has done and is doing.

It's not just scientists who question the lack of science in the Carbon Hoax who are attacked and ruined, it's any who question why we are all being divided and set against one another—then attacked—over race, religion, sexual identity, color, amongst just a few topics.

We must be destroyed if different from the cabal's contrived narrative to separate us into these more easily controlled and divided groups.

The Left's BDS movement (anti-Semitic Boycott, Divestment and Sanctions campaign), as example, is attempting to delegitimize the Jewish state and acts as an Antifa-like gang to enforce Leftist views.

And unsurprisingly, the BDS movement is heavily funded by Nazi collaborator, Master of the Club's agenda, George Soros, once again, ^[59] even though he is Jewish!

But we decided to use similar tactics employed by Leftists against companies who used their businesses to aid this bizarre agenda to divide us and destroy our cultures.

We chose Gillette, the razor company owned by Proctor & Gamble, as our first target when that company began airing ads making men out to be rapists, bullies, and evil—though men are overwhelmingly the largest segment of Gillette's customer base.

Part of the cabal's mission has been to feminize men and masculinize women—while promoting transgenderism to confuse the rest.

That is unhealthy for any civilization, which is why it is being done, but we've proven it can also be unhealthy for businesses that get 'Woke'.

We started publishing articles targeting Gillette for boycott—by men—for falsely promoting transgenderism and a bad image of their own customers here in Europe and Australia, such as "GILLETTE CUTS ITS OWN THROAT".^[60] [clip on link in footnote to read the first attack]

Why just Europe and Australia? Because the consolidated media monopoly would not publish anything against their agenda in America. Censorship is in full force there.

So while we had little public effect in America—other than destroying Gillette's sales to the tune of \$8 billion in losses— we won in Australia, where Gillette's sales fell so precipitously and so quickly that the Australian Executive dropped the ads and issued a public apology.

Men are now being presented as heroes in Gillette ads Down Under—firefighters, soldiers, police—not brutes and rapists, and there have been no more ads promoting transgenderism.

Social media was our only chance to fight back—but alternatives are being established

Google, Twitter, Facebook, Amazon and the Silicon Valley mafia are unabashedly in the pocket of the cabal as well—giving more money and free political advertising /promotion to Leftists candidates representing the One Worlders than any.

Big Tech was all behind the Leftist candidate in 2016, and they're already promising more of it in 2020.

As example, "Facebook co-founder Dustin Moskovitz and his wife Cari Tuna are committing \$20 million to the Democratic cause for the 2016 general election, the Silicon Valley couple announced Thursday." [61]

These giants are even bragging about it. "Moskovitz, who was one of Mark Zuckerberg's Harvard roommates and whose net worth is now an estimated \$10.5 billion, won't be the first Silicon Valley billionaire to give large sums of money to the Clinton campaign. He joins a long line of tech executives that have deemed Trump a "disaster" for innovation and the industry as a whole -- a list of current or former executives at Silicon Valley giants like Facebook, Slack, Flickr, Apple, Google, and Yelp." ^[62]

American tech giant Google closely cooperated with Hillary Clinton's presidential campaign to promote the candidate, WikiLeaks founder Julian Assange said in a televised address to an international media forum.

"Google is directly engaged with Hillary Clinton's campaign," the WikiLeaks founder [Julian Assange] claimed, as quoted by the Sputnik news agency. He added that the company used the State Department as part of "a quid pro quo." [63]

They are already being used to promote the One World takeover, as its mouthpiece, censoring and canceling any who speak in opposition.

Is that my speculation? No. It is in fact by their own admission.

Jen Gennai, senior executive at Google in charge of this program, admits that destroying President Donald Trump is their firm's first and most important task—on camera—again, thanks to our friend and co-collaborator in this effort, James O'Keefe and Project Veritas. ^[64]

So what happened to this tape? Google buried it, Youtube sunk it and sites that allowed it were de-platformed—making the case for me—but we were provided with a link by James O'Keefe in footnote 63.

Again, government must break up brainwashing machines or we lose free-speech in the most meaningful of ways.

What is free speech if our ability to be heard is taken from us or buried under mountains of false information?

Aside from the massive bribes given to Democrat Socialists and One Worlder candidates by social media giants in the last election, Google alone is estimated to have shifted over 2.6 million votes to Hillary Clinton in 2016, using intentional Search Engine Bias.^[65]

Dr. Robert Epstein—a Hillary Clinton supporter—revealed this to the U.S. Senate on July 17, 2019 when questioned under oath by Senator Ted Cruz.

When asked if the intentional programming of search engine bias in favor of one candidate or another by Google had any effect, Dr. Epstein replied that it did, adding "on a massive scale." [66]

So it is not only the media monopoly that must be broken up, it is these monolithic tech giants as well if a free flow of information without a built in bias is to ever be restored.

But it may be too late already. It's 2020 and nothing has changed. The bias against

conservatives and Donald Trump—if anything—has seriously worsened and it is estimated that if the social media giants collectively exercise their bias against Donald Trump as Dr. Epstein expects, "15 million votes can be switched without leaving a trace or a paper trail." ^[67]

So we have proven beyond any doubt that increased carbon in our atmosphere is doing us no harm, and quite likely being of great assistance in providing what plants need to grow and produce oxygen.

We've shown that the Hoax agenda is targeting only those who are against the One World Agenda (or ignore them, like China and the Muslim world)—whether guilty or not.

It is also now a published U.S. Government fact that global temps have fallen to pre-1980s levels.

And it should be evident by now that the media— both 'news' and social—are completely rigged against us.

But we shouldn't give up, we just need to find—or establish—our own alternatives. Like the old movie, "Field of Dreams." line repeated to Kevin Costner, "Build it and they will come."

And that is what we are doing

In this case, the Carbon Hoax attack is clearly focused against the least of the polluters — *'us'*—rather than China, India, Indonesia, where their targeted element, carbon, is most prolifically used and sent airborne.

The worst polluters are simply not part of their agenda. Try telling 1.3 billion Chinese or Indians they they must live in the dark, lose millions of jobs due to unreliable power, when power is readily available.



Nothing short of a nuclear bomb-or pandemic-can calm this crowd and the carbon hoaxers know it

Good luck on that, Greta!

Dissent is effectively shut out of the American media due to the media monopoly there, and in Great Britain, telling the truth has been deemed criminal on such subjects as we discuss—so we associated with a small publication in Australia, that is now worldwide and growing rapidly.

The Richardson Post, was taken from a readership almost exclusively along the Southern Coast of Australia, to reaching people living in igloos in North America.

Google Analytics now show its readership global.

How did this happen? By focusing on the real dangers in this world that most people sense are actual problems—and telling only the truth.

THE CLIMATE HOAX—We exposed it.

People knew the ocean level outside their window at the beach is the same as it has been all their lives—but on a cruise, they actually saw the square miles of plastic in the ocean that the Carbon Hoaxers never bring up.

Why? Because once again, it's China, Indonesia and people who will tell them to go to hell who are doing it, so there is no anti-U.S. mileage on real problems like that one.

Another example of unpopular truth-telling involved the massive invasion of dangerous Islamists into Europe, based on the Maldives lie that brought about the false spectre of 'climate change' migration. After determining that as of the first month of 2019, 'asylum seekers' to Europe from the Middle East were involved in 32 of the 194 Islamist plots to kill Europeans in just the last four years—we identified that this was a real problem.

Europe was being intentionally flooded with roughly 80% young men of fighting age, indoctrinated via Islamic Clerics and Mullahs that they were required to deceive their hosts until their numbers were sufficient to 'rise up' and take over.

73% of the 549 terrorist convictions were of these young, male immigrants, indicating they were very much still under the control of their ideology, with little or no interest in assimilation.

RADICAL ISLAM—<u>www.richardsonpost.com</u> would tell the truth about it.

Next was the meteoric rise amongst people too young to remember the horrors of Marxist ideology, which always results in the death of millions and the destruction of a nation's culture and economy.

Worse, we learned that this ideology that crushes the human spirit is being taught in schools and universities as the best form of government.

A study of American high school and university students found that the person they considered one of the most influential in history was not George Washington or Thomas Jefferson—but Karl Marx.^[68]

We began publishing articles on the facts about the horrors of Marxism in its various forms, following the present day example of Venezuela which has gone from the wealthiest nation in South America (and the fourth richest in the world just 60 years ago) to a starving society where citizens are openly murdered on the streets by the brutes they elected under 'Democratic Socialism'.

We followed scholars such as Justin Haskins, whose work proved that the Marxists ideologies resulted in 167 million souls being killed, exiled or imprisoned by socialist/fascist/communist regimes in the 20th and 21st centuries.

In a frightening display of re-writing truth and history, we were criticized for calling fascism 'Marxist' because Google/Wikipedia had changed the definition of fascism from a Left-Wing Marxist ideology to 'a right-wing construct'—which is a provable historical lie, unless Mussolini did not know what he was, which is unlikely.

Benito Mussolini was head of The Italian <u>Marxist</u> Party. Karl Marx's prediction that the proletariat would rise up never happened.

Mussolini, a frustrated Marxist, having fought in World War I, realized that even Socialists were fighting for their countries, not Marx or his 'ism'.

Mussolini thusly designed his government to be Marxist, but play to his citizens' patriotism as well, though still very much a Marxist—the most left-wing construct in the world.

MARXISM—<u>www.richardsonpost.com</u> would tell the truth about it.

The final leg of our stool of truth was the permanent governments who had taken over our free nations and ruled them as they wished, regardless of which elected official was chosen by

the people.

There is no better example than my own home nation—the United States of America—where an unelected cabal of permanent employees had taken over even the Constitutional duty of Congress to make laws.

We found that of the roughly 314,000 statutes that called for prison as a penalty—by far the most ever of any nation in world history—roughly 300,000 of those were unlawfully 'created' by the Administrative Deep State having no force of law, yet being used and enforced by federal courts every day to imprison ever more American citizens.^[69]

These statutes had never been voted on by our representatives in Congress, yet were mandated as law by unelected bureaucrats—seemingly a part of the worldwide agenda playing out today—in preparation for the endgame.

PERMANENT ADMINISTRATIVE STATES DEPRIVING US OF LIBERTY —<u>www.richardsonpost.com</u> would tell the truth about them.

And people are listening.

What you must do

Start your own podcast. Blog, write articles, letters to Editors, and publish them anywhere you can.

Send e-mails to your State and Congressional representatives about these matters and show up to ask tough questions at their town halls and events.

Educate yourselves, then educate your families, friends and neighbors using only facts that you have researched and verified as true.

Send them a copy of this book, or ask and we'll e-mail a copy to them for free.

Determine proof of your stance first, then spread it far and wide.

The time to stop them is right now. If the Socialists win in America on November 3, 2020 you've heard and read their plans for you—and it could be a generation or more before there is a chance to throw off the yoke.

The human freedoms it took mankind millennia to achieve—are being given away—or even begged to be taken.

It's not one of the candidates on the Left preaching this deadly ideology.

It's all of them.

Every single one of them—everyone of them millionaires or billionaires themselves—are bashing the system that allowed them to become so comfortable.

They must be stopped. They've taken over our schools, our universities, our bureaucracies and our media. What's left?

New alternatives are being created almost weekly

One America News has moved from being an almost unknown entity a year ago, to being

President Trump's preferred station—and was just offered \$250 billion by a group of wealthy conservatives to create a major alternative to the Consolidated Leftist Media.

Blaze TV, created from the ashes of Glenn Beck's terminated show on Fox, now hosts Mark Levin, Pat Gary, Steve Deace, Chad Prather, Prager University, and many more with daily or weekly conservative shows.

The Epoch Times, created by a group of Chinese who escaped Communism, is now in print and on-line daily, giving a perspective of those who lived under the brutality of the collectivist ideology now pushed by the Leftists, with excellent reporting.

Daily Caller, Daily Signal, Breitbart, and other news sources as well as dozens of conservative talk show hosts have sprung up as alternatives to the consolidated bulwark of Leftists who control the mainstream media.

As the numbers of MSNBC, CNN, ABC, NBC watchers continue to plummet, these outlets are in stages of hyper growth.

Steve Bannon, former White House advisor to President Trump, as example, started a daily show "War Room" in November of 2019, just a few months ago, and already has a million downloads of his podcast station.

This is how we win, by telling the truth and defending our cultures.

Like in the Field of Dreams, "Build it and they will come."

13- GENOCIDAL MANIACS

I was born less than a decade after the most horrible massacres in the history of the Western World ended in 1945 all at the hands of Marxists.

But it had not actually ended in 1945, we just thought it had.

In my little world of a small town Mt. Airy, North Carolina nestled in the foothills of the beautiful Blue Ridge Mountains, it seemed like an impossible nightmare to ever have happen again.

What we did not know from the 1950s to 1970s was that it never stopped.

The mass murdering <u>Marxists</u> just found new killing grounds in Asia and Africa, while plotting others for the future in Europe and America.

Joseph Stalin the mass exterminator of the <u>Communist</u> Soviet Union and its satellites nations died the year before I was born in 1952 after murdering, starving and executing 20 million souls (using estimates from his apologists), up to an estimated 60 million by historian and world-renowned Nobel Peace Prize author, Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn who lived in his death camps and gulags as a journalist, witnessing its horrors first hand and interviewing victims from other gulags and prisons as his sources.

I'll personally take Solzhenitsyn's numbers over Stalin apologists.

Guess what nation leading Democrat candidate Bernie Sanders admired most? Yep. The Soviet Union.

Adolph Hitler, leader of the National <u>Socialist</u> Workers Party in Germany, was thought to have murdered 12 million, but historian, Timothy Snyder, has placed the number as high as 20 million after more and more sites of murder have been found over the decades.

If one adds the deaths from the conflict Hitler started— which became World War II—this number rises by 42 million to a closer estimate of 60 million.

Chinese <u>Communist</u> leader, Mao Zedong, is responsible for the murder and starvation of 40-75 million ^[70] yet other historians point out that "political decisions such as the Great Leap Forward which, alone, is estimated to have a death toll of 18-45 million," ^[71] would indicate there is no dependable estimate, as this was a secret massacre to destroy Chinese culture, which had closed itself off from the world during those years.^[72]

I was alive during The Great Leap Forward (this happened between 1958 and 1962) we heard little or nothing of it until the purge was over.

Next was Pol Pot in Cambodia-again, a Communist butcher-who began murdering

millions of his people between 1976 and 1979, but no one did a thing, leaving him in power until the year before his death in 1998.

The Marxist DNA is the same in the Club of Rome murderers and Carbon Hoaxers of today that ran through the veins of all the other collectivists who came before them.

They claim a utopia can be achieved by stealing everything everyone has while killing those who complain (though that part is not in the brochure), leaving the least likely to succeed alive.



Pol pot butchered two million souls out of Cambodia's population of seven million

Let's take a look at America's leading Democrat candidate Bernie Sanders

Yes. Democrat candidate for president of the United States, Bernie Sanders, was a cardcarrying Commie before being Commie was cool.


But he wasn't a very good Communist, as he wouldn't pull his own weight.

Kate Daloz, author of *We Are As Gods*, wrote about the rise and fall of the commune, Myrtle Hill Farm, in northeast Vermont, where Bernie was kicked out for being a lazy Communist.^[73]

She knew Bernie in 1971, several years before he took his wife on a honeymoon to the Soviet Union which was not a very normal thing to do in the 1980s either, but he came back with glowing reports of the Soviet paradise where tens of millions were murdered, starved or imprisoned.

This is now what Bernie and his followers will unapologetically do to you, if they win power in 2020, as they have now admitted.

At least Bernie has been consistent, but he would be very dead if his senior staffers were running the communes and gulag camps they project for everyone else—especially Republicans —once they seize control.



Bernie Sanders was asked to leave his commune in 1971 for "sitting around and talking" about politics instead of working

These are not my words but theirs

And to remind everyone what his staffers intend to do with you let's look at another of the leading Democrat contender for the presidency's top organisers in South Carolina and see if the message is the same as Kyle Jurek, whom we heard from before.

Listen to Sanders' South Carolina Field Organizer, Martin Weissgerber on film, being recorded undercover by Project Veritas where truth is told.

"I've always said, you know, I'm a Communist," Martin began. "I'm ready to start tearing bricks up and start fighting. I'm no cap bro—I'll straight up get armed I want to learn to shoot, and go train.



"Guillotine the rich," says Bernie-Bro

"I'm ready for the fucking revolution, bro. I'm telling you. Guillotine the rich.

"So do we just seize do we just dissolve the Senate, House of Representatives, the Judicial Branch, and have somebody like Bernie Sanders and a cabinet of people make all decisions for the climate?

"I mean, I'm serious. What will help is when we send all the Republicans to the 'reeducation camps'.

"Can you imagine Mitch McConnell? [Republican Leader of the U.S. Senate] Lindsey Graham? [Republican Chairman of the Judiciary Committee]

"The Gulags were founded as re-education camps. The first gulag that was opened have you heard about the Belomorkanal? People came from America to work on the Belomorkanal, for the Soviet project, for the communist project. It's a beautiful thing."

I must interject here that it was not a 'beautiful thing' as these programmed idiots claim, because "According to official [Soviet] records, up to 25,000 workers died during [the Belomorkanal] construction," which began in 1931. ^[74]

Bernie Bro Martin continues, "The gulags became more political as they went on. It's a tool. It's like a boogeyman. You know what? We have more people in prison in this country right now than Russia did at the height of the gulags. We do. That's an absolute fact." ^[75]

And at this juncture, I must agree with Martin. This is an absolute fact.

73 million Americans have been criminally prosecuted for questionable crimes or non-crimes since I was at university. Roughly 58 million of those 73 million U.S. citizens were imprisoned or executed wrongfully from my calculations but I think that was to prepare for the overthrow these Sanders supporters are describing today.^[76]

It was a 'practice run' if you will. Build thousands of prisons, to jail millions of dissenters, and fill them up.

Justice had to be removed from 'Justice' and the courts and system re-tooled as conviction machines which began in earnest in 1973, just after the Club of Rome report was released and Sicco Mansholt declared to his colleagues that "the Club of Rome message had to become 'the fundament of the European Union'." (see page 9)

As a 'dissenter' I was imprisoned in the U.S. gulags for seven years—though never convicted by any court of jurisdiction for any crime— and know from firsthand experience like Solzhenitsyn, just how prolific the American gulag is today.

The United States has more prisons and prisoners than any nation on earth or in history and I believe that is not an accident.

But it was these same Leftists and One Worlders who created it.

America's once-once-admired system of justice was destroyed so any protesters and resisters like me could be railroaded under 'color of law' when the Revolution began this revolution the one the Socialist One Worlders have planned since 1968.

What these useful idiots acting as senior staffers of Bernie Sanders' campaign don't realize is that once they have been used to take over, "guillotine," incarcerate and murder "the Republicans" they will be next to go to these prisons for liquidation themselves as they will be thought of as a threat to the Elites and they too will be put under the heel or executed as well.

They must be destroyed to prevent attacking the rulers at the top.

But the Soros/Club of Rome plan is not a Revolution it's a Devolution back to the medieval feudal system of Masters and Serfs, and the Sanders staffers and Sanders himself will not be counted among the Elites they so foolishly served.

Only the broken, unarmed and subservient will be allowed to live and serve the Elites after the revolution.

Once of no more use, Bernie, his thugs, and even Little Greta's heads will be on pikes.

Is this really so hard to believe after hearing what the Bernie Sanders' recruiters for the top Democrat candidate for President of the United States say when they are 'recruiting' others to join their ranks?

Is it that difficult to grasp that using the same failed political ideology they will have no choice but to use the same methods to eliminate dissent and dissenters as their predecessors?

You can't steal from one group to give to others for very long without resorting to violence. It's simply impossible.

First of all, the people being robbed don't like it very much and will resist at some point. What do you do with the resisters?

Second, the producers will have no incentive for producing if they know it will simply be 'reallocated' (stolen) to those who don't. They will stop being productive. What do you do with them then?

When people have no incentive to work for themselves they won't, as history has proven

time and time again. What do you do with them then?

When no one works and no one produces, how will you feed everyone? How will you clothe them?

When you can't feed or clothe them which is always very quickly the case what do you do with them then?

You reduce their numbers to match the food and clothing left—until you have to do it again, and again, as production falls.

In other words, you shoot the bastards. That's what they all do eventually, and the Bernie Bros will as well.

That is why these murderous Marxists are godless except of course, seeing themselves as gods.

But not all who believe themselves to be gods are good or gods

"I am a God. I created everything."^[77]

Can you think of anyone alive who would have the audacity to say something like this?

It's actually the Grand Master of the cabal using Greta Thunberg and the Carbon Hoax he funds and promotes to put the world under the Club of Rome style government [ruled by the United Nations under his supervision] which does sound very godlike, doesn't it?

But every enterprise or cause with which this man has been associated his entire life ultimately had an evil goal, or a reasonably expected evil outcome.

This self-appointed 'god' not only funds the Carbon Hoax based on provable lies, he also funds angry little Greta who tells them, but also Greta's Antifa parents who spread them, and the Antifa groups who brutally enforce them through violence.

This 'god' also funds BLM (Black Lives Matter) which violently attempt to make everything about race to divide us and our nations.

This 'god' is behind and funds the BDS movement (Boycott, Divest & Sanction) an antisemitic group attempting to destroy the State of Israel.

This 'god' is behind and funds the invasion of Europe by Muslims to cause chaos. He is also behind and funds (via two false-front Catholic charities) the invasion of the United States by Central Americans to add illegal Democrat voters to the rolls.

And this 'god' funds the Club of Rome. He is listed as one of its leading proponents [see Appendix].

That the person funding all of this evil thinks of himself as some kind of god is not exactly helpful.

But again, not all gods are good ones.

'George Soros not only openly claims he is a god and "the creator of everything," the billionaire globalist also warns he is a "self-centred" god who believes "normal rules do not apply" to him.' ^[78]

When asked by Britain's Independent newspaper to elaborate on that statement, Soros doubled down: "It is a sort of disease when you consider yourself some kind of god, the creator of everything, but I feel comfortable about it now since I began to live it out."

Remember the little boy in a Nazi uniform in Chapter 11?

Little Geörgy Schwartz, a.k.a. George Soros, has been evil since he got his first Nazi uniform as a child.

He volunteered to turn in his Jewish neighbors at the age of 14, sending them to death camps, just like the ones Bernie Sanders' supporters envision for Americans after they win this or the next election.

That little Jewish body who turned Nazi (National <u>Socialist</u>) grew up to think of himself as a god one destined to rule the world.

And George Soros feels no remorse for what he did. Here is a transcript to archive his December 20, 1998 interview with Steve Kroft of CBS on its news show, *60 Minutes*^[79] in case it is again removed as it was for many years.

George Soros On Helping The Nazis During The Holocaust

George Soros Interview On 60 Minutes [1998]

Voiceover When the Nazis occupied Budapest in 1944, George Soros' father was a successful lawyer. He lived on an island in the Danube and liked to commute to work in a rowboat. But knowing there were problems ahead for the Jews, he decided to split his family up. He bought them forged papers and he bribed a government official to take 14-year-old George Soros in and swear that he was his Christian godson. But survival carried a heavy price tag. While hundreds of thousands of Hungarian Jews were being shipped off to the death camps, George Soros accompanied his phoney godfather on his appointed rounds, confiscating property from the Jews.

(Vintage footage of Jews walking in line; man dragging little boy in line)

KROFT: (Voiceover) These are pictures from 1944 of what happened to George Soros' friends and neighbors.

(Vintage footage of women and men with bags over their shoulders walking; crowd by a train)

KROFT: (Voiceover) You're a Hungarian Jew...

Mr. SOROS: (Voiceover) Mm-hmm.

KROFT: (Voiceover) ... who escaped the Holocaust...

(Vintage footage of women walking by train)

Mr. SOROS: (Voiceover) Mm-hmm.

(Vintage footage of people getting on train)

KROFT: (Voiceover) ... by–by posing as a Christian.

Mr. SOROS: (Voiceover) Right.

(Vintage footage of women helping each other get on train; train door closing with people in boxcar)

KROFT: (Voiceover) And you watched lots of people get shipped off to the death camps.

Mr. SOROS: Right. I was 14 years old. And I would say that that's when my character was made.

KROFT: In what way?

Mr. SOROS: That one should think ahead. One should understand and—and anticipate events and when—when one is threatened. It was a tremendous threat of evil. I mean, it was a—a very personal experience of evil.

KROFT: My understanding is that you went out with this protector of yours who swore that you were his adopted godson.

Mr. SOROS: Yes. Yes.

KROFT: Went out, in fact, and helped in the confiscation of property from the Jews.

Mr. SOROS: Yes. That's right. Yes.

KROFT: I mean, that's that sounds like an experience that would send lots of people to the psychiatric couch for many, many years. Was it difficult?

Mr. SOROS: Not–not at all. Not at all. Maybe as a child you don't–you don't see the connection. But it was it created no no problem at all.

KROFT: No feeling of guilt?

Mr. SOROS: No.

KROFT: For example that, 'I'm Jewish and here I am, watching these people go. I could just as easily be there. I should be there.' None of that?

Mr. SOROS: Well, of course I c–I could be on the other side or I could be the one from whom the thing is being taken away. But there was no sense that I shouldn't be there, because that was–well, actually, in a funny way, it's just like in markets–that if I weren't there–of course, I wasn't doing it, but somebody else would would would be taking it away anyhow. And it was the–whether I was there or not, I was only a spectator, the property was being taken away. So the I had no role in taking away that property. So I had no sense of guilt.

Voiceover-Of course most of us here are already aware of Mr. Soros' highly questionable actions during the Nazi occupation. (Though the public at large undoubtedly has a different perspective, if they know anything about his earlier days at all.)

But the statements he made in this interview to my mind are quite chilling.

He forgives himself everything. He says that if he hadn't done it somebody else would have.

All of which would seem to indicate that Mr. Soros has no conscience. A lack of conscience is said to be a common symptom of sociopaths.

END OF TRANSCRIPT

Globalists, One Worlders, Socialists, Communists, Royals some even well intentionede following this one evil man like the Pied Piper.

So let's humor them for the moment

Let's say they win.

Bernie Sanders wins the U.S. election and his Bernie Bros start roughing up Republicans, while still claiming to be 'Democratic Socialists' until they have complete control.

That implies that down in there somewhere is a democracy, correct?

And until the mask of Soros really comes off, they will have to continue playing that card to get the world under their control.

But how do you think that would work out?

Will Communist China and its 1.3 billion people go along with this 'world democracy' hoax? Perhaps they would if they thought they could control it all.

That is unlikely, as the Soros/Club of Rome thugs are power-mad as well. They will ultimately be enemies.

And how about the estimated 1.8 billion Muslims who swear allegiance not to nations but the sword and Mohammed? They will not consider such a world order unless it is under Sharia law, where women are enslaved and all Jews and Christians murdered.

So they will also be enemies.

Between just totalitarian Muslims and those who are already totalitarian Marxists, about half the potential One World government has been vaporized from their One World Order into a minimum of Three.

But then, which rulers would rule? There's the rub, isn't it?

Since it was all about power and murder to start with, perhaps that will satisfy all of their natural blood lust by murdering their own people.

But that has never satisfied those who thrive on blood and power before.

They'll want to kill the other two groups as well. So who will fight their battles against the other two totalitarian groups?

Will George Soros and his Club of Rome clan be satisfied with just half the world? I don't think so, because their logo shows all of it, right?

But let's play another game.

George H.W. Bush said the United Nations will run the show

So how does that work? Who is the world's leader and how is he or she chosen?

Since they're claiming 'Democratic Socialism' still for the moment, that means we (the world) will choose the leader and style of government 'democratically'.

So let's try that possibility.

Does each nation get a vote, or will it be like the Electoral College in America that the Democrats today denounce as 'unfair'?

Under that system, if India and China have 1.3 billion people and America only has 300 million, then the U.S. might get 1 vote while India and China get 4 each. There are far more socialists, Marxist/Islamic totalitarians governments than free ones, so it's likely a harsh system will prevail.

Really, what do you think are the chances that freedom, liberty and capitalism would win the day in such a system, when all the totalitarians got to choose their own way?

We lose, they win but the totalitarians will say, "But we voted on it," as if that is some comfort as they steal what you have and put you against the wall wearing a blindfold, smoking your last cigarette.

But since the Democrat Socialists hate apportioned voting like America's Electoral College provides, let's try their mobocracy approach, where each person in the world has a vote.

7 billion people voting means no one's vote matters and you can bet that the poor of the world will vote to steal what the wealthier nations have and 're-distribute' it to themselves.

The populous cities will vote to steal the food of the rural people who grow it which will result in their not growing it any more, (or hoarding and hiding what they do have) which will resort in the One World government stealing all property and forcing people to work on the land as communes.

Is any of this ringing a bell?

It's only happened like this every time it was tried before ever.

So any thinking person can quickly see that the only way to have One World Government, is to have it as a crushing totalitarian system devoid of any individual rights which is actually the goal of the Elites who have worked to achieve this outcome for centuries ever since we escaped their rule centuries ago.

Is that really what you or anyone else outside this small clique of selfish, self-appointed elites wants for themselves or their families?

No. I didn't think so.

EPILOGUE

The Carbon Hoax is not an end in itself. It is a means to an end—no more, no less.

It was cleverly designed as you've heard in their own words, to delude you into voluntarily giving up your freedoms and God-given rights to 'them', the self-appointed Elites.

It's feudalism 2.0.

Their new trick Democratic Socialism is to use these scare tactics to convince 50% plus just one person, to vote themselves into bondage, dragging the reluctant 50% minus one into chains with them.

And their 50% is highly energized, as collectivist always are before they taste the reality of harsh bondage.

So I'm asking you to share this information as far and as widely as you can, because you now have the facts.

If the Carbon Hoax is being used to put us in chains and we reveal that as a false premise, perhaps we can save ourselves from that +1 vote going the wrong way.

The facts are overwhelmingly on our side and recent data is publicly available to show that the Leftist gigolos and their press whores have been knowingly lying for some time, and for darn sure during the largest, fastest drop in temperatures in a century.

NASA The National Aeronautics and Space Administration was outed in the first days of the Trump Administration for having falsified data under the Obama Administration to match the global Carbon Hoax delusion.

Trump forced them to release the raw data from that point forward.

And guess what? From February of 2016, days after Donald Trump took office, to February 2018, NASA's raw data shows that global average temperatures dropped by 0.56 degrees Celsius.

It did not rise, as the Hoaxers and media continue to tell us. The temperature took a nosedive biggest since global temperature records are available.

Market analyst, Aaron Brown noted, that this "is the biggest two-year drop in the past century."^[80]

Where have you read this in the entire press?

I found only one reference to this fact in Investor's Business Daily (May 16, 2018).

This amazing temperature drop puts global average temperatures *below* the 1980s average.^[81]

Just two years wiped out all the supposed 'man-made' and any other increase in temperatures since 1980, which would be huge news if there were an honest member remaining in the legacy

media.

But there isn't which is why we have to create our own.

And it's not like the information is being hidden anymore as it was under Obama, it's just that no one will report it.

That same week these facts came out, the United Nations announced "our window of time for addressing climate change is closing very quickly."

They're right.

It's closing quickly as the flimsy made-up excuse for putting us in bondage, they're right about that, and hoping they can beat the clock on this before November 3rd of 2020 when the U.S. will decide to go into bondage, or continue the long road back to real freedom and Americanism under Donald Trump.

The IPCC, the Hoax's loudest voice (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) has published "with 95% certainty that 95% of the temperature increase since 1950 is due to human CO2." [82]

And they've blown their whole gig with that one lie.

If it were true that anthropogenic (manmade) causes were responsible for 95% of temperature rise and the non-Soros world is still building coal plants as fast as possible and using them this dramatic fall in temperatures cannot possibly occur.

In other words, friends, we can prove with U.S. Government data that they're all lying. Record cold temperatures cannot possibly occur ever again, unless we are eliminated, under their false claims and propaganda.

Either manmade causes of warming are minimal in truth, or they've been outed as liars and Hoaxers once again.

That's why they're all in such a rush to have us vote ourselves back into chains as soon as possible before enough of us wake up, as once you've gone collectivist, you can't undo it. It's like being a little bit pregnant.

Just ask Venezuelans dying in the streets of hunger today after being the richest nation in South America just a decade ago.

50% +1 fool put them all in bondage via Democratic Socialism which is still socialism.

So wake up and smell the coffee and share it with everyone you know.

Do not go quietly back into the dark.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Howell Woltz is an American journalist and author, living in Warsaw, Poland with his wife, Dr. Magdalena Iwaniec-Woltz.

He graduated from The University of Virginia with a degree in Economics in 1975, and did graduate work at Wake Forest University (Executive MBA Program), Caledonian University in Glasgow, Scotland (Captive Insurance); and The University of Warsaw (Polish language and culture).

Starting as as journalist in 1976 after college, writing a syndicated column, *The Conservative View*, Howell has written seven books and is now a regular writer for *The Richardson Post*, based in Australia.

Howell is also the Chairman of The International Centre for Justice in Warsaw, a policy think-tank on issues regarding the corrosive effects of Progressivism and the dangers of the Islamification on Western nations.

Contact information: howell@justicerestored.com Tel. +48 604 900 183 The International Centre for Justice Bukowińska 2, m. 194 02-703, Warsaw Poland

APPENDIX

Some current members of the Club of Rome:

Al Gore – former VP of the USA, leading climate change campaigner, Nobel Peace Prize winner, Academy Award winner, Emmy winner. Gore lead the US delegations to the Rio Earth Summit and Kyoto Climate Change conference. He chaired a meeting of the full Club of Rome held in Washington DC in 1997.

Javier Solana – Secretary General of the Council of the European Union, High Representative for EU Foreign Policy.

Maurice Strong – former Head of the UN Environment Programme, Chief Policy Advisor to Kofi Annan, Secretary General of the Rio Earth Summit, co-author (with Gorbachev) of the Earth Charter, co-author of the Kyoto Protocol, founder of the Earth Council, devout Baha'i.

Mikhail Gorbachev – CoR executive member, former President of the Soviet Union, founder of Green Cross International and the Gorbachev Foundation, Nobel Peace Prize winner, co-founder (with Hidalgo) of the Club of Madrid, co-author (with Strong) of the Earth Charter.

Diego Hidalgo – CoR executive member, co-founder (with Gorbachev) of the Club of Madrid, founder and President of the European Council on Foreign Relations in association with George Soros.

Ervin Laszlo – founding member of the CoR, founder and President of the Club of Budapest, founder and Chairman of the World Wisdom Council.

Anne Ehrlich – Population Biologist. Married to Paul Ehrlich with whom she has authored many books on human overpopulation. Also a former director of Friends of the Earth and the Sierra Club, and a member of the UN's Global Roll of Honor.

Hassan bin Talal – President of the CoR, President of the Arab Thought Forum, founder of the World Future Council, recently named as the United Nations 'Champion of the Earth'.

Sir Crispin Tickell – former British Permanent Representative to the United Nations and Permanent Representative on the Security Council, Chairman of the 'Gaia Society', Chairman of the Board of the Climate Institute, leading British climate change campaigner.

Kofi Annan – former Secretary General of the United Nations. Nobel Peace Prize Laureate.

Javier Perez de Cuellar – former Secretary General of the United Nations.

Gro Harlem Bruntland – United Nations Special Envoy for Climate Change, former President of Norway

Robert Muller – former Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations, founder and Chancellor of the UN University of Peace.

The Dalai Lama – The 'Spiritual Leader' of Tibet. Nobel Peace Prize Laureate.

Father Berry Thomas – Catholic Priest who is one of the leading proponents of deep ecology, eco-spirituality and global consciousness.

David Rockefeller – CoR executive member, former Chairman of Chase Manhattan Bank, founder of the Trilateral Commission, executive member of the World Economic Forum, donated land on which the United Nations stands.

Stephen Schneider – Stanford Professor of Biology and Global Change. Professor Schneider was among the earliest and most vocal proponents of man-made global warming and a lead author of many IPCC reports.

Bill Clinton – former President of the United States, founder of the Clinton Global Initiative.

Jimmy Carter – former President of the United States, Nobel Peace Prize Laureate.

Bill Gates – founder of Microsoft, philanthropist

Garret Hardin – Professor of Human Ecology. Originator of the 'Global Commons' concept. Has authored many controversial papers on human overpopulation and eugenics.

Other current influential members:

(these can be found on the membership lists of the COR (here, here, and here), Club of Budapest, Club of Madrid and/or CoR National Association membership pages)

Ted Turner – media mogul, philanthropist, founder of CNN

George Soros – multi-billionaire, major donor to the UN

Tony Blair – former Prime Minister of the United Kingdom

Deepak Chopra – New Age Guru

Desmond Tutu – South African Bishop and activist, Nobel Peace Prize Laureate Timothy Wirth – President of the United Nations Foundation Henry Kissinger – former US Secretary of State George Matthews – Chairman of the Gorbachev Foundation Harlan Cleveland – former Assistant US Secretary of State and NATO Ambassador Barbara Marx Hubbard – President of the Foundation for Conscious Evolution Betty Williams – Nobel Peace Prize Laureate Marianne Williamson – New Age 'Spiritual Activist'

Robert Thurman – assistant to the Dalai Lama

Jane Goodall – Primatologist and Evolutionary Biologist Juan Carlos I – King of Spain Prince Philippe of Belgium Queen Beatrix of the Netherlands Dona Sophia – Queen of Spain José Luis Rodríguez Zapatero – current Prime Minister of Spain Karan Singh – Former Prime Minister of India, Chairman of the Temple of Understanding Daisaku Ikeda – founder of the Soka Gakkai cult

Martin Lees – CoR Secretary General, Rector of the UN University of Peace

Ernesto Zedillo – Director of The Yale Center for the Study of Globalization Frithjof Finkbeiner – Coordinator of the Global Marshall Plan

Franz Josef Radermacher – Founder of the Global Marshall Plan

Eduard Shevardnadze – former Soviet foreign minister and President of Georgia Richard von Weizsacker – former President of Germany Carl Bildt – former President of Sweden Kim Campbell – former Prime Minister of Canada and Senior Fellow of the Gorbachev Foundation

Vincente Fox – former President of Mexico

Helmut Kohl – former Chancellor of Germany

Romano Prodi – former Prime Minister of Italy and President of the European Commission Vaclav Havel – former President of the Czech Republic

Hans Kung – Founder of the Global Ethic Foundation

Ruud Lubbers - United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees

Mary Robinson - United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Jerome Binde – Director of Foresight, UNESCO

Koïchiro Matsuura – Current Director General of UNESCO

Federico Mayor – Former Director General of UNESCO

Tapio Kanninen – Director of Policy and Planning, United Nations Konrad Osterwalder – Under-Secretary-General of the United Nations

Peter Johnston – Director General of European Commission Jacques Delors – Former President of the European Commission

Domingo Jimenez-Beltran – Executive Director of the European Environment Agency

Thomas Homer-Dixon – Director of Peace and Conflict Studies, University of Toronto

Hazel Henderson – Futurist and 'evolutionary economist'

Emeka Anyaoku – former Commonwealth Secretary General, current President of the World Wildlife Fund

Wangari Maathai – Nobel Peace Prize Laureate, founder of the Green Belt Movement

and many more....

^[1] https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:201_Elements_of_the_Human_Body-01.jpg

^[2] https://www.investors.com/politics/editorials/climate-change-global-warming-earth-cooling-media-bias/

^[3] https://edition.cnn.com/2016/11/07/politics/political-prediction-market-hillary-clinton-donald-trump/index.html

^[4] Former U.S. Vice-President, Al Gore, made this claim on December 14, 2008 at the COP15 Climate Conference in Germany.

^[5] https://earther.gizmodo.com/polar-bears-have-invaded-a-russian-town-again-1840264090

^[6]https://edition.cnn.com/2019/12/06/europe/russia-polar-bear-village-hnk-intl-scli/index.html

- ^[7] https://fee.org/articles/the-myth-that-the-polar-bear-population-is-declining/
- ^[8] https://www.google.com/search?client=safari&rls=en&q=Al+Gore%27s+beachfront+mansion&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8
- ^[9] https://nationalcenter.org/ncppr/2019/08/30/tucker-al-gores-house-remembered-as-obama-buys-beachfront-estate/
- [10] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eRIfqxQEdEk
- [11] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_First_Global_Revolution

[12] Ibid

- [13] http://www.heteilandendewereld.nu/how-the-club-of-rome-became-a-world-agenda/
- ^[14] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PZLr0TtPjUc
- [15] https://www.pri.org/stories/2019-02-07/venezuela-was-once-richest-most-stable-democracy-latin-america-what-happened
- [16] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Operation_Mockingbird
- ^[17] The New Media Monopoly, p. 3 by Ben Bagdikian, Beacon Press, Boston, USA
- [18] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pklk7fCHSXE
- ^[19] Ibid, p. 9
- ^[20] Ibid, p. 9
- [21] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8DtEcZ3cfg4
- [22] https://humanevents.com/2010/09/09/the-obamasoros-connection/
- [23] Ibid
- [24] Nigel Farage reported on the record that Soros funded 226 members of the EU Parliament using records from his O.S.F.
 [25] Ibid 8
- [26] https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2019-09-20/steyer-invests-in-companies-he-says-are-part-of-the-problem
- [27] https://climate.nasa.gov/scientific-consensus/
- [28] https://www.forbes.com/sites/larrybell/2012/07/17/that-scientific-global-warming-consensus-not/
- [29] https://www.quora.com/How-many-climate-scientists-exist-worldwide
- ^[30] Confessions of a Greenpeace Dropout: The making of a sensible Environmentalist, by Patrick Moore, Beatty Street Publishing, Vancouver Canada, (2010) p. 1
- [31] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon_dioxide_in_Earth%27s_atmosphere
- [32] https://www.nationalgeographic.com/science/prehistoric-world/cambrian/
- ^[33] "The Small Farmer is the planet's best hope," by George Monbiot. (June 13, 2008, The Guardian Weekly)
- [34] https://climatechangedispatch.com/physicist-co2-heat-retention/
- [35] Ibid
- [36] https://www.bbc.com/news/world-australia-50400851
- [37] Ibid
- [38] Ibid
- ^[39] https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2020/01/07/australian-officials-as-many-as-one-billion-animals-could-be-lost-in-ongoing-bushfires/
- [40] https://worldat1c.org/how-much-will-the-paris-agreement-cost-85f78d9a035a
- [41] https://principia-scientific.org/study-90-percent-of-atolls-islands-are-stable-or-growing/
- [42] Ibid
- [43] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KwdT5xV347E
- [44] Ibid
- [45] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Galileo_Galilei
- [46] https://bearingarms.com/jenn-j/2017/06/27/more-than-600-million-firearms-in-america/
- [47] Ibid
- [48] https://www.britannica.com/event/Holodomor
- [49] https://www.breitbart.com/national-security/2016/09/07/confirmed-obamas-entire-1-7-billion-tribute-iran-paid-cash/
- ^[50] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8DtEcZ3cfg4
- [51] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BsUAvh_PCWA

[52] https://richardsonpost.com/howellwoltz/15256/end-disastrous-bushfires-get-government-out-of-the-way/

[53] https://www.youtube.com/results?search_query=Jesse+Ventura+on+FEMA+camps

[54] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cfWbqQN2-Kw

[55] https://thefederalist.com/2019/02/07/ten-most-insane-requirements-green-new-deal/

[56] https://www.pickeringpost.com/howellwoltz/7922/global-warming-or-global-stealing/

^[57] Benito Mussolini was head of the Italian Marxist Party which he merged with a nationalist ideology (absent Marx's promised uprising of the proletariat), calling it 'fascism'.

^[58] https://bigleaguepolitics.com/bannon-americas-managed-decline-is-coming-to-an-end-because-trump-broke-the-chinese-communist-party/

^[59] https://www.breitbart.com/middle-east/2019/01/21/target-israel-george-soros-funded-groups-leading-bds-war-on-jewish-state/

[60] https://richardsonpost.com/howellwoltz/10125/gillette-cuts-its-own-throat/

[61] https://www.cbsnews.com/news/facebook-co-founder-gives-20-million-to-hillary-clinton-democrats/

[62] Ibid

[63] https://www.rt.com/usa/345749-assange-us-google-clinton/

^[64] https://www.projectveritas.com/2019/07/11/project-veritas-re-uploads-google-expose-taken-down-by-youtube-ahead-of-white-house-social-media-summit/

[65] https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rNvgl38TLvI

[66] Ibid

[67] Ibid

[68] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Karl_Marx

^[69] Justice Restored: 10 steps to End Mass Incarceration in America, by Howell Woltz (2016), published by The International Centre for Justice, New York, p. 29

[70] https://historyofrussia.org/stalin-killed-how-many-people/

[71] Ibid

[72] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Great_Leap_Forward

[73] https://duckduckgo.com/?q=bernie+sanders+was+kicked+out+of+a+commune&t=osx&ia=web

^[74] https://www.breitbart.com/politics/2020/01/21/project-veritas-another-bernie-organizer-praises-soviet-gulags-dreams-of-gopin-re-education-camps/

[75] Ibid

^[76] The rate of error in U.S courts has been determined by judicial review to be 82% in death penalty cases where execution is the outcome. This official review over a 23 year period, multiplied by the admitted 71 million Americans criminalized over that same period assumes only that the prosecutors and judges are equally careless when death is not the outcome meaning an estimated 58 million of those Americans were very likely convicted outside of law and Constitution. Source, Ibid 67, p. XV

[77] https://newspunch.com/george-soros-i-am-a-god-i-created-everything/

[78] Ibid

^[79] This interview was taken down and disappeared for many year, but was recently found and reposted at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QSyczwuTQfo

[80] https://www.investors.com/politics/editorials/climate-change-global-warming-earth-cooling-media-bias/

^[81] Ibid

[82] https://wattsupwiththat.com/2018/12/29/recently-dropping-global-temperatures-demonstrate-ipcc-claims-are-impossible/